EXAMINING INTEGRATION OF REFUGEES IN MULTICULTURAL IRELAND: POLICY, ADVOCACY AND LIVED EXPERIENCE

by

Krista Ramsay

B.A., University of Northern British Columbia, 2013

THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF ARTS
IN
INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES

UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA

April 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DEDICATION

For Peter

My loving husband, partner, and best friend

Chapter 1: Introduction

Today's world is an interconnected web of people, ideas, theories, and practices. It has become easier to travel greater distances in less time and ideas have spread farther as the world moves more and more into a globalized system of interaction. Events have far reaching affects and can no longer be seen as isolated to a single geographical location. This is especially evident in the movement and flow of people (Schröttner 2012:19). World migration has always been part of the human condition, yet it occurs today at accelerated rates. People move for various reasons, whether for pleasure, economics, or security, but the fact remains that the world has grown more open to facilitating faster and farther travel and relocation (Lentin & McVeigh 2006:21). The movement of people, the ideological and physical baggage that they bring with them, and their interactions at their destinations has become the focus of migration studies.

In my research, I am interested in how minority groups integrate into larger multicultural societies and what is involved in the integration process. Specifically, I examine the policies and spaces created for and by refugees in the development of multi-ethnic communities within Ireland, in order to explore how refugees integrate into Ireland's multicultural and intercultural society. I focus on state policies and structures of integration and how these compare to refugees' lived experience of integration. In my research, I asked three focused questions: 1) What are the state policies and structures that might influence refugee integration? 2) What are the roles of non-governmental organization (NGO) advocacy groups in refugee integration? 3) How do refugees themselves understand their experience of integration in their new home country? These research questions guide my study in exploring my overarching goal of analyzing integration at the state policy level

1

and at the lived experience level of refugees in order to get a more complete understanding of refugee integration into Irish society.

I am interested in the negotiation of policy, both in its creation and implementation, and how policy can construct ideas of integration. The governing structure in Ireland has important implications as to how integration is managed through legislation and service provision. Government constructed ideas of integration also affect how the integration of refugees is presented to and perceived by the rest of society.

NGOs are formal organizations which act as a middle ground between the government and refugees. I include NGOs as a way to better understand the relationship between government integration policies and service implementation, and how constructed understandings of integration at the governmental level are perceived by other sectors of society. I explore the role of NGOs as 'safe places' of integration for refugees and how NGOs act as advocates for refugees.

I am interested in refugees' own experience of integration and recognize the importance of including refugees' voices in the research. This provides space for exploring the lived experience of integration in Ireland. By analyzing NGO reports concerning refugees' lives in Ireland and conducting my own ethnographic participant observation I have been able to get a sense of the ways in which refugees understand and experience integration.

The topics of this thesis are very pertinent to the international experience of receiving and integrating refugees. Refugees have become a central feature of the global conversation about human rights, ethics, and responsibility. Now, more than ever with the usage of technology and instant news sharing, the experiences of refugees are being

brought to the global public attention and are being seen as part of a 'global crisis'. As racism, injustice and the popular focus on what separates 'Us' from 'Them' increases in social media, I contend that it is necessary to question and critically engage with the difficult discussions of the roles and responsibilities of the international community towards these marginalized groups. This thesis aims to contribute to and raise awareness of this important area of scholarly and public interest by encouraging greater discussion around these research topics, specifically within Ireland and more generally in the greater global context.

In my study, integration, multiculturalism/interculturalism, identity, place, and racism were identified as key themes in refugee integration in Ireland. These themes are interrelated and represent different components. In my thesis, I argue that refugee integration in Ireland is a complicated negotiation between both positive integration experiences into parts of a multicultural/intercultural society (for instance, finding employment and becoming an active member of the community), and negative experiences of discrimination, racism, and a shortage of opportunities and supports that challenge the acceptance of marginalized and 'different' individuals. As will be seen throughout the thesis, this negotiation of both positive and negative integration is present at the governmental, non-governmental, and personal level.

1.1 Context/Literature Review

Ireland is an excellent case for studying the themes of integration, multiculturalism/ interculturalism, identity, place, and racism. After the Republic of Ireland achieved Independence in 1922 there was a focus on developing a unique sense of Irish culture (Fanning 2011:20; Gray 2006a:365). This drew heavily on a nineteenth-century idea of

3

'Irishness' and was used in the creation of a national identity (Fanning 2011:19). Despite the political agenda changing over time, the idea of a cohesive nation-state has remained central to Irish politics. Cultural variation did exist in Ireland, however, the majority of Ireland's few immigrants originated from within Europe and thus were not a large visible minority. This enabled the government ministers of Ireland to brand Ireland as a monocultural and homogenous place (Bryan 2008:51; Ging & Malcom 2004:126; Lentin 2007:612; Smith 2009:93).

Throughout much of the 20th century, Ireland was characterized as a very poor peripheral country within Europe. Ireland did not have much trade or resource production, and also had high unemployment rates and a strong and sustained emigration (Bryan 2008:49; Lentin 2007:612; Smith 2009:91). Ireland's high emigration rate has been explained as a result of postcolonial underdevelopment, individual choice, and/or a national 'tradition' of Irish young adults moving out of the country (Gray 2006a:354).

Ireland was also in major debt in the 20th century due to a number of political developments. One political development was the Troubles in Northern Ireland, beginning in the 1960s and lasting into the 1990s. The Troubles began as a Catholic civil rights movement that escalated into violent civil unrest between the Catholic nationalists and the Protestant unionists. There was significant loss of human life caused by the military tactics of both political sides, as well as from the security forces sent over by the United Kingdom (Battel 2003:98). The Troubles required the Republic of Ireland to dramatically increase its national security, causing a major burden on the state (Battel 2003:99). This was paired with the oil crisis in the 1970s, which had a major impact on Ireland as it was heavily dependent on imported fuel. The oil crisis created a global economic recession, causing the

4

Irish government to dramatically increase its borrowing (Battel 2003:99; Honohan & Walsh 2002:3). Thus, when Ireland joined the European Economic Community (EEC), the forerunner to the European Union (EU), in 1973, it became a major beneficiary of the structural funds that the EU made available to less developed regions (Fagan 2002:138).

This situation changed in the mid to late 1990s with Ireland's economic boom, labeled the 'Celtic Tiger' (Battel 2002:100; Ferreira & Vanhoudt 2004:209; Gray 2006a:356; Honohan & Walsh 2002:5; Smith 2009:91). One factor that ultimately contributed to the economic boom was Ireland joining the EU, which resulted in a widening of Ireland's international markets. Because of fiscal and investment incentives, such as low export rates, Ireland became an ideal location for multinational firms, including information technology and pharmaceutical industries, seeking to gain access to EU markets. At the same time, Ireland became an international centre for software production and development, especially for American information technology companies. International aid and foreign investment thus became major factors in the rise of the Celtic Tiger economic boom (Battel 2002:100; Bryan 2008:50; Ferreira & Vanhoudt 2004:209; Smith 2009:91). The result was a major decrease in the unemployment rate as Ireland became one of the economic leaders in the EU.

The economic boom caused major change in migration in Ireland. When Ireland's economy began to strengthen due to foreign investment, the country began to receive unprecedented numbers of people coming *to* Ireland. This pattern contrasted to Ireland's past when the country was defined as a place of emigration. This immigration into Ireland was comprised of an array of people, including: economic migrants from EU countries and other developed states, such as the United States; returning Irish diaspora; and, for the first

time in Ireland's history, large numbers of asylum seekers applying for refugee status (Battel 2003:95; Fagan 2002:141; McVeigh & Lentin 2002:19; Smith 2009: 91).

Statistical data from the Irish state's Reception and Integration Agency (RIA) show this shift of migration in terms of the numbers of refugee applications between 1991 to June 2013. In 1991, before the beginning of the Celtic Tiger, 9 individuals applied for refugee status. This is compared to the 11,634 individuals who applied in 2002 during the height of the Celtic Tiger (RIA 2013:2). The RIA statistics illustrate the vast changes that occurred within Ireland in a very short time frame. Despite Ireland's economy collapsing and entering into a recession in 2008 (Europa 2012), individuals continue to seek asylum in Ireland, though this number dropped to 956 individuals coming to Ireland in 2012 (RIA 2013:2).

It is important to recognize the difference between refugees and other immigrants, such as economic migrants, and how it may impact integration. Refugees have undergone a forced migration and include anyone who falls under the Geneva Convention of 1951, which states that the term 'refugee' applies to anyone who:

> Owing to well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside of the country of his nationality and is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself of the protection of that country; or who, not having a nationality and being outside the country of his former habitual residence as a result of such events, is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to return to it (UNHCR 2010:14)

Economic migrants, on the other hand, are individuals who are searching for better jobs and economic security, and thus choose to migrate to places where they have a better chance in achieving it (Cortes 2004:465). Though it can be argued that some economic migrants experience a kind of forced migration due to economic disasters in their country of origin, economic migrants still have the choice of which country they migrate to, as well

6

as the option of returning to their home country (Cortes 2004:465; Heins 1993:44; Seglow 2005:318). As will be discussed in greater detail in chapter 5 of this thesis, refugees seem to initially integrate more slowly than economic migrants (Cortes 2004:472). However, studies have shown that over a longer period of time refugees are often more committed than other migrants to succeeding, both socially and economically, in their new home country. It has been suggested that this relates to the fact that many refugees do not have the same option as other migrants to return home (Cortes 2004:465; UNHCR 2007:5).

Ireland's unique economic and social history creates an interesting context to study how minority groups integrate into a larger multicultural society. In my research, I will examine how integration, multiculturalism and interculturalism are used in state policies. In the development of these policies, it is important to recognize the context in which they arose: that is, within a neoliberal focused Irish state. According to Harvey:

> Neoliberalism is in the first instance a theory of political economic practices that proposes that human well-being can best be advanced by liberating individual entrepreneurial freedoms and skills within an institutional framework characterized by strong private property rights, free markets, and free trade. The role of the state is to create and preserve an institutional framework appropriate to such practices (2005:2).

Neoliberalism accomplishes this by "rolling back the state" and ensuring that key aspects of the state, such as money, policing, legal structures, and functioning markets, are secured and protected. Beyond this, the state has a very minimal role in the social and economic sectors. "Rolling back the state" results in the "deregulation, privatization, and withdrawal of the state from many areas of social provision" (Harvey 2005:3). This will be discussed in greater detail throughout the thesis in regards to how other organizations provide services previously assumed to be the responsibility of the state; however it is important to

7

recognize the impact of a neoliberal approach on integration policies. A more detailed discussion of multiculturalism and interculturalism as forms of integration will be provided in the theory section of this thesis.

In the context of Ireland, multiculturalism and interculturalism are important themes in the study of the integration of refugees. These themes have been used by various sectors of society to discuss refugee integration both positively and negatively, which has in turn affected both the integration and racialization of refugees. One sector that uses the themes of multiculturalism and interculturalism is state policy, which will be analyzed in greater detail in chapter 4. However, it is important to note that the government is invoking these terms with specific intents and goals in mind for the purpose of governing the Irish state. The underlying assumptions of these themes at the government level therefore have major implications for how these terms are understood within the country (Bryan 2008; Bushin & White 2010; Cullen 2009; Fanning 2006, 2011; Gray 2006b; Smith 2008, 2009).

Scholars and academics also have increasingly focused on the themes of multiculturalism and interculturalism in Ireland. Scholars have written about multiculturalism and interculturalism in review of government policy, as well as in regards to the interactions between the local community and the diverse groups who are seeking asylum. Multiculturalism and interculturalism are themes that are used to discuss whether or not these interactions allow for integration and have included discussions of racism and racialization (Fanning 2002, 2011; Harrington 2005; Kitching 2010; Lentin 2002, 2007; Lentin & McVeigh 2006; McVeigh 2002; McVeigh & Lentin 2002; Warren 2012).

These discussions of racism and racialization are important for my research, but it is first necessary to understand how these scholars are defining racism and evaluating it. Fanning defines racism as a term that describes:

> Negative attitudes and practices towards persons because of their membership of groups perceived to differ in physical or cultural characteristics of the perceiver. The starting point for such claims are beliefs that different races exist and that membership of a 'race' makes a person innately superior or inferior (Fanning 2002:9).

Following this definition of racism, Fanning describes racialization as the "process of race thinking by which specific groups of people are 'constructed' as a 'type' with reference to a limited number of physical and cultural characteristics" (Fanning 2002:12). These two definitions highlight the importance of these concepts, especially for research such as mine that focuses on vulnerable communities.

Though the concepts of racism and racialization are important, there must be caution in extending these terms and their definitions too far and implicating state policies or programs that may be exclusionary or unbalanced, but not necessarily racist. Kymlicka describes these exclusionary or unbalanced policies and programs as "unintentional burdens" that are remnants of past political institutions and rules that were originally created for a different social and political context (Kymlicka 1998:47). These "unintentional burdens", which can have real negative consequences for immigrant groups, come out of a historical context and thus are not specific practices aimed at groups due to their ethnicity or group membership. Recognizing these "unintentional burdens" is not to dismiss the exclusion that may result, but we must be aware that not all policy or institutions that may disadvantage members of society are necessarily racist.

Thus, it is important for me to be aware of how scholars are presenting and interpreting policies as being racist and why. By recognizing how other scholars have presented these policies, I am able to compare their findings to my own. When examining policy, it is important to keep in mind questions such as what constitutes a racist policy, what may be an "unintentional burden", and what policy may support integration at the state level.

State policy is extremely influential in the process of integration. It also is powerful in creating understandings of nationhood, history, and conceptual spaces available for integration. It is evident in academic literature, both in general scholarship and the scholarship specific to Ireland, that policy has major effects on the avenues available for integration and how successful the integration will be (Benhabib 2005; Bushin & White 2010; Cullen 2009; ECRI 2013; Fanning 2002, 2011; Gray 2006b; Harrington 2005; Vang 2012; Wright & Bloemraad 2012). Therefore, in order to conduct an analysis of refugee integration in Ireland, it is necessary to examine state policies of integration, multiculturalism, and interculturalism.

It is also necessary to examine the large number of active NGOs who focus on advocacy for refugees in order to explore refugees' integration in Ireland. As formal organizations, the role of NGOs in integration must be critically analyzed for, as previously stated, they are in a unique position between the government and refugees. For my analysis, I examined NGOs that focus specifically on minority advocacy and policy recommendation in order to explore what the role of NGOs is in refugee integration in Ireland.

The unique context of the Republic of Ireland must be recognized in order to better explore my research questions. The history of poverty and exclusion as a colonial landscape has direct impacts on the local understanding of what it means to be the dominant 'White' Irish culture and how ethnic minority groups are then able to integrate with this identity (Fanning 2002; Inglis 2008; Peatling 2005; Shubin 2010; Whelan 2007; Whelan & Maître 2010). Some scholars, such as Ronit Lentin, believe that Ireland has an old wound from its colonial past that has not yet healed (Lentin 2002:228). This wound has created specific understandings of integration and multiculturalism that differ from other countries, and in turn has created specific approaches to how policy is enacted and how it relates to the lived experience of integration.

1.2 Geographical Context

In order to keep my research feasible, I used the city of Dublin as the geographic area and context for my study. Recognizing that as the capital city and a large urban centre Dublin may not represent all of Ireland in its refugee integration experience, it still provides a valid geographical context for my thesis. As the capital city, Dublin is an important site for refugee integration in Ireland. The Irish government offices are located in Dublin and are often used for government-related meetings. Most of the prominent NGOs in Ireland also have their main offices in Dublin. The concentration of NGOs in Dublin is tied to the fact that Dublin is almost exclusively the point of arrival for new asylum seekers.

Dublin is also an interesting geographical context for my thesis as it is part of a project called the Intercultural Cities Programme, which includes around 50 cities mostly from Europe, but also from around the world. These cities are chosen because they all look

at interculturalism in an engaged and interactive manner (Council of Europe, 2014).

According to the Council of Europe website:

> The Intercultural cities programme supports cities in reviewing their policies through an intercultural lens and developing comprehensive intercultural strategies to help them manage diversity positively and realise the diversity advantage. The programme proposes a set of analytical and practical tools to help local stakeholders through the various stages of the process (Council of Europe, 2014).

Cities share information with one another and compare and contrast different models, ideas, and ways of doing programs to facilitate interculturalism (Interview 2 July 18, 2014:4).[1]

Finally, Dublin is an interesting case study as it is governed at the municipal level by the Dublin City Council (DCC), which has its own Office of Integration. The DCC Office of Integration focuses specifically on integration within the city boundaries of Dublin and thus adds another level of government that affects refugees' integration. For these reasons, Dublin makes a good research site for my thesis.

1.3 Conclusion

In this thesis, I will seek to answer the research questions that I laid out at the beginning of this chapter. In order to answer these research questions, chapter 2 will first provide the theoretical framework of my study. I based my research in a multi-theoretical approach that includes migration theory, integration theory, multicultural theory, and social capital theory. This is followed by a detailed discussion of my methods in chapter 3. This discussion will include the primary data collection methods that I used before and during the fieldwork, as well as the secondary research and analysis methods used after the

---

[1] The style used for the Interview citations include the number indicating the chronological order in which they were conducted, the date they were conducted, and the transcription page number. This citation style is used for the purpose of the interviewees' anonymity.

fieldwork was completed. I also explain how I approached research ethics in my study.

Next, I will present two analysis chapters. In chapter 4, I will examine integration at both

the state and local government levels, including discussions of both government structures

and policies concerning refugee integration. In chapter 5, I will discuss the lived

experience of integration by exploring the role of NGOs in refugee integration, as well as

how refugees experience integration themselves. This chapter includes a case study that

illustrates NGOs' mediating role in the integration of refugees, as well as examples of how

refugees understand and explain their own integration. In chapter 6, I provide the

conclusions and present topics for further discussion.

Chapter 2: Theory

This thesis draws on multiple theories to create an interdisciplinary theoretical framework. This interdisciplinary framework guides my exploration and examination of social and cultural phenomena related to my research topic. I base my research in an interdisciplinary approach and in the intersection of several theoretical frameworks, including migration theory; integration theory; multicultural theory; social capital; and feminist theory and methodology.

2.1 Interdisciplinary Approach

Part of my thesis' interdisciplinary nature is its grounding in both Anthropology and Political Science. These two fields provide a framework that is beneficial for answering my research questions that would not exist in either discipline independently. Anthropology and Political Science share a common characteristic of seeking to produce systematic knowledge about how power is exercised in politics in diverse societies (Aronoff & Kubik 2013:2). However, the different approaches in Anthropology and Political Science to studying power and politics cause them to produce different bodies of knowledge. Aronoff and Kubik (2013) highlight the variation in data as stemming from the traditional differences in the goals and focus of the disciplines. Anthropologists traditionally focused on single cases that favoured rich narrative descriptions and worked towards the discipline's goal of interpretation of the case or event to allow for greater comparative research with other descriptive cases (Aronoff & Kubik 2013:3; Ember et al 2009:2). Political Scientists, according to Aronoff and Kubik, traditionally looked at a larger number of cases, separating data into variables that relate to one another in order to study relationships through hypotheses and scientific inquiry with the goal of general

explanation (Aronoff & Kubik 2013:3; Jackson & Jackson 2000:6). It must be recognized, however, that there is now significant overlap between the disciplines' approaches and that these two fields are not as distinctly polarized as these generalizations suggest. Both anthropologists and political scientists now use focused case studies and/or multiple case studies in their research, thus these two disciplines are compatible for an interdisciplinary study.

My research developed out of the complementary nature of these two disciplines in the exploration of cultural and political systems. The benefit of using these disciplines together is that they can provide valuable insights through the comparison of the different bodies of knowledge they produce and the different types of questions they ask. What is useful for my research is the ability to draw on the disciplines' different theories and methodologies that when combined allow for a more holistic examination of refugee integration in Ireland.

2.2 Migration Theory

Migration theory is one of the interdisciplinary theories that I use in my research. Migration theory is interdisciplinary by nature as it studies the various reasons and impacts of the movement of people and how migration affects all levels of social existence (Castles 2010:1569). Migration theory is closely connected to theories of globalization and how international migration leads to community formation and social and cultural change (Castles 2002:1144; Glick Schiller & Caglar 2009:179-180). An important concept in migration theory is the connection of migration to broader social relationships and processes of change (Castles 2010:1566). In his article, Castles refers "to these processes as *social transformation*, as a convenient label to facilitate discussion of the *complexity*,

interconnectedness, variability, contextuality and multi-level mediations of global change" (Castles 2010:1566 italics in original). Migration theory is therefore useful for exploring international migration to Ireland.

Social transformation, as defined by Castles, is "a fundamental shift in the way society is organized that goes beyond the continual processes of incremental social change that are always at work" (Castles 2010:1576). Ireland's economic and social historical processes provide excellent examples of how migration affects culture and society. Migration theory provides the theoretical framework for discussing certain concepts and questions that affect the social transformation of a particular place. Some of the concepts and/or questions that migration theory asks concern the impacts of transnational migration on refugees and/or their integration (Castles 2010; Glick Schiller & Caglar 2009) and how the governance of migration relates to policies of multiculturalism, interculturalism and integration (Bryan 2008; Kymlicka 1995, 2001).

Through migration theory, it is also possible to explore questions of social transformation that look at the social interactions that take place after migration has occurred. These questions include: how spatial control is used in response to migrants (Bushin & White 2010; Smith 2009); what the roles of NGO advocacy groups are in response to refugees (Barasko 2005; Cullen 2009); and how racism affects refugees (Fanning 2011; Lentin & McVeigh 2006; McVeigh & Lentin 2002). These are valuable points of inquiry because they explore social dimensions between marginalized groups and socially dominant or powerful groups. Migration theory is thus important to my multi-theoretical approach. It connects the research community to the larger global context of the movement of refugees and why they are moving and, importantly for my research, what

16

happens when they arrive at their destination and stop moving. Migration theory also focuses on the changes in society connected to the presence of refugees which recognizes the dynamic relationship of integration between the dominant society and refugees.

In my research I recognize that refugee migration has many different stages, all of which affect individuals and their personal well-being (Ager 1999:2). Ager separates refugee migration into four stages: pre-flight; flight; reception; and settlement (Ager 1999:3-9). Each stage includes its own stresses and impacts on refugees' experience of migration and their physical, mental, and emotional well-being. In Ireland, reception is the time asylum seekers spend waiting for their refugee applications to be processed. My research focuses on the end stage of migration after refugee status has been granted and how refugees settle into Irish society. However, I recognize the previous stages of the migration process and address them when they have a direct impact on refugees' settlement in Ireland. Settlement represents the time after asylum seekers have been granted refugee status and are living and interacting with the dominant Irish society. In examining settlement as the last stage of migration, I use integration theory to explore how refugees are or are not integrated into the Irish society. In this examination, I draw on the concepts of multiculturalism and interculturalism as a way to explore how the integration process is happening in Ireland.

2.3 Integration Theory

Another theory I use in my research is integration theory. In my application of integration theory, I draw on discussions of multiculturalism and interculturalism. How these different terms relate will be discussed in further detail below; however it is

important to recognize that they are closely interconnected. Though the policy applications of these terms may vary, the theoretical foundations are the same.

Integration theory looks at how a population that contains diversity, including ethnic, religious, or other forms of difference, functions to create a cohesive society with a mutual understanding of commonality. Integration theory studies the social bonds that tie a community together and the process of how individuals from outside of the community attempt to take part and enter into those social bonds (Blau 1960:546). Integration theory also focuses on the maintenance of a cohesive society that occurs through state institutions and policies that create and regulate spaces of integration (Ruiz-Tagle 2013:398). Space, in this sense, is both physical and ideological. Physical space relates to the physical geography of where interaction and integration occurs, such as in schools or libraries. Ideological space refers to the policies and governance structures that facilitate integration. The need for integration arises when an established society is faced with individuals who seek entrance and are perceived as being 'different' by both themselves and the society they are seeking to enter (Modood 2013:146).

Yet what does the integration of 'different' individuals include and when can an individual be considered integrated? Integration can be measured and understood to function at two levels (Murphy 2012:66). One level is the creation of state institutions or policies that aid in the integration of individuals seeking entrance, such as immigrants or refugees (Modood 2013:6; Parekh 2006:204). These state institutions and policies result in the physical manifestations of integration that may impact various aspects of society, such as employment, education, housing, political involvement, and ease of access to the citizenship process (Kymlicka 1998:26; Modood 2013:146; Parekh 2009:43). All of these

indicators of integration focus on the inclusion of individuals at the state and public level, creating both physical and ideological spaces that allow for the integration of new or 'different' individuals.

The other level of integration occurs at the more individual or personal level. This form of integration takes into account an individual's sense of belonging, identity, and emotional connection to the community and/or place. This personal or emotional level of integration can be measured through an individual's own sense and feeling of their position in society, their connection to both their place of origin and their new country of residence, and their sense of being an active or involved member of society (Kymlicka 1998:49,53; Lovell 2003:4; Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:40; Smith 2009:89). This level of integration also relates to the personal decisions of individuals, such as whether or not they develop friendships and/or intermarry across ethnic and cultural boundaries, and if they decide to seek citizenship in their new home country (Kymlicka 1998:54). Integration considered at this level is more subjective and can vary between people, or even between one individual's experiences and feelings. These two levels of integration are not mutually exclusive, as individuals may use physical manifestations of integration as evidence, measurement or examples that they are personally integrating. For example, as discussed in chapter 5, many refugees refer to their employment or the fact that they are learning English as evidence of their integration into Irish society.

As integration occurs at these two levels, it is recognized that both state and/or public institutions and individuals have a role to play, if an individual is to be considered 'integrated'. Parekh explains this as a moral contract that is made between the integrating individual and the society (Parekh 2009:40). The individual has a moral and emotional

commitment to the society, which can be expressed by valuing the society's well-being, respecting society's structure of authority and laws, and fulfilling their roles and obligations as citizens, including finding employment and participating in everyday life (Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:42). The individual must also have enough cultural understanding to navigate the society's social structures and, perhaps in time, come to value and internalize cultural norms into their social and personal identity (Parekh 2009:42).

Since integration is a two way process, it should include the receiving society's moral responsibilities and commitments to the integrating individuals. Part of the moral responsibilities of a society or state to the integrating members is to remove as much as possible any hindrances to integration that may exist. These hindrances can include material, social, cultural, and political disadvantages (Parekh 2009:45), such as the inability to participate in politics and political activities due to language barriers. By providing policies or programs (such as language and other educational programs) that address these disadvantages, societies can provide the physical and ideological spaces that allow for the integration of newcomers. Policies that promote integration at the society or state level can include: access to various levels of employment and the proper training for employment, such as language and computer skills; access to housing and residential integration; and ease of gaining citizenship and participating in political actions (Kymlicka 1998:19; Modood 2013:146; Parekh 2009:44-45).

Integration theory thus provides a strong framework for examining integration policies at the Irish state level and the lived experience of refugee integration. By using the different indicators of integration present in state policies and the refugees' own emotional

or personal understandings of integration, I am able to explore integration in Ireland in a more holistic way. Integration theory's focus on these indicators helped determine the methods I used in my research in order to gain the data necessary to explore refugee integration in Ireland.

2.4 Multiculturalism

Integration theory is a large, overarching research theory. Though it provides discussions on some of the moral understandings of integration and some of the markers of integration, integration itself can take multiple forms (Modood 2013:146). In order to explore integration in Ireland, I used the social and political theory of multiculturalism. Multiculturalism itself is a broad concept that is used in multiple ways and can represent various ideas, some of which can be conflicting and potentially detrimental to political and social policies (Murphy 2012:3; Wieviorka 1998:882). It is necessary to explore the different forms multiculturalism can take in order to discuss the use of this concept as a social and political theory for studying integration.

Wieviorka states that the confusion with the term 'multiculturalism' is because it does not have only one definition but can refer to theory, policy, or fact, which can be analytically distinguished from each other (Wieviorka 1998:883). Wieviorka states that care must be taken when applying these different understandings of multiculturalism in order to keep the differences clear when comparing them to one another, and to recognize that there may not be direct connections between these forms of multiculturalism (Wieviorka 1998:883-884). Wieviorka's discussion of multiculturalism is very useful, as it highlights the importance of recognizing the difference between multiculturalism as a political philosophy or theory from the multicultural policies that are adopted by particular

states (Murphy 2012:4). That is, just because a policy claims to be multicultural, it does not mean that it necessarily represents multiculturalism as it is understood by theorists.

Due to the diversity of understandings and usages of multiculturalism, such as the variations that exist within multicultural political philosophy (Kymlicka 1998:22; Murphy 2012:4), it is necessary to be clear about how I will be using multiculturalism as a social and political theory to examine integration into Ireland. Following along the political philosophy presented by Modood, I will use the term multiculturalism as a specific mode of integration that recognizes integration as a two way process between individuals, and the state and society (Modood 2013:146). Murphy develops this further, stating that in this approach "multiculturalism advocates *policies* which seek to accommodate the different identities, values and practices of both dominant and non-dominant cultural groups in [a] culturally diverse society" (Murphy 2012:6). This indicates that the task for multicultural political philosophy is to provide the moral justification of the policies (Murphy 2012:6).

Central to this approach to multiculturalism is understanding how it functions as a mode of integration. Multiculturalism that defines integration as a two way process can be used to explore the ethics of promoting social cohesion in society. Multiculturalism as a social and political theory encourages the creation of policies that are fair and supportive to both the dominant culture and to ethnic minorities, such as immigrants who represent one of the central forms of cultural diversity within a society (Kymlicka 1998:52,55; Modood 2013:5). The ethical approaches promoted by multiculturalism include the establishment of policies that endorse ethnic and racial tolerance; highlight official and state support for multiculturalism; and provide funding for events that encourage cross-cultural interactions, all of which will affect not just the integrating members, but recognize that the society

itself must be included in the integration process (Kymlicka 1998:45-46; Murphy 2012:119; Parekh 2009:47). An important point raised by multicultural theorists is that fair and supportive policies will not necessarily be the same for the dominant society and cultural minorities. Kymlicka states that integration is a long-term process that may take generations, therefore special accommodations may be required for immigrants (Kymlicka 1998:52). Parekh develops a similar idea by stating that in order to provide equal opportunities for marginalized groups it may be necessary to grant them not only different but additional rights (Parekh 2006:262). These special or additional accommodations may include policies such as affirmative action programs to increase minority representation in the civil service, inclusion of different cultural histories and literature in the education curriculum, accommodation of cultural and/or religious dress and dietary codes, and flexible work hours in recognition of different religious holidays (Kymlicka 1998:42; Murphy 2012:117).

Policies, such as these listed, not only create the ideological and physical spaces for integration, but are also aimed at changing the attitudes and receptiveness of the society towards immigrants. In his advocacy of two way integration, Parekh explains the importance of a receptive society for integration. stating. "'We' cannot integrate 'them' so long as 'we' remain 'we'; 'we' must be loosened up to create a new common space in which 'they' can be accommodated and become part of a newly reconstituted 'we'" (Parekh 2006:204). In my research, I apply this theoretical approach to the questions of how or if refugees are accommodated and included in the ideological and physical spaces in Ireland.

As multicultural policy represents only one form through which integration can take place, it is necessary to recognize that there may be other policies present in Ireland that may also have an impact on refugee integration (Modood 2013:146; Parekh 2009:44-45). Murphy states that when examining integration:

> It is important to bear in mind that multicultural policies comprise only one small part of the legislative toolbox available to governments in their efforts to integrate newcomers, and may in fact be far less important than things like a fair and expeditious naturalization policy; an immigrant selection system that achieves a manageable rate of migrant intake, and which more efficiently matches entrants with appropriate labour-market opportunities; or a foreign-credential recognition program that helps ensure that migrants with professional backgrounds are not unfairly disadvantaged in employment competitions (Murphy 2012:120).

Multicultural policies may overlap with these other policies, but it is important to recognize that multiculturalism may not be the only driving force behind integration in these situations. Economics and other social factors may be equally or even more important than policies that focus on integration (Murphy 2012:10). For example, economics and the labour market may drive the immigrant selection system that is used when immigrants apply for citizenship, which encourages faster integration in society through access to work. Faster integration in this situation is based on the fact that the immigrant has the specific skills for which the labour market is selecting (Murphy 2012:10). Thus in this case integration is based on skill set rather than a desire for cultural or ethnic diversity, although integration into the workforce can assist integration into society. In the context of neoliberal Ireland, this may result in immigrants, including refugees, becoming active agents in their own integration by seeking employment and/or receiving training to increase their skill set from programs outside of government integration services in order to become economically contributing members of the community.

2.5 Interculturalism

There has been a recent change by both scholars and policymakers from using the term "multiculturalism" to using "interculturalism". Multiculturalism has come under critique by some scholars as being assimilationist and a flawed system of integration that homogenizes society through defined boundaries of allowable difference (Bryan 2008; Chin 1992; Gunew 1997; Lentin 2002; Lentin & McVeigh 2006; McVeigh & Lentin 2002; Schröttner 2012). For instance, Schröttner describes multiculturalism as promoting policies that highlight the cultural differences and target against social and economic disadvantages, such as racism and exclusion, experienced by ethnic minority groups (Schröttner 2012:24). While promoting positive aspects, Schröttner critiques multiculturalism as defining minority cultures as homogenous groups that does not allow for cultural variations within the defined 'Other' (Schröttner 2012:24). For Schröttner, multiculturalism is therefore merely a lesser form of assimilation. This critique is also voiced by Lentin specific to the Irish case. Lentin argues that multiculturalism developed as a way to 'deal' with those who failed to assimilate. She states that this anchors multiculturalism in two contradictory discourses where, on one hand, it demands universal equality for all citizens and on the other, it creates a politics of difference between the visible "civilized grandeur of the majority" and "the invisibility of minority dehumanization" in western culture (Lentin 2002:230.232). Thus in Ireland, a common approach to the multicultural debate has been to replace the term multiculturalism with the term interculturalism (Ging & Malcolm 2004; Nic Craith 2012; Watt 2006). Interculturalism recognizes the interaction of multiple cultures within one society and emphasizes the dynamics that exist between cultures, including between dominant and

minority cultures, and the potential that cultures have to learn from each other through dialogue and reciprocity (Ging & Malcolm 2004:127; Nic Craith 2012:15; Watt 2006:154).

In response to these critiques, it is important to note that many of the criticisms levied against multiculturalism stem from the conflation of the three different forms of multiculturalism laid out by Wieviorka (1998:883-884): multiculturalism as theory, policy, or fact. These critiques assume that the concept of multiculturalism has only one meaning in each situation to which it is applied. This can also be seen in the connection between the theory of interculturalism that is used by academics and the practice of interculturalism as used by the Irish government. In Ireland there is a goal to be distinct from the British political model of multiculturalism. In the British model minority groups are allowed to exist in their own smaller communities under the overarching umbrella of white British culture. There is a distinct separation that exists between the dominant culture and the minority cultures with the understanding that cultural influence will only flow from the top dominant culture down to the minority cultures (Interview 2, July 18, 2014:14); in other words, a one-way process. The focus on interculturalism thus became a way to discuss integration that allowed the Irish theorists and politicians to create an ideological distinction from the British multicultural model. Therefore, though the critiques presented by Schröttner and Lentin may be true within certain social settings of specific multicultural policies, I do not believe that they are relevant to how I will be using multiculturalism as a mode of integration at the level of political theory. In this thesis, I use the political theory of multiculturalism presented in the previous section, which is distinct from the British model and its subsequent critiques.

With this in mind, the assumed differences between multicultural and intercultural

theory are not in fact as sharp as they first seemed (Lentin 2002; Meer & Modood

2011:176). The defining characteristic of interculturalism is said to be its ability to

facilitate dialogue and to encourage exchange and reciprocal relationships and

understandings between people from different backgrounds, and importantly to not

eliminate differences while creating a common identity (Bouchard & Taylor 2008:287;

Meer & Modood 2011:182). In their discussion on integration through interculturalism,

Bouchard and Taylor define integration in a democracy as:

> The array of processes whereby a community organizes institutions, social relations
> and culture in a way that leads to the support of the greatest number of its members.
> From an individual standpoint, it is the array of choices by virtue of which a citizen
> participates fully if he [sic] so desires in the life of the host society, especially in the
> public sphere, and develops according to this traits and outlook (Bouchard & Taylor
> 2008:287). [2]

Following Modood, Murphy, Parekh and Kymlicka, I argue that this definition of

interculturalism does not differ in any significant way from an understanding of

multiculturalism as a mode of integration and as a social and political theory. I am using

multiculturalism to explore integration as occurring at two levels (personally and publicly),

just as Bouchard and Taylor present in their definition of integration through

interculturalism. In order for integration to occur in a fair and ethical manner between the

dominant society and immigrants, multicultural theory suggests that specialized policies

may be required that recognize minority groups' socially, culturally, and politically

---

[2] Interculturalism as promoted by Bouchard and Taylor (2008) is distinct from Quebec interculturalism.
Quebec interculturalism developed in response to Canadian multiculturalism and "affirms the primacy of the
Quebec state in the areas of politics and identity and challenges the reductionist notion that Quebec is a
monolithic ethnic group" (Gagnon & Iacovino 2005:28). Quebec interculturalism promotes a pluralistic
community within the province that requires minorities to adopt certain communal values, specifically
speaking the French Quebecois language (Chiasson 2012:3; Gagnon & Iacovino 2005:31). This form of
interculturalism has been criticized for requiring minorities to accommodate the majority in order to preserve
the majority culture (Chiasson 2012:12).

marginalized position in society. Multiculturalism is a social and political theory of integration that focuses on the diversity between or amongst cultures and how this diversity can be politically and socially incorporated through mutual accommodation (Kymlicka 1998:41; Modood 2011:190, 2013:6; Murphy 2012:6; Parekh 2006:240, 2009:48). Thus in my research, I use multiculturalism as the theoretical analytical tool for studying modes of integration. I recognize that the term interculturalism is included in Irish policy and social discussions in accordance with the preferred term used in Ireland; however, as discussed above, it is important to recognize that this usage of interculturalism in Ireland stems from a desire to distinguish itself from a form of British multiculturalism (Interview 2 July 18, 2014:14).

2.6 Social Capital Theory

Recognition of the heterogeneity of almost all societies globally is significant to the study of integration. In these heterogeneous communities, most of the increase in ethnic diversity has been a result of immigration (Putnam 2007:137). Immigration, and the resulting ethnic diversity it causes, have an impact on both the bounded community that is being immigrated into, as well as the individuals who are doing the immigrating. One useful theory for studying human diversity within a defined community is social capital theory.

One of the most influential figures within social capital theory and social policy debates is Robert Putnam (Fanning 2011:36). According to Putnam, "social capital refers to connections among individuals – social networks and the norms of reciprocity and trustworthiness that arise from them" (Putnam 2000:19). Social capital includes all forms of social networks, including: politics; community institutions such as clubs; religious

bodies; work organizations; and informal social ties like bowling leagues and friendships (Putnam 2000:27). Social capital differs from other forms of capital such as human capital and physical capital. Human capital refers to the tools and training that enhance an individual's productivity (Putnam 2000:18). Physical capital refers to the physical tools available to an individual (Putnam 2000:19). Concerning social capital, Putnam argues:

> The core idea of social capital theory is that social networks have value. Just as a screwdriver (physical capital) or a college education (human capital) can increase productivity (both individual and collective), so too social contacts affect the productivity of individuals and groups (Putnam 2000:19).

Putnam further states that social capital may not always be positive for individuals outside of the social networks and that there are different forms of social capital (Putnam 2000:21).

Two important forms of social capital are bonding and bridging. Bonding social capital refers to exclusive social networks that reinforce seemingly homogenous groups and identities. Bonding social capital represents the ties between people who are alike in some important way, whether it is ethnicity, gender, religion, or other social identifications (Putnam 2007:143). Bridging social capital represents inclusive networks that are "better for linkages to external assets and for information diffusion" (Putnam 2000:22). Bridging social capital results in broader identities and reciprocity, and creates ties to people who are unlike or different from each other in some form (Putnam 2000:23, 2007:143).

Putnam argues that bonding social capital may create strong out-group antagonism by supporting strong in-group loyalty, however bonding and bridging social capital are not necessarily inversely related to one another (Putnam 2000:23, 2007:144). As Putnam states, increased bonding social capital may be compatible with increased bridging social capital, just as decreased bonding social capital may be compatible with decreased bridging social capital (Putnam 2007:144). Due to social capital's large range of networks, groups

29

are often simultaneously bonding along some social networks and bridging across others. Examples of this include bonding along racial social ties that bridge across class, or bonding along religious networks that bridge across ethnicity (Putnam 2000:23).

Social capital theory is very useful when exploring the integration of outside individuals into a bounded community. How both bonding and bridging social capital are utilized in the integration process, or conversely against the integration process, are important factors for understanding how diversity may affect a community. Social capital theory has been used by both Putnam (2007) and Fanning (2011) in discussions on the impact of ethnic diversity on a community. In the short-term timeframe, immigration and ethnic diversity tend to reduce social solidarity and social capital by lowering trust and community cooperation, both between and within ethnic groups (Fanning 2011:8; Putnam 2007:137). However, in the long-term social capital argues that immigration and ethnic diversity will have cultural, economic, fiscal and developmental benefits as communities and societies create and/or recreate socially hyphenated identities that encompass diversity (Fanning 2011:49; Putnam 2007:137).

2.7 Feminist Theory and Methodology

Important to my research is understanding the lived experience of integration from the perspective of the refugee. Included in this perspective is the refugee's sense of belonging and how s/he understands and/or interprets what it means to be integrated. I use feminist theory to guide my methodology to add the voice of the refugee to the research and to allow for an analysis that will examine the relationship between the policy of integration and multiculturalism/interculturalism and the lived experience of that policy.

Feminist theory is very applicable to social research. This includes research that does not focus specifically on women's issues or issues of gender. There is a plethora of examples that can be found where feminist theory goes beyond a focus on women and is used as an approach to the methods in order to focus on marginalized communities (see Lyon-Callo 2008).

Drawing from feminist theory, my research focuses on issues of agency and voice of NGO advocates and refugees. Within feminist theory, agency is understood to be the capacity of people to recognize their own interests against the weight of custom, tradition, transcendental will, and other social obstacles, both individual and collective (Allen 1999:68; Mahmood 2013:536). It involves the search or desire for autonomy and self-expression, and the recognition of individual power and influence (Allen 1999:68; Mahmood 2013:536). An individual's agency can be understood through self-determination, which is an ongoing process of exercising individual will and the ability to take charge of one's life and construct self-narratives independent from the dominant society (Meyers 2002:4). Agency provides a theoretical framework for recognizing how NGO advocates and refugees have individual autonomy and power separate from the state, and the role they can personally have in influencing their own integration.

Concerning issues of voice, feminist theory recognizes that there are voices that are silenced systematically and oppressed by groups or individuals with power (Lugones & Spelman 2013:18; Meyers 2002:4). Feminist theory focuses on how individual parts of life fit together and relate, and how individuals locate the self concretely in this life and the world. Following this, voice provides a way to understand and examine the extent to which the self is responsible (or not) for its location in the world. Voice also provides criteria for

change and suggestions for modes of resistance that relate to the particular voices involved. Importantly, through the concept of voice, feminist theory argues that theory should have an applied aspect that is accessible to the individuals interested in resistance (Lugones & Spelman 2013:21-22). In feminist theory, voice is the recognition of an individual's ability to tell their own life story apart from the dominant voices in society (Meyers 2002:4).

Feminist theory is therefore very applicable to any form of social research and has moral qualities in recognizing groups and individuals in oppressed or minority situations. Feminist theory also dictates methodological approaches for how to conduct research with groups, including learning to become "unintrusive, unimportant, patient to the point of tears, while at the same time open to learning any possible lessons" (Lugones & Spelman 2013:23). Feminist theory therefore provides a strong framework for my methodology of community based research, because of its focus on the importance of the research community. Following on this discussion of how theory shapes methodology, I will discuss the methods I used in my research and how they are grounded in feminist methodology and community based research in the following chapter.

Chapter 3: Methods

Having established my theoretical framework, in this chapter I will present the methodology and methods used in my research study. As mentioned in the previous chapter, I used community based research and feminist methodology to focus my research on NGOs and refugees in a collaborative and engaged model of enquiry. Stoecker provides three general principles guiding community based research: 1) collaborative enterprise between researchers and community members; 2) validating multiple sources of knowledge and promoting the use of multiple methods of discovery and disseminating the knowledge produced; and 3) the goal of social action and social change for the purpose of enhancing social justice (Stoecker 2003:35). Community based research is thus an approach to research that views community members as active participants. Community based research also influences how the research conclusions are published in accessible and usable formats for the stakeholders of the study (Stoecker 2003:36).

Grounded in this community based research and feminist methodology, my research analysis consisted of using a top-down and bottom-up approach. The top-down approach entailed reviewing state policies and structures that were developed for refugee integration. The bottom-up approach explored refugees' understanding and experiences of integration in Ireland. NGOs were included as a mediator between top-down and the bottom-up integration as they represent informal governing bodies in Ireland.

This chapter outlines the methods I used in my research. I first define my research community and the reasons why I chose to focus on this particular community. I then discuss each of my methods, including policy analysis and ethnographic research,

specifically participant observation and semi-structured interviews. I end the chapter with a discussion of the methods of analysis that I used after the fieldwork was completed.

3.1 The Research Community

For this thesis, my targeted research community was refugees. I focus on refugees because they represent a marginalized group in society. Refugees have undergone a forced migration and include anyone who falls under the Geneva Convention of 1951 definition, as mentioned in chapter 1. Other immigrants, such as economic migrants, have chosen to migrate to Ireland and are allowed to work in their new home country. Economic migrants' needs and the state policy that applies to them are therefore different than those for refugees. Importantly, economic migrants generally maintain stronger connections to their country of origin and retain the option of returning if they so choose (Cortes 2004:465).

In Ireland, the term 'refugee' is applied to multiple groups of people who fall under different legal categories and may have different rights and obligations from one another (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities, 2015). Recently there have been proposed changes to Ireland's immigration law in the form of the General Scheme of the International Protection Bill (proposed March 25, 2015), a piece of legislation which aims to introduce a single application procedure for protection (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities, 2015). This has been a major political issue for some NGO advocacy groups (Conlan 2014; The Integration Centre 2014:23, 25-26), however, until this bill is passed the people seeking protection in Ireland are still separated into different categories, including: convention refugees; programme refugees; subsidiary protection; and leave to remain.

Convention refugees are individuals who fulfill the requirements of the definition of a refugee defined by the Geneva Convention in the Refugee Act, 1996. While waiting for their refugee application to be processed, individuals have "leave to remain" in Ireland as asylum seekers and are entitled to emergency accommodation and health and social welfare supports (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities, 2015; Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform 1999:8). This is the general legal category of refugees which I refer to in my thesis.

Programme refugees are another legal category in Ireland. These are individuals who have been invited to Ireland by the government in response to a humanitarian crisis, usually in response to a request from United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). These individuals generally have the same rights as convention refugees, however they may only require temporary protection or resettlement and may therefore not be long-term residents in Ireland (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities, 2015; Department of Justice, Equality, and Law Reform 1999:8-9).

There are also two other legal categories related to the term 'refugee' that are granted in particular circumstances: subsidiary protection and leave to remain. Subsidiary protection applies to someone who may not fulfill the definition of a refugee, but has proven on 'substantial grounds' that if returned to their country of origin they would risk serious harm (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities, 2015). Asylum seekers may submit an application for subsidiary protection at the same time as they submit a refugee application. Leave to remain is given to individuals who do not qualify for refugee status or subsidiary protection, but who for humanitarian or other reasons may be given leave to remain in Ireland (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities,

2015; Department of Justice, Equality, and Law Reform 1999:9). Individuals who have leave to remain have similar rights to individuals who have been granted refugee status. Though I generally focus on convention refugees, there is significant overlap of the legal categories in regards to the individuals who make use of NGO services.

It is important to have a sense of who these refugees are: what are their numbers; their gender breakdown; and where are they coming from. Finding specific information on the numbers of convention refugees in Ireland is difficult, therefore I pulled from various data sets and collated the information in order to provide a sense of their demographic profile. This includes an estimate of the number of convention refugees in Ireland, as well as their main countries of origin. From 2002 to the end of February 2016, there were 3,912 positive recommendations granting refugee status out of a total of 63,535 processed cases (ORAC 2016:3). These positive recommendations represent the first application round and do not reflect the number of individuals who may have been accepted after the various appeal processes. It must be recognized that these numbers do not directly relate to the number of applications for refugee status between the years of 2002 to 2016. Ireland has a slow processing timeframe and individuals can live in Direct Provision for many years while waiting for their application results. Between 2002 and February 2016, there were 42,104 applications for refugee status in Ireland (ORAC 2016:1; RIA 2014:7[3]). The difference between the number of cases processed (63,535) and the total number of applications (42,104) is 21,431. This number represents those individuals who had applied for refugee status prior to 2002.

---

[3] Out of the 42,104 applications for refugee status, there were 40,882 new applications and 1,222 re-applications (ORAC 2016:1). RIA's Annual Reports combine the two categories of new applications and re-applications under the title 'Applications for Declaration as a Refugee' and does not differentiate between them (RIA 2014:7).

Again statistics on the gender breakdown for refugees is not available, however, we can get a general sense of that breakdown based on asylum seeker statistics. There have been shifts in the gender ratios of individuals seeking asylum in Ireland over the years. From 1998 to 2003, many of the individuals seeking asylum were women, as indicated by the statistics on Nigerian migrants alone where the ratio was on average approximately two females to every one male asylum seeker (Smith 2008:65). RIA did not provide statistics on the gender ratio until the 2007 Annual Report, at which time the ratio had shifted to more men than women seeking asylum. In 2007, there was a total of 3,203 men and 2,689 women asylum seekers living in Direct Provision (RIA 2007:22-26). This trend of more men than women migrating is typical and has continued. For example in 2015, there was a total of 4,814 individuals living in the Direct Provision Accommodation System: 2,889 were male; 1,770 were female; and 155 were unidentified (likely minors) (RIA 2015:7).

It is difficult to identify the country of origin for convention refugees as this information is not provided by the government agencies. However, by reviewing RIA's Annual Reports and Monthly Statistics, it is possible to identify the country of origin for asylum seekers applying for refugee status and thus by extension have a sense of the possible origin countries of refugees. From 2000[4] to 2013, asylum seekers' main country of origin was Nigeria. The following top four countries varied between the countries of the Democratic Republic of Congo, Pakistan, China, Albania, Somalia, Zimbabwe, Bangladesh, Iraq, Romania, Iran, Sudan, Georgia, Afghanistan, Malawi, India, Moldova, Ukraine, Croatia, and Czech Republic (ORAC, Monthly Statistics, December, 2001-2003;

[4] Asylum applications began to increase in Ireland in the late 1990s. However, the statistics prior to 2000 concerning the breakdown of applicant's country of origin are not available. Therefore, these trends concerning the country of origin may have started early than indicated here.

RIA, Annual Reports, 2007-2015; RIA, Monthly Statistics, December, 2004-2006). In 2014 and 2015, Pakistan was the main country of origin, with Nigeria remaining in the top five countries.

It is also challenging to determine where convention refugees end up living in Ireland after they are granted their status. However, the 2011 census does identify the cities and counties with the highest diversity in their population's country of origin. In 2011, Galway City had the highest percentage of diversity within its resident population. With regards to absolute numbers, however, Dublin City had the highest number of non-Irish nationals (83,038 individuals), followed by Fingal (a small sub-county located near Dublin City) (49,517 individuals), and County Cork (42,886) (Government of Ireland 2012:10). The identification of 'non-Irish nationals' includes anyone who has immigrated to Ireland and does not refer specifically to convention refugees. However, this does provide some indication for where higher rates of multi-ethnic diversity exist within Ireland.

When I began my thesis, I did not intend to discuss asylum seekers. However, I have found that it is impossible to discuss refugee integration without recognizing refugees' previous experiences as asylum seekers and the time they spent in Direct Provision Accommodation. Therefore, I will discuss Direct Provision Accommodation as far as it pertains to refugees' lived experience of integration in Ireland.

NGOs represent another part of my research community. As I mentioned in the introduction, I include NGOs as a way to better understand the relationship between government integration policies and service implementation, as well as how NGOs act as advocates for refugees and as 'safe places' of integration. NGOs represent a unique sector

in society as they are not governmental organizations, yet they are still informal governing bodies. NGOs are often representatives for marginalized communities within the political sphere, however it must be recognized that many NGOs receive funding from the government and must follow governmental guidelines. Therefore, the role of NGOs in refugee integration and advocacy must be critically assessed. A greater discussion of NGOs and their role in refugee integration is provided in chapter 5.

Another part of my research community includes government officials and government departments. These government departments administer the structures and policies set in place for refugee integration. I also include integration departments that exist at the city level in this discussion. The government departments and officials that I included in my research community are discussed in the following section on policy analysis.

3.2 Policy Analysis

I used the method of policy analysis to review government policies that apply to refugees and ethnic minority integration. Initially I proposed to review policies from the government departments of the Office of Refugee Applications Commissioner (ORAC); the Department of Justice and Equality and its sub-department of Irish Naturalisation and Immigration Services (INIS); and policies of the Office for the Promotion of Migration Integration (OPMI). However, the policy review became more focused on the Department of Justice and Equality and its sub-department the OPMI after I began to conduct the policy research following the completion of my field work. In Ireland, asylum seekers and refugees have different legal statuses and are therefore covered by different government departments and legislation. ORAC and INIS, though closely related government

departments, do not deal with the legal category of refugees in their mandates. Instead, ORAC and INIS focus on individuals who are in the process of applying for refugee status (i.e. asylum seekers). Once individuals have been granted refugee status they fall under the jurisdiction of the Department of Justice and Equality's sub-department the OPMI. As I have explained, these individuals who have been granted refugee status make up the research target group for my thesis; therefore, my policy analysis focused on the departments that preside over them.

I reviewed and examined state integration policy statements including: *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999) and *Migration Nation* (2008). These two policies have been the main sources of state integration policy in Ireland. I also reviewed government websites, such as the OPMI and Citizens Information Bureau, for the history and development of government integration policies and associated structures, as well as for any information or explanations the government provided on integration.

My policy analysis also included the OPMI's current review of state integration policy. The government review process, which is discussed in greater detail in chapter 4, provided me with an opportunity to explore the government's views of integration and what they believe to be important to Ireland's integration policy. The OPMI's integration policy review also included a Call for Submissions to the public (OPMI, Review of Integration Strategy, 2015). The OPMI received submissions from various sectors of the public, including: NGOs; sports groups; faith-based groups; and individuals. By systematically analyzing the submissions from NGOs, I was able to include in my research various NGOs' critiques of the current government integration policy in Ireland. This includes reviews of both the positive and negative aspects of the policy.

I also reviewed and examined Dublin City Council's (DCC) Office of Integration's publication *Towards Integration: A City Framework* (2008). The DCC's publication is an integration strategy for the city of Dublin, which is a level of government that featured more prominently in my thesis than I expected. As both the capital city and an Intercultural City, Dublin has been very proactive in its movements towards multiculturalism/interculturalism and integration, and is involved in the state government's current development of integration strategies.

3.3 Ethnographic research

Ethnographic research methods were critical for gathering primary data. Ethnography is defined by LeCompte and Schensul as a "[s]ystematic approach to learning about the social and cultural life of communities, institutions, and other settings" (LeCompte & Schensul 2010:1). This systematic approach is scientific and investigative, where the researcher is the primary tool of data collection who uses rigorous methods and techniques in data collection to avoid bias, yet still recognizes the researcher's role in the process (Ellen 1984:18; Grills 1998:10; LeCompte & Schensul 2010:1). Importantly for LeCompte and Schensul's definition of ethnography,

> Ethnographic research emphasizes and builds on the perspectives of the people in the research setting [and] uses both inductive and deductive approaches, so as to build more effective and socially and culturally valid local theories for testing and adapting them for use both locally and elsewhere (LeCompte & Schensul 2010:1).

The ethnographic approach is therefore very useful in my thesis as it focuses on the community and the community's perspectives of its own culture, as well as recognizing my role as the researcher in the study process. I conducted ethnographic research by taking into consideration history, culture, and factors that relate to my research in how they affect or are interpreted by the research community (Ellen 1984:18; Grills 1998:3,14; LeCompte

41

& Schensul 2010:114). In my field research I used the two methods of ethnographic research: participant observation and one-on-one semi-structured interviews.

3.3.1 Ethics

Prior to starting my fieldwork I identified potential ethical issues raised by my ethnographic participant observation and semi-structured interviews. I applied for and received approval from the University of Northern British Columbia's Research Ethics Board (REB) for working with human participants. In regards to my participant observation at an NGO, I recognized that I would be in a setting that worked with asylum seekers and refugees, who are a marginalized and vulnerable community. This raises ethical issues concerning the safety and confidentiality of the clients while job shadowing at an NGO. In order to deal with this appropriately, I first sent the NGO an initial contact email that had been approved by the REB. I then received consent from the NGO in the form of an email prior to beginning my participant observation. During the fieldwork I job shadowed with different workers and followed their examples in how to correctly interact with the clients. In writing my thesis, I intentionally did not include any names or identifiers of the clients in order to protect and respect their identity.

Concerning the semi-structured interviews, an ethical issue was the anonymity and confidentiality of government and NGO workers. In order to gather the necessary data for my research I used qualitative research techniques to record the personal views and opinions of the research participants. This recognizes the individual voices of the different stakeholders. However, this also raises ethical issues in respecting and protecting the participants so that they do not experience any risks from taking part in an interview.

In order to appropriately deal with this ethical issue I sent the REB copies of my initial contact email scripts, participant information letters, participant consent forms, and interview questions to ensure that none of the materials would create risks for the interview participants.[5] In the field I provided the interview participants with the information letter about my research before meeting with them. At the beginning of the interview I discussed the consent form with the participant, which details their rights and if they agree to have the interview recorded. I highlighted the interview participant's right to remain anonymous and to make any or all of their answers confidential. I then had them sign a copy of the consent form, which I kept for my records, to indicate that they were giving informed consent to participate in an interview. Following the fieldwork, I kept the recordings and the transcripts in a secure place and did not use any names or confidential information in the text of this thesis.

One risk I identified in my research for refugee interviewees was the potential of psychological/emotional risk, due to their marginalized status and the reminder of possible traumatic experiences they had while in their country of origin and travelling to Ireland. In my application to the REB, I provided protocols for how I would respond should these ethical issues arise, including highlighting that refugee interviewees could choose not to answer any particular question. However, as I will discuss later, I did not end up interviewing refugees during my fieldwork.

3.3.2 Participant Observation

Participant observation is an ethnographic field method that records situations as they are happening and what these events mean to the study population (Ellen 1984:23;

---

[5] See Appendix 3 of this thesis for copies of the research materials.

LeCompte & Schensul 2010:174). Participant observation also includes in its focus any daily social living that occurs that could pertain to the research study (Ellen 1984:23; LeCompte & Schensul 2010:174). I conducted ethnographic participant observation during my fieldwork in Dublin, Ireland from July 13th to August 9th, 2014. In my research, participant observation was an ongoing process of recording primary data through note taking and photography in the physical spaces created for refugees, such as the NGO buildings and community centres. Participant observation further involved observing daily life and social interactions in Dublin, experiencing and exploring the city into which refugees were integrating.

One aspect of the participant observation that I conducted was going to public spaces to observe which sectors of the community were represented and for whom these public spaces were created. I visited three libraries: ILAC Library, Pearse Library, and the Phibsboro Library, all of which are funded by the DCC and UNESCO. The libraries were important for participant observation because they represent physical spaces of interaction between migrants and local communities. Many migrants, including refugees, go to libraries in Dublin to use computers and to access information on government and non-governmental services (Interview 2 July 18, 2014:5). NGOs and other service-providers use the libraries as places for initial contact with their clients by providing their information and services on bulletin boards or in the form of pamphlets (Field notes August 6-8, 2014).

One of the essential parts of participant observation that I conducted while in Dublin was to connect with an NGO that works with refugees. The purpose of connecting with a NGO was to observe how the NGO functions as a mediator in refugee integration. This included the NGO's role as an advocate and service provider for refugees' integration.

It also included the NGO's various connections to government through funding and acting as a liaison between refugees and government service workers.

I initially intended to connect with two NGOs, the Integration Centre and the Africa Centre. I also had lined up two alternative NGOs if it was not possible to connect with the first two choices. The alternatives were the New Communities Partnership (NCP) and AkiDiwA. I chose these NGOs because all of them are active in the community of Dublin and work directly with refugees. However, once I began my initial research by contacting these different NGOs and then later in my fieldwork when I was in Dublin, it became apparent that it would not be possible to connect with multiple NGOs. I initially contacted NGOs by email and explained who I was and what my research was about. I asked if I might be able to spend time at the NGO and job shadow with them for a period of two weeks. I also asked if I would be able to interview key people at the centre who worked with refugees and issues of integration once I was in Ireland.

In total, I emailed seven different NGOs. On average I sent each NGO three emails that included an initial contact email and subsequent follow-up emails. These emails were sent over a nine-week period, with the first emails being sent out on May 26th, 2014 and the last round of emails sent out on July 21st, 2014. The responses I received to my emails varied, however most the NGOs eventually responded either negatively or did not respond at all to my emails (Field notes, May-June, 2014). I received only one positive confirmation for interviews and job shadowing.

I later discovered that my experience of receiving either negative or no responses from NGOs was not uncommon for researchers to experience, especially researchers working on their graduate degree (Interview 1 July 16 2014:7; Interview 3 July 29 2014:10;

Field notes, July 24, 2014). Many NGOs will not open emails from researchers they do not

recognize, or from researchers who do not already have connections with NGOs. Others,

as I experienced, will respond with a general email of recognition and promise to send the

email along to other staff members, however this does not usually develop any farther as it

is not a high priority for staff members (Field notes, July 24, 2014). These responses and

lack of responses caused me to adapt my methods as my research developed. Instead of

connecting with two or more NGOs, I worked closely with one NGO as a case study:

SPIRASI.

The name SPIRASI stands for the Spiritan Asylum Services Initiative. SPIRASI

defines itself as

> A humanitarian, intercultural, non-governmental organisation that works with
> asylum seekers, refugees and other disadvantaged migrant groups, with special
> concern for survivors of torture. In partnership with others, SPIRASI enables access
> to specialist services to promote the well-being of the human person, and
> encourages self-reliance and integration into Ireland (IRCT 2015).

During my fieldwork in Dublin I went almost every work day to SPIRASI's office between

July 22, 2014 and August 5, 2014. I spent 2-5 hours a day job shadowing and experiencing

the work done at SPIRASI. This included speaking informally with many of the different

workers at SPIRASI, including teachers, therapists, and psychologists, and job shadowing

in reception at the front desk.

I also attended two educational classes. During the school year SPIRASI offers

various levels of English language classes, some of which are accredited by the

government's Quality and Qualifications Board,[6] as well as classes in computer skills and

---

[6] The Quality and Qualification Ireland is the state agency appointed by the Minister for Education and Skills
that accredits and validates education and training programmes, among other qualifications programs. It
replaced Further Education and Training Awards Council (FETAC) (QQI 2014). Many local Irish still refer
to FETAC when discussing the Quality and Qualification Board.

word processing, numeracy and literacy, knitting and crochet, art, dance classes, and guitar classes (SPIRASI, Education, 2015). Because it was summer there were fewer students at SPIRASI than what there would be during the school year, as well as fewer courses being offered. However, I was still able to attend two conversational English language classes (July 23, 2014 and July 30, 2014) where I experienced the classroom setting and the interactions between students and the teacher.

These classes also allowed me to interact with refugees in informal settings and to spend time talking with them. I also was able to talk and spend time with refugees at SPIRASI while job shadowing with the receptionist and eating lunch in the communal coffee room. SPIRASI thus provided me with a great opportunity to interact with refugees in an informal and every day setting. SPIRASI also provided me with the opportunity to observe firsthand how an NGO functions as an advocate and service provider for refugees and how it interacts with various government policies and structures.

3.3.3 Semi-structured one-on-one Interviews

One-on-one semi-structured interviews are another important ethnographic method that I used for my research. Semi-structured interviews gather in-depth information from the research population and allow for recognition of different individuals' agency and voice. I sought to conduct interviews with representatives from the government, NGOs, and refugees in order to gather detailed information from the individuals who are representative of each of my three research questions.

In order to gather information to answer my first research question (What are the state policies and structures that might influence refugee integration?) I proposed to interview officials involved in policy making, including the Integration Officer at the DCC

and an official from the Office for the Promotion of Migrant Integration (OPMI). These interviews were meant to explore what officials understood the purpose of integration and multicultural policies to be and what ethics or reasoning was involved in their development. These interviews were also meant to hear the voice of individuals working in the government. I was able to contact (via email) both the DCC's Integration Officer and a representative from the OPMI. I conducted two interviews with DCC's Integration Officer, who provided me with very valuable information on government and integration policies, as well as suggestions of places to visit while in Dublin that are representative of integration and interculturalism. These interviews provided rich information about the city-level government and the diversity that exists within the Irish government. This included the different goals of the city and the state and how the state influenced the city's policies and governance.

Unfortunately, I was not as successful with the representative from the OPMI. In response to my initial request, I was told that an interview would not be possible while I was in Dublin because the OPMI was in the process of reviewing the current state of integration policies. The policy review, including writing the new state integration policy, is expected to take several years to complete and, as my research began a few months after the policy review was initiated, I was told that future telephone interviews were also impossible because of the OPMI's time constraints with the project. However, I exchanged several emails with the OPMI's representative, who sent me information concerning the policy review and links to their website where I could find more information pertaining to my research interests.

48

As part of my semi-structured interviews, I also conducted interviews with workers at SPIRASI. I chose to interview NGO representatives in order to explore how, or if, NGO activities are impacting refugee integration and policy interaction, and how NGOs act as advocates for refugees. This information is beneficial for answering my second research question. As previously discussed, NGOs exist in a middle ground between the government and refugees, and have direct relationships with both the government and refugees. Therefore, interviews with NGO representatives provided me with valuable information that relates to all three of my research questions. This information is beneficial for comparing state integration policies and the lived experience, and draws on integration theory and the themes of multiculturalism and interculturalism.

In the semi-structured interviews, I asked NGO advocates questions about how they mediate between state policy and refugee integration. This included questions on how NGOs view the effects of state policies and structures on the organization of the NGO and how they understand the role or need for NGO advocacy groups in Ireland. Further, questions included what the NGO thinks are the goals for integration policy and what are their understanding of the terms 'multicultural' and 'intercultural.' Importantly, the interview questions discussed how the NGO understands their relationship with refugees and refugees' experience of integration in Ireland.

As I was not able to connect with more than one NGO, my interviews were conducted with individuals who work at or are connected to SPIRASI. However, I contend that the two interviews I conducted at SPIRASI provide enough data for my research when they are combined with the data gathered through my participant observation and the information provided in the submissions from other NGOs sent to the OPMI. These

different data sources create a more holistic understanding when they are analyzed in relation to one another.

The first individual I interviewed at SPIRASI was the Integration Coordinator. This was an in-depth interview that was arranged before I arrived in Dublin and developed out of my initial email contact. The job of the Integration Coordinator is a full-time position. This person is the head of the education and integration programs at SPIRASI. The current Integration Coordinator first began working at SPIRASI in 2001 as a volunteer. Since 2003 the Integration Coordinator has worked in different capacities as an employee at SPIRASI, before settling in his current position. This interview was key to gaining data concerning refugees' integration and the NGO's role in that integration, as the Integration Coordinator has worked in this sector for a number of years. He was therefore able to give me a broad understanding of how integration in Ireland has developed in relation to NGOs since the time he started working to the current day. The Integration Coordinator was also able to speak to how the NGO has adapted to meet these changes towards integration, and how it developed to address gaps in services that were discovered over time (Interview 1 July 16 2014:2). The Integration Coordinator also introduced me to other individuals who work at SPIRASI, including teachers, psychologists, and therapists, and I was able to have many informal talks with these other workers about their experiences at the NGO.

My second interview at SPIRASI was with an Irish-Canadian student who has been a volunteer at SPIRASI over the last three years. This interview provided useful information as the individual grew up in Dublin and attended one of the local grade schools. He is now currently attending Trinity College, focusing on the continent of Africa, refugees, and international affairs (Interview 3 August 5, 2014:1,5). This

interviewee spoke to general understandings of refugees and integration in Ireland as well as his own experience of connecting with SPIRASI. I was able to cross-reference the contextual information from this interview with other primary and secondary sources (Interview 3 August 5, 2014:4). He is also personally invested in this field of research through his studies and interests, and spoke about his experiences at SPIRASI. This provided me with another point of view on the NGO from someone who is a volunteer and not a paid worker, and who is also knowledgeable and connected to a similar field of study.

3.3.4 Limitations and Alternative Sources

Initially, I also planned on conducting interviews with refugees to learn about their experiences of integration in Ireland and to recognize refugees' agency and voice in the process of integration. I had hoped that by working with a NGO, I would be able to make personal contact with refugees, which would then lead to one-on-one interviews. However, there are limitations and increased ethical issues when working with more marginalized communities, such as refugees, that are not always able to be addressed in limited research timeframes. This was the situation I experienced in Ireland and I was not able to conduct interviews with refugees.

Although I did not conduct in-depth interviews with refugees directly, I contend that I am still able to address integration at the personal level by drawing: on my participant observation conducted at SPIRASI, including my experiences in the English Language classes; on the interviews I conducted with NGO workers; and on the two independent research papers that included excerpts from interviews with refugees in Ireland conducted within the past three years. One of the independent studies was conducted by the UNHCR in December 2013 (UNHCR 2013). The other study was conducted at SPIRASI by a third-

party independent researcher to explore the needs of refugees and asylum seekers in Ireland who have been tortured (Walsh 2014). Though this is not as ideal as talking to refugees directly, taken together this research data still allows for a review of refugees' personal experience of integration in Ireland.

Another alternative data source that was unexpected, but extremely valuable was the opportunity to attend the meeting between SPIRASI and the Cross-Departmental Board. The Cross-Departmental Board is the government body which reviews the submissions sent to the OPMI as part of the integration policy review. During this meeting SPIRASI's Director and Integration Officer discussed in greater detail the integration policy submission that SPIRASI submitted to the OPMI. This experience was very constructive, as I was able to observe firsthand the interactions between the government and SPIRASI as they discussed the effects integration policy has on the daily lives and experiences of refugees. My observations included both physical and spatial interactions, as well as the flow of discussion and how the groups interacted with each other in a formal setting.

This meeting was also advantageous for me as I was able to observe the process that the state government is using for including stakeholders in the integration policy review. Therefore, even though I was not able to directly interview a representative from the OPMI, I was still able to collect information about how new policies and structures of integration are currently being created and what NGO criticisms of the government and/or information was discussed in the meeting. This meeting thus provided beneficial information for both my policy analysis and my examination of NGOs' mediator relationship between the government and refugees.

3.4 Following Fieldwork Completion

After my fieldwork was completed, I analyzed the primary data by comparing data sets to one another in order to check the validity or variation that existed. Another important analysis method I used was compiling the data into larger conceptual categories based on my theoretical and methodological approaches. This included highlighting important themes that were present in the different data sets, such as: education; definitions and/or indicators of integration; connections between different groups; and the impacts of Direct Provision Accommodation. By analyzing the data in this way I was able to conduct a systematic analysis that compared and contrasted different data sets, which allowed me to look for linkages between them. This helped me identify absences or gaps in the data and any similarities and differences that existed (LeCompte & Schensul 2010:199).

During my analysis, the concept of multiculturalism was an important analytical tool for exploring integration as it provided the theoretical framework for defining integration as a two way process. Multiculturalism became especially important for analysis as this definition of integration is used by many different community sectors in Ireland, including both the government and NGOs. By analyzing these definitions through the lens of multicultural theory, I was able to explore what these definitions of integration represented to each sector and how they compared to one another. Integration at the personal level was explored through both the observable forms of integration during participant observation, and the individual experience and emotional sense of integration mentioned in one-on-one interviews and in the independent research papers. Multiculturalism thus provided a strong analytical tool and framework for analyzing and comparing the research data.

3.5 Conclusion

The methods discussed in this chapter provided me with the tools to gather the data necessary to answer my research questions. Drawing on the theoretical approaches discussed in chapter 2, I used methods that provided a holistic understanding of refugee integration that reflects the research community and its experiences. By using an interdisciplinary approach, I was also able to adequately address my research questions by drawing on various data sets to gain a greater understanding of refugee integration in Ireland.

In the next two chapters I will present my analysis of the research data. Chapter 4 examines integration at the state and local government levels. It includes discussions of the history and development, as well as the current forms of government structures and policies of integration. Chapter 5 focuses on the lived experience of integration. This includes exploring the role of NGOs in refugee integration, as well as how refugees experience integration themselves. I use a case study to illustrate the mediating role played by NGOs, and end the chapter with a focused examination on how refugees understand and explain their own integration.

Chapter 4: Integration Policy in Ireland

The first three chapters in this thesis provided an introduction to the study topic, along with the theoretical approaches and methods used in the research. The following two chapters will now present the analysis of the research data. In this chapter I discuss government policies and structures that are related to refugee integration. This applies to the first research question and is the top-down analysis of my research approach. In this chapter, I argue that due to the ad hoc nature of their development and a lack of implementation, Ireland's integration policies and structures have not yet reached a point where one could determine with a high level of confidence whether or not they have positively influenced refugee integration, as multicultural policies seem to have done in other countries. However, as I will discuss in this chapter, it seems likely that Ireland's policies and structures create deterrents to refugee integration due to lack of development and implementation, given what the secondary literature presented in chapter 2 identifies as important for a successful integration policy.

In order to support my argument, I first provide a summary of the Irish government structure, including both state and local government levels. This summary provides the context of integration policies and the governing bodies that create, implement and review them. This is followed by a discussion of the development and evolution of integration policies and structures. In discussing policy, I outline different levels of policy that relate to integration in Ireland. I begin at the EU level and review the impact EU integration policy has had on Irish policy. I then discuss state government policy and the history and evolution of integration policies and structures. This includes reviewing the creation of the current integration governing body, the OPMI, and the integration policy review that the

OPMI is now conducting for the Irish government. I also discuss local government integration policies in Dublin as these focus specifically on my geographical research context. In the last section of the chapter, I present a focused analysis of Ireland's integration policies and structures.

4.1 Structure

In order to explore and examine Irish government integration policies, it is necessary first to have an understanding of the Republic of Ireland's government organization. Providing the government context in which the policies exist is important for determining how state integration policies and structures might influence refugee integration. Understanding the government context will allow me to review the structure of power that governs the creation, implementation, revision, and practice of integration policies in Ireland.

Unlike the Canadian government structure of federal, provincial, and municipal governments, the Republic of Ireland's government is split between the state and local authorities. Local authorities are under the power of the state and must uphold state law. Local authorities have jurisdiction over smaller localities, which may have different laws and regulations that differ between local authorities, however no local authority can contradict state-level legislation or policy (Citizens Information, Local Government, 2014).

At the national or state level, the government is governed by the Irish Constitution, which establishes how Ireland should be governed and details the fundamental rights of every Irish citizen (Citizens Information 2013). The Irish government is headed by the Taoiseach (the Prime Minister), and the Tánaiste (the Deputy Prime Minister). There are currently 16 Ministers who form the government under the Taoiseach and hold the

executive power. The government's main roles are to propose legislation, manage the public finances, and administer government departments (Citizens Information 2013). Each government department is headed by a Minister who is chosen by the Taoiseach. Each department is responsible for a different aspect of governance in Ireland. For example, the Department of Health is responsible for development of health policy and the planning of health services, and the Department of Education and Skills is responsible for providing public education at primary and post-primary levels as well as managing state subsidies for universities and third-level colleges (Citizens Information, Departments of State, 2015). Of particular interest for my research is the Department of Justice and Equality which is responsible for immigration as well as protecting the security of the state, crime, and protection of individuals' rights and freedoms (Department of Justice and Equality, Our Responsibilities, 2015).

The government is chosen by and responsible to the Dáil Éireann (House of Representatives) which is one of the two Houses of Parliament, (the Oireachtas) (Citizens Information 2013). The Taoiseach and the Tánaiste, along with the Minister for Finance and a minimum of 7 to a maximum of 15 Ministers are included in the Dáil. In total, the Dáil has 166 members who are elected through proportional representation, with elections taking place at least every five years. At present the four main parties in the Republic of Ireland are Fine Gael, Labour, Fianna Fáil and Sinn Féin (Archive eu2013).

The other House of Parliament is the Seanad Éireann (Senate). The Seanad can initiate or revise legislation but is limited by the Dáil which can reject the Seanad's amendments and proposed legislation. The Seanad has 60 members. The Taoiseach nominates 11, while the rest of the Seanad members are elected from vocational panels and

by national universities (Archive eu2013). Ministers have a right to attend Seanad, though they are not responsible to the Seanad. As a common practice the Minister whose area of responsibility is being discussed is usually present (Archive eu2013).

Local authorities are important to understand as they represent the local government context into which refugees are integrating. As stated earlier, local authorities may have different laws and regulations from each other. Therefore, it is necessary to review the organization and structure of local authorities in order to understand what different levels of local government refugees may be governed by depending on their location in Ireland.

Local authorities include both county councils and city councils (Citizens Information, Political System at the Local Level 2014). The organization of local authorities is controlled by the Department of the Environment, Community, and Local Government (Citizens Information, Local Government, 2014). Preceding the local elections, the Department of the Environment, Community, and Local Government publishes a document concerning the breakdown of local authorities. The most recent publication is the *Local Electoral Area Boundary Committee Report 2013*, which details the current boundaries of local governments, as well as recommends the number of members each local government council should contain. The number of council members is fixed by law based on the population of the local authority area, according to the *Local Government Act 2001* and amended by the *Local Government Reform Act 2014*. Councillors are elected in local elections and hold the position for 5 years (Citizens Information, Membership of Local Authorities, August 2014). The voting system for local authorities in Ireland is unique in that anyone who has resided in a local authority area for 6 months is eligible to vote in the local elections (Interview 2, July 18, 2014:7). This means

that refugees and other migrants who are living in Ireland are able to register to vote for local elections but not national elections, which can change the power dynamic in local elections compared to state elections. Ireland and Malta are the only two European countries that have this system (Interview 2, July 18, 2014:7).

As of the 2014 local elections, there are currently 31 local authorities with 949 councillors (Citizens Information, Local Authorities, August 2014). Twenty-six of these local authorities are county councils that represent the local government in 24 geographical counties. Some counties, such as Dublin County, have multiple county councils. Two of Ireland's 31 local authorities are both a county council and city council. These are the local authorities of Limerick and Waterford. The remaining 3 local authorities are the city councils of Dublin, Cork, and Galway because they are large city centres with large populations. Dublin County is therefore spilt into the four local authorities of South Dublin County Council, Dun Laoghaire-Rathdown County Council, Fingal County Council, and Dublin City Council (Citizens Information, Local Authorities, August 2014). Under the new *Local Government Reform Act 2014* each council area, not including Dublin's 3 county councils and the 3 city councils, now include at least 2 municipal districts that integrate town and county governance. Municipal districts consist of towns and their 'hinterlands' based on size and population (Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government 2012:vii; Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government, Local Government Reform, 2014). This is to assist in proper representation from all sectors of the local government and enables local authority members on county councils to make decisions that relate to the particular district from which they were elected (Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government 2012: vi-vii). These

municipal districts replaced previous town authorities and corporations (Citizens Information, Local Authorities, August 2014; Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government, Local Government Reform, 2014).

It is important to understand the diversity in the types of local authorities, as local authorities are responsible for many different public services that impact the individuals living in each community. According the Citizens Information website: "Local authorities promote the interests of your local community, including the social, economic, environmental, recreational, cultural, community or general development of your area" (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities, 2015). Local authorities' responsibilities are broken down into 7 categories: housing; planning; roads; water supply and sewerage; development incentives and controls; environmental protection including rivers, lakes, air and noise; and recreation facilities and amenities (Citizens Information, Functions of Local Authorities, 2015). Thus, in order to explore the integration of refugees in Ireland, it is first necessary to understand where in Ireland they are living, and how their local authority interacts with the state-level departments. Local authorities are also important because of the fact that refugees and other migrants are able to vote and be politically involved at this level of government in Ireland, even if they are not able to participate at the state-level. In my research, I use Dublin city as my geographic boundary thus the governments with which I am concerned are the state government and the local authority of Dublin City Council.

4.2 Policy

The government structure discussed above is the context in which integration policy in Ireland is created. The evolution of government departments has impacted the

development of integration policy and how the policies have been implemented. In this section, I review the evolution of integration policy and the related government structures. The history of Irish integration policy is important as many of the current policies are built upon and/or refer to preceding government policies.

Before reviewing integration policy, it is important to note that Ireland does not have any legislation specifically concerning integration in Ireland (OPMI, Role of the Office 2011; European Commission 2014). However, there is legislation that "sets the broad legal parameters within which government policy around immigration and integration takes place" (European Commission 2014).[7] The difference between legislation and policy, put simply, is that legislation or a law is written into the statutes of a country that must be obeyed. This means that an action is either legal or illegal. Policy, on the other hand, is more of a recommendation or guide of how people should or should not be acting and how to guide change towards a stated goal (Interview 3 July 29, 2014:5). However, policy is based within the laws and legislation of the country and therefore must comply with them.

4.2.1 EU Policy

Throughout its development Ireland's integration and immigration policy has been heavily influenced by the EU (Gray 2008b:123; Smith et al. 2014:1). EU policies on integration have focused primarily on creating a sense of unity between member states concerning their approaches towards immigrants and asylum seekers (Boucher 2008:8; Fanning 2011:28; Gray 2006b:123; Smith et al. 2014:6). One important policy

---

[7] This legislation includes: Irish Nationality and Citizenship Act, 2004; Immigration Act, 2004; Refugee Act (As Amended), 1996; Employment Permits Act, 2006; Employment Equality Act, 1998; Equal Status Act, 2000 and Equality Act, 2004; The Prohibition of Incitement to Hatred Act, 1989; Criminal Law (Human Trafficking) Act, 2008 (European Commission 2014).

development at the EU level was the agreement by the then 25 member states on the 11

Common Basic Principles for EU immigrant integration policy. These principles provide

guidance for the goals, priorities, and measurements of progress of integration in the

different member states (Gray 2006b:123). These principles are not legally binding for the

member states, however, and it is at the discretion of each member state whether or not to

implement these integration principles (Boucher 2008:8). The EU does, however, control

aspects of immigration policy that regulate the "rights and restrictions of movement into

and within much of Europe" (Smith et al. 2014:14). For example, the EU provides

conditions of entry and residence for third-country nationals entering and residing legally

in member states for family reunification, although member states still control admissions

rates for third-country nationals entering for work (Chateau 2015:1). The EU also

legislates how immigrants and asylum seekers are to be treated once within the EU (Smith

et al. 2014:15). However, once individuals receive refugee status their integration is under

the jurisdiction of the member state in which they reside.

Many people have criticized the EU policies and programmes concerning

immigration, asylum, and integration in the movement towards conformity across member

states. This harmonization approach is said to have its roots in xenophobia and fears for

security of the greater context of Europe (Boucher 2008:9; Fanning 2011:28; Smith et al.

2014:1). Fanning argues that EU integration policies were believed to "depoliticize

integration by side-stepping thorny local histories of essentialist national identity" (Fanning

2011:28). This began in the 1990s as individual member states simultaneously introduced

harsh policies towards refugees, which Fanning argues contributed to the creation of a

'Fortress Europe' (Fanning 2011:28). At the same time, immigration patterns changed as

they became more focused on labour immigration as an answer to declining European demographics. Integration thus shifted from focusing on national identities to instead selecting immigrants who could 'fit' in the EU neoliberal economy. This took the form of what Fanning titles the "capabilities approach," meaning that the focus was on the ability to speak the local language, and have access to education, health, housing, and societal participation (Fanning 2011:29). While these are indeed important factors and indicators of integration, the separation of national identity from integration creates a barrier to the cultural and personal integration of migrants or diverse groups and maintains the distinction of 'Us' and 'Them'. Some of these same themes are evident in Irish integration policies and integration structures.

4.2.2 State Policy

Irish state policies and structures of integration first started as reactive developments in response to the large increase in immigration in the late 1990's and early 2000's (Boucher 2008:6). As Ireland's immigrant population began to increase dramatically during the economic boom at the turn of the 21st century, the need for more integration and immigration services increased as well. One of the first developments in Ireland was the Irish Refugee Act (1996) which relied heavily on the 1951 Geneva Convention. The Geneva Convention was the first international agreement that defined who is considered to be a refugee as well as the receiving countries' responsibilities towards refugees. The Geneva Convention can be seen as the foundation of Ireland's policies of integration and the asylum system (Smith et al. 2014:5; UNHCR 2010:14).

Ireland's first policy document that discussed refugee integration was *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999). This policy document was developed by an Interdepartmental

Working Group which was created by the government in order to assess the integration of refugees who had just gained status (Gray 2006b:129-130; UNHCR 2009:76; UNHCR 2013:19). This report defined integration as it is currently understood by the Irish government and provided a summary of supports available for refugees in Ireland. *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999:9) defined integration as: "the ability to participate to the extent that a person needs and wishes in all of the major components of society, without having to relinquish his or her own cultural identity." The main conclusion of the report is that integration is a two way process and that in order for integration to be successful both refugees and the receiving community have to participate in the integration process (Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform 1999:9; Gray 2006b:130; UNHCR 2009:76; UNHCR 2013:19). *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999) concludes with recommendations for the future, beginning with identifying a need for an organizational structure for co-ordinating and implementing integration policy (Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform 1999:54). The purpose of this recommendation was to ensure that a comprehensive strategy would exist for integration in Ireland and that appropriate structures would be in place to implement integration policy (UNHCR 2013:19). The report also recommended raising public awareness by providing information on anti-racism and cultural diversity and making mainstream services, such as language and skills training and social welfare supports, more accessible to refugees (Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform 1999:55, 56; UNHCR 2013:19). The report ended with an important emphasis on the need for future research (though it does not specify by whom) to supplement the small amount of information on refugees in Ireland, including

how both the state and NGOs together facilitate integration (Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform 1999:58-59; UNHCR 2013:19).

Integration: A Two Way Process (1999) proposed valuable recommendations and provided insight into the integration of refugees in Ireland, yet many academics and researchers have found it problematic (Boucher 2008; Gray 2006b; UNHCR 2009). One main critique is that the report failed to specify what are the expectations of refugees for being active participants in their own integration, beyond the fact that it is expected of them (Boucher 2008:17; UNHCR 2009:76). Expanding on this, the report is critiqued as functioning on the neoliberal assumption that it is up to the individual refugee to integrate, while the receiving state's role is simply to "facilitate equality of access and... to address forms of racism through equality and anti-discrimination measures (Boucher 2008:16). Gray (2006b:130) summarizes this by stating:

> In other words, integration policies must foster self-sufficient and autonomous immigrants, who must work on themselves in order to be independent, and committed to contributing to the Irish economy and society, in order that they may be integrated. The report is concerned here with what constitutes the most proper and efficient modes of conduct in promoting effective integration.

Gray's critique of this neoliberal approach is that it assumes that any special needs or requirements refugees may have will "disappear once they gain equal access to mainstream services" (Gray 2006b:130). This relates to Kymlicka and Parekh's statements presented in chapter 2 that fair and supportive multicultural policies may require ongoing special or additional accommodations in order to ensure equal access (Kymlicka 1998:52; Parekh 2006:262).

Boucher built upon Gray's analysis and critiqued *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999) as being a reactive response to the problem of integrating refugees who had already

spent multiple years in Ireland as asylum seekers (Boucher 2008:16). Boucher argued that

this approach was not effective because the implementation of the policies were not well

resourced and that the report is:

> less about the multicultural right to cultural diversity than about legitimising a
> laissez-faire integration strategy in which individual immigrants are meant to apply
> neo-liberal modes of governance to themselves by taking responsibility for their
> own economic, social, cultural and political integration (Boucher 2006:16).

Boucher suggests that this approach pressures migrants to 'choose to integrate' by

assimilating to Irish culture rather than maintaining their own cultural identity in order to

succeed in their new home country (Boucher 2008:19). Although it is important to

recognize the influence of the government's neoliberal approach on refugee integration, as

is discussed in chapter 5, I would argue that Gray (2006b) and Boucher's (2006) critiques

of *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999) slightly miss the mark. The policy's integration

statements are not inherently assimilationist or problematic for understanding integration as

a two way process. Instead, I would argue that *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999) is a

weak integration policy as it lacks clear integration objectives and steps for implementation

and, as stated by Boucher (2006:16), was not well resourced or developed. As is discussed

later in the policy analysis, this would likely have a negative influence on refugee

integration. *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999) was significant, however, as it defined

how the Irish government would understand integration as a two way process and initiated

the development of integration structures in Ireland.

Following the Irish Refugee Act (1996) and beginning around the same time

Integration: A Two Way Process was published (1999), a number of different government

services were also developed as initial steps for dealing with increased immigration. This

began with the creation of the National Consultative Committee on Racism and

Interculturalism (NCCRI) in 1998 (UNHCR 2009:75), followed by the creation of the Office of Refugee Applications Commissioner (ORAC) and the Refugee Appeal Tribunal (RAT) in 2000 (Smith et al. 2014:10). The Reception and Integration Agency (RIA) was created in 2001 and the Irish Naturalisation and Integration Services (INIS) in 2005 (INIS, Homepage, 2014; RIA, Background, 2010). The functions of these services varied: the NCCRI functioned as an advocate agency, while ORAC, RAT, and RIA functioned as a system of management and control over who was granted refugee status and had the right to remain in Ireland. NCCRI was closed in 2008 due to budget cuts (Cullen 2009:119; UNHCR 2009:75), however the other services listed here continue to function as part of the asylum process for individuals wishing to gain refugee status in Ireland.

The second state policy that addressed issues of integration was the *National Action Plan Against Racism* (2005), also known as NPAR. This policy was created by the then Taoiseach, Bertie Ahern, and the then Minister for Justice, Equality and Law Reform, Michael McDowell, as part of the commitments made by the Irish government along with the other governments present at the United National World Conference against Racism in 2001 (OPMI, The National Action Plan Against Racism (NPAR) 2005 – 2008, 2011; UNHCR 2009:76). NPAR focused on integration by supporting anti-discrimination measures against minority groups, including Travellers[8] and new immigrant communities (UNHCR 2009:76). Part of the implementation of NPAR was the creation of a Strategic

---

[8] Irish Travellers are the largest minority group in Ireland. Travellers, also known historically as 'tinkers', 'gypsies' or 'traders' by the dominant Irish settled community, are an indigenous nomadic ethnic group in Ireland. Travellers' economy is based on commercial nomadism, meaning that they interact with the sedentary population and historically provided seasonal labour or odd jobs. Since the Second World War, many Traveller communities have become more sedentary as their ability to live their nomadic lifestyle has become restricted due to increased urbanization. Travellers did not receive protection from discrimination in law until 1990 and they continue to face discrimination, racialization and 'Othering' today (Lentin & McVeigh 2006:129-131).

Monitoring Group that processed inquiries, reports, and responses to issues related to racism, discrimination, and xenophobia (OPMI, The National Action Plan Against Racism (NPAR) 2005 – 2008, 2011; HETI 2014:1). This Strategic Monitoring Group included representatives from government bodies, social partners (Irish Business and Employers Confederation (IBEC), Congress, voluntary and community sectors), minority communities, as well as NCCRI (OPMI, The National Action Plan Against Racism (NPAR) 2005 – 2008, 2011). This policy was limited in its time frame and ended in 2008. When NPAR ended, a void was created as no other policy or reviewing committee replaced it (DICE 2014:2; HETI 2014:1).

In 2007, the responsibility for integration was removed from RIA, part of the Department of Justice, Equality, and Law Reform (now the Department of Justice and Equality), and handed over to the newly established Office of the Minister for Integration (UNHCR 2009:75). In this same year the *National Development Plan (2007-2013)* (2007) was published. This document was directed at the wider society and included commitments to English language support for children from non-English language backgrounds and to the development of a national integration policy (UNHCR 2013:28-29). The result of this plan was that the Office of the Minister for Integration published its first report, called *Migration Nation: Statement on the Integration Strategy and Diversity Management* (2008) (UNHCR 2009:76). *Migration Nation* (2008) was the first Ministerial statement specifically on integration in Ireland (UNHCR 2013:20).

Alongside the publication of *Migration Nation* (2008) by the Irish government various other government policies and documents have been published that discuss integration. However, these documents focus on integration within the specific areas of the

different government departments and do not address integration in Ireland as a whole

(OPMI, The National Action Plan Against Racism (NPAR) 2005 – 2008, 2011). Examples

include policies such as the *National Intercultural Health Strategy (2007-2012)*;

Intercultural Education Strategy (2010-2015); and the Garda's[9] publication *Your Police*

Service in Intercultural Ireland (2002). Unfortunately, many of the policies and strategies

have finished with little discussion of revision (Field notes, July 28, 2014). Therefore,

Migration Nation (2008) remains the Irish State Government's only overarching

integration policy.

As has been discussed, *Migration Nation* (2008) developed ideas created in

previous government integration policies and reinforced the idea of integration as a two

way process presented in *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999). However, *Migration*

Nation (2008) expanded this understanding of two way integration to include not only the

immigrant and the receiving community, hut also the corporate sector, trade unions, media,

and voluntary organizations (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:9; UNHCR

2009:76). In *Migration Nation* (2008), integration was strongly linked to citizenship as the

stated purpose or goal of integration is to have immigrants who are able and willing to

become functional, self-sufficient Irish citizens (Office of the Minister for Integration

2008:9; UNHCR 2013:20). As explained by the then Minister for Integration, Conor

Lenihan, in his Integration Statement: "Integration policy in Ireland will be a two-way [sic]

street involving rights and duties for those migrants who reside, work and in particular

those who aspire to be Irish citizens" (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:10). In

this same integration statement in *Migration Nation* (2008), Lenihan asserted that the

---

[9] The An Garda Síochána, commonly called the Gardai or Garda, is the national police force of Ireland (An Garda Síochána 2015).

proposed key actions included creating "a formal pathway to Permanent Residency and Citizenship for those who qualify" and "Citizenship and long-term residency to be contingent on proficiency of skills in the spoken language of the country" (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:9). *Migration Nation* (2008) also differed from previous integration policies in that it did not discuss in detail specific minority or marginalized groups, such as refugees, but instead used the term 'migrants' as a general category for its target group without recognizing the diversity that exists within the term. It further de-specialized the integration approach by creating mainstream policies and services (Field notes, June 18, 2014; UNHCR 2009:77; UNHCR 2013:20). 'Mainstreaming services' refers to the practice of incorporating services for refugees and migrants into existing structures created for the local population instead of creating new 'targeted services.' The intent is to keep services from becoming 'ghettoized' or stigmatized (European Commission 2014; OPMI, Policy Statement: Migration Nation, 2011).

In *Migration Nation* (2008) the government committed to a series of integration principles. These included:

- A partnership approach between the Government and non-governmental organisations, as well as civil society bodies, to deepen and enhance the opportunities for integration
- A strong link between integration policy and wider state social inclusion measures, strategies and initiatives
- A clear public policy focus that avoids the creation of parallel societies, communities and urban ghettoes, i.e. a mainstream approach to service delivery to migrants
- A commitment to effective local delivery mechanisms that align services to migrants with those for indigenous communities (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:9,72; also cited in UNHCR 2013:20).

In addition, *Migration Nation* (2008) focused on community areas of integration, including local authorities; political parties; sporting bodies; and faith-based groups. In the report,

the Irish government expresses its plan to provide specific funding to these areas from government and philanthropic sectors, as these areas deal with the daily needs of migrants (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:9). Further, *Migration Nation* (2008) listed and discussed 12 important issues for integration: 1) provision of information; 2) provision of services – one stop shop; 3) citizenship; 4) measures to protect migrants from exploitation and discrimination; 5) interpretation and translation services; 6) targeted recruitment to the public service in order to reflect the diversity of the population; 7) non-governmental organizations; 8) measurement of public opinion; 9) health services; 10) education aspects of integration; 11) language teaching; and 12) research and evaluation (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:51-61). All of these proposed principles and areas of interest presented in *Migration Nation* (2008) are important because, as will be discussed below in the policy analysis, it is in these same areas that government integration policy has received most of its criticisms.

4.2.2.1 Creation of the OPMI

Since the publication of *Migration Nation* (2008), there has been a number of different restructurings of government departments. In 2010, the Office for the Minister of Integration transitioned into the Office for the Promotion of Migrant Integration (OPMI), still under the jurisdiction of the Department of Justice and Equality (OPMI, 2010 Highlights, 2011). According to the office's website, the OPMI "has a cross-Departmental mandate to develop, lead and co-ordinate migrant integration policy across other government departments, agencies and services" (OPMI, Role of the Office, 2011). The office functions to promote integration for legal immigrants and refugees into Irish society and to create new integration structures (European Commission 2014; OPMI, Role of the

Office, 2011). The specification of legal immigrants is important when considering the separation between the legal categories of refugees and asylum seekers in Ireland. Refugees are recognized as legally residing in Ireland, whereas asylum seekers have an undetermined status and thus the OPMI does not cover any legislation or strategies concerned with asylum seekers. The OPMI also functions at the international level and coordinates Ireland's international reporting requirements on racism and integration, as well as manages the resettlement of program refugees admitted as part of the United Nations Resettlement Programme (OPMI, Role of the Office, 2011).

Another important function of the OPMI is the administration of funding, both from the Irish government and from EU sources (OPMI, Role of the Office, 2011). In 2014, the OPMI's budget allocation was €1.346 million. The OPMI uses this budget, along with administering the additional €1.5 million provided in 2015 by the European Refugee Fund and the European Fund for the Integration of Third-Country Nationals, for providing seed funding in key areas of integration to other organizations, such as sports or faith-based organizations, NGOs, and local governments (OPMI, Budget for 2015, 2011). However, according to the OPMI website, the primary core funding for integration is spent by other government departments who provide mainstream integration services, as was proposed in *Migration Nation* (2008) (OPMI, Overview of Funding for Migrant Integration in Ireland, 2011).

The OPMI has yet to publish its own policy document on integration and instead provides links to national strategies, including *Migration Nation* (2008) and other department-specific publications on integration. The OPMI also provides links to local strategies of integration, including Dublin City Council's publication *Towards Integration:*

A City Framework (2008). The OPMI supports and continues the mainstreaming of service provisions for integration as laid out in *Migration Nation* (2008), though it is recognized on the website that the actual delivery of integration services is the responsibility of mainstream government departments (OPMI, Role of the Office, 2011).

Currently, the OPMI functions as a central hub for integration in Ireland. It collects together all of the integration policy that has been developed in different departments or local governments and makes them accessible from one location. It is also responsible for the Cross-Departmental Group on Migrant Integration that was established to assist the former Minister for Integration (OPMI, Cross-Departmental Group on Integration, 2011). This body includes senior civil servants and representatives from the departments and offices that have major roles in integration. It is mentioned in *Migration Nation* as being important for the Office of the Minister for Integration (now the OPMI), in order to ensure that there is cohesion between government departments concerning integration and that there is consistency between strategies and guidelines (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:20).[10] Meetings are organized as required in order "to review activities in relation to migrant integration and to resolve issues which arise" (OPMI, Cross-Departmental Group on Integration, 2011). Up to the end of 2014, the Cross-Departmental Group met a total of 4 times, with the last meeting being held on March 26, 2014 (OPMI, Cross-Departmental Group on Integration, 2011).

---

[10] The departments represented in the Cross-Departmental Group, and thus seen by the Irish government as having a significant role in integration, include: The Department of the Taoiseach; the Department of Public Expenditure and Reform; the Department of Justice and Equality; the Department of Education and Skills; the Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government; the Department of Jobs, Enterprise and Innovation; the Department of Health; the Department of Children and Youth Affairs; the Department of Social Protection; the Department of Arts, Heritage and Gaeltacht Affairs; the Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport; the Department of Defence; Central Statistics Office; and An Garda Síochána (OPMI, Cross-Departmental Group on Integration, 2011).

4.2.2.2 Current OPMI Policy Review

Following the March 2014 meeting, the Minister for Justice and Equality announced that the Cross-Departmental Group would be reviewing Ireland's approach to integration of migrants. This review process includes reviewing the current integration policy, meaning *Migration Nation* (2008), with an aim towards preparing a Draft Integration Strategy (Anne Bevan, personal communication 2014). The review process was announced in March 2014 and took the form of a Call for Submissions that appeared in the national press and other selected media (OPMI 2014). The Call for Submissions on the OPMI website was released in English and Irish, as well as French, Latvian, Lithuanian, Polish, and Romanian (OPMI, Call for Submissions on New Integration Strategy, 2014). The Call for Submissions invited key stakeholders and interested groups and members of the public to write a submission on any aspect of Ireland's migrant integration policy. The Call for Submissions stated that these commentaries would be considered during the course of the review process (OPMI, Call for Submissions on New Integration Strategy, 2014). The deadline for the submissions was May 9th, 2014, after which the Cross-Departmental Group began the review of the submitted reports. Besides the original Department and Office representatives, the Cross-Departmental Group that reviewed the submissions also included a representative from the County and City Managers Association (OPMI, Review of Integration Strategy, 2015).

The OPMI received over 80 submissions from different NGOs, groups, and individuals with concerns and interests in migrant issues (OPMI, Submissions on Migrant Integration Policy, 2014). In order to review the reports and recommendations, the Cross-Departmental Group held 5 consultative sessions where individuals and representatives of

the organizations who made submissions met directly with the group (OPMI, Review of Integration Strategy, 2015). Each individual and organization met separately with the Cross-Departmental Group to present their submission. During this meeting the Cross-Departmental Group was able to ask the submitter questions concerning the content of their report (Field notes, July 28, 2014; OPMI, Review of Integration Strategy, 2015). These consultative meetings where held on June 23rd, July 7th, July 28th, September 8th, and December 1st in 2014 (OPMI 2014). The individuals making up the Cross-Departmental Group did not remain the same, but changed depending on the day and/or meeting (Field notes July 28, 2014; Interview 3, July 29, 2014:1). Out of the 81 submissions, 27 were presented at these consultative meetings and represented a range of groups, NGOs, one individual, and one group of seven individuals (OPMI, Review of Integration Strategy, 2015).[11] No indication was given by the OPMI on their website as to why these 27 submissions were the only ones that were presented.

While these submissions to the OPMI provide valuable information and critiques of Ireland's integration policy, as will be discussed in greater detail in the following section on policy analysis, it is unclear whether the new integration policy will fully incorporate and address these concerns. The OPMI sent out a draft of the new strategy to key stakeholders to review in October 2015 with the goal to publish the strategy as soon as possible (OPMI, Review of the Integration Strategy, 2015; The House of the Oireachtas, November 2015). The initial intent was to release the strategy in 2015 (The House of the Oireachtas, September 2014), however since the draft was released to the stakeholders,

---

[11] See Appendix 2 of this thesis.

nothing further has been communicated and there has been no formal publication of the strategy as of yet.

Alongside the consultative sessions in which the group discussed key policy areas relevant to migrant integration and to the creation of the new integration policy, the Cross-Departmental Group has also held thematic meetings. The themes that it selected were: education; access to public services and social inclusion; and the promotion of intercultural awareness and combatting racism (The House of the Oireachtas, December 2014). These themes are similar to what was first put forward in *Migration Nation* (2008) and, as will be seen in the analysis below, were common themes in the submissions sent to the OPMI for the integration policy review.

4.2.3 Local Authority Policy

When I first began my research, I did not expect to encounter a stakeholder in refugee integration at a lower level of government. However, Dublin City Council (DCC), specifically its Office for Integration, has been a very important participant in developing integration strategies and services in Ireland, both as a local authority and as the capital city of Ireland. In 2008, the DCC Office for Integration published its own integration policy document entitled *Towards Integration: A City Framework* (2008). This was the Office's first major publication and research project on integration. *Towards Integration* (2008) was the DCC's response to the increased scale of immigration and diversity in the city. This is similar to the creation of *Migration Nation* (2008) at the national level, however the two publications were developed independently.

Dublin is different from the typical European model of city government in that the DCC does not have control over some essential service providers within the city, including

health, education and policing (Maria Hegarty Equality Strategies Ltd. 2008:7). But because these services closely affect the success and rate of integration, the DCC formally signed a Declaration of Intent at the time *Towards Integration* (2008) was released. The Declaration of Intent was signed by the Dublin City Development Board[12] as a sign of commitment to the DCC's integration policy. The Dublin City Development Board included representatives from the Government Departments of Justice, Equality and Law Reform, Health, Education and Science, and Social and Family Affairs (Interview 3, July 29, 2014).

Towards Integration (2008) is the DCC's statement of commitment towards integration and support for migrants and ethnic minorities in Dublin. Similar to *Migration Nation* (2008), *Towards Integration* (2008) presents initiatives for a homogenized understanding of 'migrants' and does not recognize the diversity that exists within the term despite the fact that specific communities were recognized in statistical analysis and case studies in the policy document. For example, refugees were identified in *Towards Integration* (2008) as one of multiple groups entering Ireland, even though the DCC Office for Integration has done little work with refugees specifically. Instead the Office for Integration works with organizations and NGOs, such as SPIRASI and Irish Refugee Council, which interact directly with refugees (Interview 3, July 29, 2014:7).

Towards Integration (2008) as a whole presents many areas that are important for the integration of migrants. As with *Migration Nation* (2008), however, it is outdated and lacks clear statements of how integration will be supported and, significantly, how it will be made accessible to more vulnerable populations. In line with neoliberal approaches of

---

[12] The Dublin City Development Board has since been replaced by a local development board introduced by the Department of Local Governments as part of the 2014 restructuring (Interview 3, July 29, 2014).

empowering NGOs, many of the initiatives include providing support and/or funding for other organizations that provide services to migrants, rather than the DCC creating the needed services itself (Maria Hegarty Equality Strategies Ltd. 2008:56; Interview 3, July 29, 2014:7). The rationale, as explained by the DCC Integration Officer, was to support people and organizations who were experts and/or who had already developed programs (Interview 3, July 29, 2014:7). Also, as was acknowledged by the signing of the Declaration of Intent, the DCC does not control all of the essential service provisions within the city and therefore cannot develop its own programs for these services. This rationale is sound in that it recognizes the important roles of other organizations in refugee integration, however, as I will discuss in greater detail in the policy analysis section, the economic and political support of the local government does not always continue long enough for NGOs to have sufficiently developed programs to continue to function without that local government support (Cultúr Migrants Centre 2014:9).

One example of the DCC supporting other organizations is the joint funding that the DCC and UNESCO provide to the libraries in Dublin as cultural centres. In *Towards Integration* (2008) it was recognized that libraries function as important places for migrants and refugees to access information (Maria Hegarty Equality Strategies Ltd. 2008:48; Interview 2, July 18, 2014:5). Libraries around Dublin now advertise various services, including unemployment and money aid, depression counselling, family support, language classes, and local cultural events. Some of these services are aimed at specific populations, while others are designed for the general public (Field notes, August 6-8, 2014). Some libraries also provide access to computers and the internet. Libraries in Dublin have become important places that facilitate integration.

Towards Integration (2008) remains the DCC's only publication on integration. However, in an interview I conducted with the DCC's Integration Officer, he stated that the DCC Office for Integration planned to conduct a review of its integration policy between September 2015 and February 2016 that will assess the goals set out in *Towards Integration* (2008) and create an updated policy that accurately reflects the current social and economic context in Dublin (Interview 3, July 29, 2014:4). No other information has yet been released concerning this review.

The review of DCC's integration policy is part of a current trend that slowly has been developing in the city in regards to integration. The DCC began to create campaigns through its Office for Integration to support greater diversity, such as the "One City One People" campaign.[13] Another example of this attention to integration is Dublin becoming an Intercultural City in 2011 as discussed in chapter 1.

DCC's continued support of the libraries and the campaign "One City One People" is part of the "Diversity Advantage" approach ascribed to by the Intercultural Cities Programme. As mentioned in chapter 1, the Intercultural Cities Programme is a project that includes approximately 50 cities from around the world, (though mostly from Europe) that look at interculturalism in an engaged and interactive manner (Council of Europe, 2014). The Intercultural Cities Programme argues that it is to the benefit of the city, both socially and economically, to label itself as an Intercultural City. The Programme fights against the previous negative image of migrants and refugees portrayed in the media and

---

[13] "One City One People" is a campaign that was launched in 2010 and runs each year. It focuses on Dublin's "immigrant population to promote inclusion, integration and to combat racism and discrimination" and to "promote the message that Dublin is an open city, a city which respects and embraces difference, is accessible, safe and equal and does not accept racism and discrimination" (DCC, One City One People, 2014). The campaign is run by the DCC's Office for Integration and is supported by OPMI, various city transport companies, migrant networks, organizations, museums, libraries, and galleries.

instead approaches cultural difference as "Diversity Advantage." In an interview, one

representative from DCC's Office for Integration explained Diversity Advantage, stating:

> This idea of diversity advantage [is] that cities, the kind of cities of the world that are doing really well. they're innovative, they're creative, they're diverse, they're open, they're really seeking a mixture of a kind of intercultural cosmopolitan world, where arts are created, all this amazing type of creativity is coming out... We have over 125 languages spoken [in Dublin]. We have international students, and new young students coming up who speak 3 or 4 languages. Naturally when you have a mixture of people, put them together and they'll come up with a much better product. And big companies know that. So this whole area of Diversity Advantage and the advantage of migration to a city is what we're now trying to look at and get across (Interview 3, July 29, 2014).

Dublin is now trying to compete on a global level with other Intercultural Cities, such as

London, Paris, and Tokyo, where having an intercultural community is seen as beneficial

(Interview 2, July 18, 2014:7).

As part of their new emphasis on integration, the DCC is also involved in the

current OPMI integration policy review and has a representative present at the consultative

meetings (Field notes, July 28, 2014). As both the capital city and a strong local authority,

the DCC was invited to be present for all of the submission meetings and follow-up

discussions. The DCC did not submit a report for the integration policy review itself. In

the past, however, the DCC has submitted reviews to the OPMI concerning housing, social

inclusion, and the role of the DCC Office of Integration (Interview 3, July 29, 2014).

In these sections I presented the evolution of integration policy and government

structures connected to refugee integration in Dublin. The two current integration policies

that are of significance in Dublin, *Migration Nation* (2008) published by the state and

Towards Integration (2008) published by the DCC, were both developed prior to 2008

during Ireland's economic boom. By 2010 Ireland had experienced a full economic

collapse that required it to be bailed out by the EU and the International Monetary Fund

(IMF) (The Economist, Celtic Phoenix, 2015; The Economist, Getting Boomier, 2015; Smith et al. 2014:4). This caused strict scrutiny of government spending on social programs. As I will discuss in the next section, the result was that integration policies and structures did not receive enough monetary investment to be adequately implemented and developed.

4.3 Policy Analysis: State and Local Levels

Up to this point, this chapter has focused on detailing the evolution and development of Ireland's integration policies and structures. In this next section I build on this foundation and conduct a cohesive policy analysis. To frame my discussion, I use the submissions sent to the OPMI as part of the state government's current integration policy review. For this analysis it is important to note that it is difficult to definitively prove whether multicultural policies positively or negatively influence refugee integration (Kymlicka 1998:41; Murphy 2012:120). As stated in chapter 2, multicultural policies are "only one small part of the legislative toolbox available to governments" for integration (Murphy 2012:120). Therefore, there could be many different policy factors impacting refugee integration in Ireland. However, as presented in chapter 2, multicultural theorists provide a theoretical explanation as to why integration and multicultural policies should have a positive influence on refugee integration when implemented correctly. Some theorists, such as Kymlicka, provide examples where they argue that a strong correlation exists between multicultural policies and successful integration (Kymlicka 1998). As I will present in the following sections, Ireland's government integration policies and structures have not yet been developed enough to be able to identify whether or not this is the case in Ireland, and Dublin specifically. However, based on what the secondary literature suggests

about successful integration policy, I argue that it is likely that Ireland's lack of policy and structure development and implementation are in fact negatively influencing the integration of refugees.

While conducting my analysis, I identified key points that were common throughout the submissions. These key points relate to the physical manifestations of integration that focus on inclusion of individuals at the state and public level (Kymlicka 1998:26; Modood 2013:146; Parekh 2009:43) as well as the individual or personal understanding of integration (Kymlicka 1998:49,53; Lovell 2003:4; Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:40), as outlined in chapter 2. As I will discuss, many of these key points often reiterate what was previously written in past integration policies, such as *Migration Nation* (2008). This is problematic as it indicates that there has been a lack of effective policy and service implementation since the publication of *Migration Nation* (2008).

Before conducting the policy analysis, it is necessary to have a clear understanding of what would constitute a good or successful integration policy. I argue that a successful integration policy would articulate a clear definition of integration as a two way process between the local community, including the governing structure and local population, and the individuals who are seeking entrance into that community. This definition must recognize the diversity that exists both within and between these categories, and include the early stages of refugees' migration experience (i.e. being asylum seekers). The integration policy should identify key factors that impact integration, such as citizenship and immigration laws, employment, education, housing, and a sense of community and belonging, and ensure that all members of society (both the dominant and minority groups) have equal and fair access to these services. This must include recognition that equal or

fair access does not necessarily mean the same for all, but that minority or marginalized

groups may require additional accommodations so that they do not face disadvantages in

accessing these services (Kymlicka 1998:41). The policy would also need to identify the

roles and responsibilities of each sector of society, including the government, NGOs, local

community, and minority sectors, in order to encourage all members of society to be active

participants in the integration process. Finally, there must be clear objectives and steps for

implementing the policy and how to assess and evaluate its progress.

In my analysis, I identified four key points from the submissions to the OPMI. The

first point is that there has been a lack of implementation of, and monetary investment in,

integration policies and structures by the Irish government. A second key point was that

the responsibilities of the Irish government have not been made transparent to the public

and this needs to be rectified. This includes clearly identifying the roles of the state and

local governments. Thirdly, I found that the submissions highlighted the need for a

stronger relationship between the government and NGOs, including better sharing of

information. The last point identified in my analysis of the submissions was the criticism

of the Direct Provision Accommodation system for asylum seekers. In the following

sections I discuss in greater detail these key points from the submissions in order to analyze

Ireland's integration policy and its effect on refugee integration.

4.3.1 Lack of Implementation and Investment

As stated above, of great concern is Ireland's lack of implementation of, and

investment in, the proposed integration policies and structures. While *Migration Nation*

(2008) identified areas of integration that needed to be addressed, there was no

accompanying action plan for implementing the policy statements. There was also no

monetary investment by the government in developing new structures to meet the identified integration needs (Crosscare 2014:4; ESRI 2014:6; Fanning 2011:38; ICI 2014:9). These policies have remained what Fanning calls 'paper policies', which are policies that have been proposed but are not developed any further (Fanning 2011:38). The DCC Integration Officer described paper polices as policies which "sit in shelves and nobody ever reads...they just become documents of 'oh we ticked that box' but nobody ever reads [them], nobody ever actually looks at what has to be done out of it" (Interview 3 July 29, 2014:6). Therefore, despite being published in 2008, many of the recommendations in *Migration Nation* (2008) are the same issues being raised six years later in the submissions to the OPMI. As stated in this chapter's introduction, there is the possibility that even had these policies been implemented, they still may not have influenced a positive integration outcome in Dublin. The point I wish to make here is that due to the lack of implementation and investment by the Irish government, the policies and structures are not yet at the point where it is possible to judge if they would accomplish their goals or not—and this in itself is a significant barrier in my attempt to understand the mechanics of successful integration policy.

The submissions to the OPMI identified services not being adequately provided, including: access to citizenship information; education; employment aid; physical and mental health; housing; language supports; legal; and social welfare (cf. AkiDwa 2014; Nasc 2014; NCP 2014; SPIRASI 2014; The Integration Centre 2014). These services fall under the jurisdiction of various government departments and therefore require a strong overarching action plan for implementation. As previously stated, these are the same services that were listed in *Migration Nation* (2008) as important issues for integration

(Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:51-61). The submissions contend that these services are still not being provided in an easily accessible manner to migrants, particularly to vulnerable communities such as refugees.

Another part of the policy that has not been implemented is the proposed structures that were supposed to support the governance and assessment of integration. In its section on 'New Structures', the OPMI states that:

> With regard to new structures and co-ordinating mechanisms, it is not now proposed to establish the three new bodies referred to in Migration Nation because such a move would be inappropriate in current circumstances when State bodies are being rationalised or abolished (OPMI, New Structures, 2011).

The "current circumstances" that the OPMI is referring to have to do with the collapse of Ireland's economy. In 2008 Ireland officially entered into a recession (see chapter 1). The government greatly reduced spending on social welfare programs in 2009, including healthcare, education, and welfare benefits (Smith et al. 2014:4). As stated earlier, by 2010 Ireland had experienced a full economic collapse and required bailout from both the EU and the IMF (The Economist, Celtic Phoenix, 2015; The Economist, Getting Boomier, 2015; Smith et al. 2014:4). As a result, all government departments were under scrutiny for any excessive spending.

The three new bodies that were proposed in *Migration Nation* (2008), but were not established due to the economic collapse, were the Commission on Integration, the Ministerial Council on Integration, and the Task Force on Integration (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:40-42). These three new proposed structures were to be support systems to the Minister for Integration in different capacities. The Commission on Integration was to provide a regular review of the 'field of integration,' including "advice to the Minister [of Integration] on issues affecting integration, conducting research in the

field of integration and promoting understanding of integration through publications, seminars, etc" (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:40). The Ministerial Council on Integration's members were to be migrants who would give advice to the Minister of Integration concerning issues faced by migrants (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:41), while the Task Force on Integration was to be a body of 12 members which examined the issues and challenges that would arise from the integration of communities in Ireland (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:42).

Many of the submissions to the OPMI identified a gap in governance due to the lack of implementation of these structures proposed in *Migration Nation* (2008). The submissions state that there is a need for a monitoring body for integration in Ireland that exists outside of the OPMI. This monitoring body would work in consultation with the OPMI and the Cross-Departmental Group and would ensure that proper follow-up assessment of the new integration policy would occur (Galway Co Community 2014:5; ICTU 2014:6; Kilkenny Integration 2014:4; Nasc 2014:6; Womens Integration Centre 2014:1-2).

4.3.2 Government Roles and Responsibilities

Another common issue in the submissions to the OPMI was that the responsibilities of government departments were not clearly identified to the public (Akinyemi et al. 2014:1; Mayo Intercultural Action 2014:10; NCP 2014:8; SOLAS 2014:10). As I discussed previously, government departments and their sub-departments govern different policy areas and fields of Irish society. Government departments change and evolve as new governments are elected. This includes the changing of department names as different service areas are added or removed. An example of the changing names and

responsibilities of departments over time is the Department of Justice and Equality. Over its history, this department has also been called the Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform, and the Department of Justice, Equality and Defence in order to reflect what sectors it was governing. This evolution of departments has resulted in confusion over which departments are responsible for specific services or policy implementation. As stated in my discussion of multicultural theory (see chapter 2), easily accessible services are important for integration (Modood 2013:146; Parekh 2009:43). When services become harder for vulnerable communities to access, it is likely to assume that it will have a negative impact on their ability to integrate.

The OPMI reviews further stated that there needs to be better communication between the state government and local authorities in order to address the inconsistencies and contradictions that exist between state and local government services. These inconsistencies cause procedural issues that impede refugees and migrants' access to services. For example, the Department of Justice and Equality insists on retaining migrant's identification documents while they wait for their application for social welfare at the local level, yet their identification is required by the Garda for the application process (Mayo Intercultural Action 2014:10; SOLAS 2014:10).

In addition, the OPMI submissions indicated that local authorities need to be adequately supported in their integration efforts by the government, both politically and financially, as political leadership often starts at the local level and many local authorities have already begun to address the integration needs of their local communities (Crosscare 2014:16; Doras Luimni 2014:4; Kilkenny Integration 2014:4; Nasc 2014:6; SPIRASI

2014:6; Womens Integrated Network 2014:1). Therefore, it was argued that it would be proactive to support local authority initiatives.

4.3.3 Relationship between the Government and NGOs

One important issue of integration identified in *Migration Nation* (2008) was the relationship between the state government and NGOs. In *Migration Nation* the state government recognized that NGOs developed in response to the needs of newcomers, mainly asylum seekers and refugees, to fill gaps in services (2008:55). It also recognized that these NGOs function as advocates at both the national and local level and recommended that it continued interactions with NGOs (Office of the Minister for Integration 2008:56-57). Despite this acknowledgement, a common point in the submissions was that there needs to be greater communication and interaction between the OPMI and NGOs. Many of the reports sent to the OPMI were from NGOs. These reports highlighted a number of issues, including a lack of sharing information and coordination of services, as well as the detrimental effects delays or cuts in funding have had on NGOs' service provision (Conlan 2014:41; Cultúr Migrants Centre 2014:9; FAI 2014:10; Forum Polonia 2014:4; Galway Co Community 2014:6; ICI 2014: 6; Nasc 2014:15; Pavee Point 2014:4; RCNI 2014:6; SOLAS 2014:15; The Integration Centre 2014:25; UNHCR 2014:67) . As I will discuss in chapter 5, many NGOs do not actually interact with the OPMI or its policies and are instead connected to other government departments for service provision.

4.3.4 Direct Provision Accommodation

One final integration issue highlighted in submissions to the OPMI is the critiques of the Direct Provision Accommodation[14] system and the detrimental effects it has on asylum seekers and refugees. This is discussed in more detail in chapter 5 in regards to the role of NGOs in refugee integration in Ireland. However, what is important for this analysis is that the system of Direct Provision was an issue in the majority of the submissions to the OPMI and was common with all types of submitters including NGOs; faith-based groups; sports groups; academics; and local individuals. Current integration policy in Ireland does not address Direct Provision as asylum seekers are not seen as permanent residents and therefore do not fall under the jurisdiction of the OPMI. Instead, asylum seekers are under the jurisdiction of the Department of Justice and Equality's sub-department of the Irish Naturalisation and Immigration Service (INIS) and the 'three pillar structure' of the Office of the Refugee Applications Commissioner (ORAC), the Refugee Appeals Tribunal (RAT), and the Reception and Integration Agency (RIA) (INIS, Asylum, 2014). Because they are not seen as permanent or long-term residents, the state government does not support asylum seekers' integration into Irish society. In migration theory it is argued that all stages of immigration, including reception and settlement, have an effect on refugees' physical, mental, and emotional well-being which impact their ability to integrate (Ager 1999:2). Therefore, it could be argued that by using this approach the state government is actively hindering refugees' integration by not recognizing their previous experiences as asylum seekers and beginning the integration process sooner. Due

---

[14] Direct Provision Accommodation is the system governed by the Reception and Integration Agency (RIA) to provide obligatory accommodation to asylum seekers. This system provides asylum seekers with accommodation, food, and a small weekly allowance (Smith 2009:95-96). In the rest of this thesis I will refer to it as Direct Provision.

to the current situation, when individuals gain refugee status they are in effect beginning at a deficit in their integration. As this was the most common issue in the submissions, it will be very interesting whether or not this issue is addressed in the new integration policy.

4.4 Conclusion

In this chapter I focused on government policies and structures that are related to refugee integration. By first reviewing the structure of the Irish government system, followed by a review of integration policy at the EU, state, and local government levels I was able to present the background context for my argument.

In my policy analysis, I presented four key points that were common throughout the submissions sent to the OPMI. Multicultural theory suggests that the current government policies and structures likely are having a negative impact on the integration of refugees by inadequately addressing these key points. This is mainly a result of the lack of implementation of, and monetary investment in, past policies. The consequence is that the services that were identified as necessary for integration in 2008 have still not been provided. Integration policies, specifically *Migration Nation* (2008), are not inherently racist or discriminatory but, I would argue, fall under Kymlicka's discussion of "unintentional burdens." As mentioned in chapter 1, Kymlicka defines unintentional burdens as remnants of past political institutions and rules that were originally created for a different social and political context (Kymlicka 1998:47). *Migration Nation* (2008) was developed prior to the economic crisis of 2008 and the 2010 bail out by the EU and the IMF (The Economist, Celtic Phoenix, 2015; The Economist, Getting Boomier, 2015; Smith et al. 2014:4). Thus it is functioning in a different social, political and economic context than the one for which it was written.

Ireland has also continued to experience high immigration rates of asylum seekers and refugees. *Migration Nation* (2008) started with a weak action plan for implementing the identified integration measures (Cullen 2009:109). Over the years this has been exasperated by immigration and the resulting increase in ethnic diversity, as well as from the continual lack of adequate service provision by the government, increasing the policy's unintentional burdens for refugees. Essential service provision for refugee integration has therefore fallen to non-government bodies and grass root activism. The roles of NGOs in refugee integration and how they relate to refugees' lived experience of integration is the focus of chapter 5.

To suggest that state government integration policies and structures may be negatively impacting refugee integration through unintentional burdens is not to minimize the negative effects it could have for refugees. Refugees would have to overcome these burdens in order to integrate and, as discussed in chapter 2, it likely that integration is negatively affected when deterrents are in place at the political level and when basic services are not easily accessible (Kymlicka 1998:19; Modood 2013:146; Parekh 2009:44-45). In this thesis, I focused on Irish government integration policies. I did not include in-depth reviews of government legislation or immigration regulations, which may have changed the outcomes of the analysis (Fanning 2011; Lentin 2007; Smith 2008), but rather I have concentrated on the policies and practices.

As stated in chapter 2, it is important to recognize that integration is impacted by various political and social factors. Therefore, the possibility remains that even if these policies had been fully implemented, refugee integration may still not have occurred. As stated by Murphy:

> Integration and social cohesion can also be affected by things like the relative health of the host society economy (when times are hard, immigration is more likely to become a focal point of public anger); the length of time an immigration policy has been in place (which affects the degree to which citizens have become used to the idea of accepting newcomers); or extraordinary political events (terrorism for example) that turn public opinion against particular kinds of minorities or against the idea of multiculturalism *per se* (Murphy 2012:121).

Ireland's immigration policies are relatively new and developed in reaction to increased immigration. Ireland, along with the rest of the global community, is also part of the current movement to accommodate refugees from war-torn locations. It must be recognized that together all of these factors influence refugee integration in Dublin, not just government policies and structures. The Irish government has also taken steps to further develop its integration strategies in the current integration policy review and has been involved in the funding of local NGOs and public events. Therefore, despite some of the lapses in policy and service provision, the Irish government has at least on paper, taken some steps towards supporting refugee integration.

As stated earlier, it could be argued the state government actively and directly hinders refugee integration through the use of Direct Provision for asylum seekers. This will be discussed further in chapter 5 in relation to the lived experience of integration. In chapter 5, I conduct the bottom-up analysis. I discuss both the role of NGOs in refugee integration and, importantly, how refugees themselves understand and experience integration. Chapter 5 builds on the discussions presented in this chapter and facilitates a more holistic analysis of refugee integration in Ireland.

Chapter 5: NGOs' and Refugees' Experience of Integration

In chapter 4 I argued that due to ad hoc development and lack of implementation, it is likely that Ireland's policies and structures negatively influence refugee integration given what the multicultural literature presents about successful integration policies. In spite of this, refugee integration does occur in Ireland. As this chapter will argue, a common response to this situation has been the neoliberal approach of a reliance on NGOs and individual action. In this chapter I discuss how integration takes place in the services provided by NGOs and through refugees' own agency. I first present the roles of NGOs and how they influence refugee integration in Ireland. I use a case study from my own research to illustrate how a NGO facilitates both the physical manifestations of integration as well as the personal and more subjective experience of integration. Following this, I focus on how refugees themselves understand and experience integration. This section presents key identifiers that refugees have highlighted as markers of integration. This section is important as it provides space for refugees' voices on the topic of integration.

5.1 Understanding Integration as a Two Way Process

It is important to first review how integration is defined in Ireland before I begin these discussions. The leading understanding of integration in Ireland was first developed in *Integration: A Two Way Process* (1999) and was reiterated in *Migration Nation* (2008). In *Integration: A Two Way Process*, integration is defined as a two way process and as the "ability to participate to the extent that a person needs and wishes in all of the major components of society without having to relinquish his or her own cultural identity" (1999:9). *Migration Nation* further states that "this policy statement seeks to emphasise the concept that integration is a two-way [sic] process requiring mutual adaptation" (2008:17).

The government's understanding of integration as a two way process is based on the assumption that in order for integration to be successful both the migrant and the receiving community must be involved in integration.

At first glance, the government's definition of integration is the same as how NGOs and refugees define integration. Some NGOs and advocates, such as UNHCR Ireland,[15] even quote the above definition in their own policy reviews (UNHCR 2013:11). However, upon deeper inspection it becomes evident that the practical understanding of what integration means as a two way process is very different between NGOs and the government.

NGOs, advocacy groups, and refugees' practice of integration includes a more diverse understanding of the process and lived experience of integration. UNHCR states that: "At the core of UNHCR's definition is the concept of integration as a two way process and this is premised on 'adaptation' of one party and 'acceptance' by the other...It does not however require the refugee to relinquish their cultural identity" (UNHCR 2013:11). This understanding of both adaption and acceptance as central aspects of integration is important to many NGOs' and refugees' active or lived definition of integration. As is evident in the submissions sent into the OPMI, many NGOs argue that in practice the Irish government does not adequately address the 'acceptance' or mutual accommodation aspect of integration in the two way process despite the fact that it is included in the government's policy definition of integration (cf. Doras Luimni 2014:15; NCP 2014:3).

This relates to Gray's (2006b) critique (mentioned in chapter 4) of Ireland's first integration policy *Integration: A Two Way process* (1999). Gray argued that the Irish

---

[15] In the rest of my thesis when I use 'UNHCR' I am referring to UNHCR Ireland.

government understands the two way integration process to mean that the individual migrants will work on themselves to integrate into the local community and the country in general, while the receiving community achieves its part of integration through the creation of policies and structures that govern "integration" without actually having to adapt itself (Gray 2006b:130). Gray's critique of this neoliberal approach is that is assumes that any special needs or requirements refugees may have will "disappear once they gain equal access to mainstream services" (Gray 2006b:130). In chapter 2, I presented Kymlicka and Parekh's argument that fair and supportive multicultural policies may require special or additional accommodations in order to ensure equal access (Kymlicka 1998:52; Parekh 2006:262). The state would therefore be required to make additional adaptations, such as affirmative action programs, inclusion of different cultural histories and literature in the education curriculum, accommodation of cultural and/or religious dress and dietary codes, and flexible work hours in recognition of different religious holidays (Kymlicka 1998:42; Murphy 2012:117). As argued by Gray and many of the NGO submissions, the Irish government does not adequately address, nor put into action, this level of adaption and accommodation.

NGOs argue that the racism refugees experience reflects this lack of acceptance and adaptation. As explained in chapter 1, the development of 'Irishness' was part of a political move to create a sense of cohesion in the country when gaining independence from Britain. However, local communities in Ireland are now debating what "Irishness" means, as more diverse ethnicities settle in the country and begin to gain citizenship. This has contributed to an increasing lack of acceptance of, and racism towards, ethnic minorities on the part of the white middle/lower class local Irish population (Fanning

2002:26, 38; Field notes July 18 and 28, 2014). Fanning states that refugees and immigrants often get blamed for the problems of the indigenous poor, especially during times of economic strife, which can lead to discrimination or even violence (Fanning 2002:27). Fanning describes an incident in 2000 when, using pool cues from a nearby pub, a group of local men attacked a Dublin shop owned by African[16] refugees (Fanning 2002:27). One Irish national explained this type of incident stating:

> So Irish people are going 'well I see this Nigerian person, and they're very much Nigerian. They're wearing their clothes, they're going to their mass and they're eating their food. What am I doing? You know, I'm in my Adidas[17] tracksuit, watching something on British television, going for vindaloo curry tonight, playing soccer, but I like to watch X Factor as well. And who am I as an Irish, what am I doing? I'm not reading Irish poetry, I'm not doing Irish dancing. [Irish] people then [feel] challenged, because [they] go 'Fuck I don't know, who am I really?' and they get very angry and they go 'how dare you, how dare you come into our community and be that' because we don't know who we are, we're just bland nonsense. Especially the white working class communities because everyone looks the same. They're all on cutting edge poor, they're maybe all smoking, drinking, down in the pub, drugs, abuse, all the kids wearing the same clothes, listening to the same music. But who are they? If you were to ask them who are you, are you Irish? They could be very nationalistic; they could suddenly turn around and start fighting (Interview, July 18, 2014:19).

Recognizing the cultural conflict that local Irish are experiencing, how can refugees integrate when the local community relies on 'difference' to separate itself from other cultures? This relates to Putnam's theory, presented in chapter 2, of bonding social capital and how it can reinforce seemingly homogenous groups and identities, which can create out-group antagonism (Putnam 2000:23) where in this case, the "out-group" is refugees. Many NGOs highlighted concern for antagonism towards refugees in their submissions to the OPMI and explored what possibilities exist for bridging the different cultural groups

---

[16] Specific country of origin was not specified.

[17] Adidas is a sports apparel brand started in Germany.

(Cairde 2014:4; Cultúr Migrants Centre 2014:11; The Integration Centre 2014:29; Nasc 2014:13; NYCI 2014:4; UNHCR 2013:69).

One response proposed by NGOs is for the state government to create strong national policies that support integration and combat racism. By having a strong national integration policy that supports ethnic minorities and makes racism illegal, it is hoped that a trickle-down effect may occur where acceptance at the governmental level of society will help encourage acceptance in everyday interactions of the public (Cairde 2014:4; CIB 2014:4; NYCI 2014:4; HEIT 2014:1; ICI 2014:6; ICTU 2014:6; The Integration Centre 2014:29; SARI 2014:2). Making racism illegal would include banning media – such as newspapers; advertisements; political campaigns; and public announcements – from using racial stereotyping and racist content (Black Irish Association 2014:2). Further, NGOs argue that the state government needs to introduce "specific legal provision that makes committing an offence that is motivated by hate or racism an aggravating factor, allowing for a more severe punishment" (Nasc 2014:13). This would require the Garda to have a no tolerance approach for racism and have a clearly defined process for how to report racist incidents quickly and accurately (cf. CIB 2014:4; HEIT 2014:1; NYCI 2014:4). These NGOs do not specify, however, who defines what constitutes a racist incident or what precautions would be necessary to protect free speech and the misuse of the system.

NGOs have an important role in Ireland as advocates and the public voice for many minority communities, including asylum seekers and refugees, and provide many services and programs. In the following section, I will discuss NGOs' roles in greater detail and outline how they relate to refugee integration. However, NGOs are not the only stakeholders who facilitate refugee integration. Refugees are active agents in their own

integration and, as is discussed later in this chapter, have their own understanding of what

integration means. Therefore, in this chapter I present both the roles of NGOs in refugee

integration and how refugees themselves understand and experience integration in Ireland.

5.2 The Roles of NGOs: Services and Advocacy

A NGO is typically, though not always, organized by concerned members of the

majority who advocate for minority communities and often exercise the minorities' group

rights for them by controlling the legal and organizational aspects of the NGO (Nickel

1997:250). In the early years of the Celtic Tiger (mid 1990s) there was a rapid emergence

of a large number of NGO advocacy groups in Ireland in response to the increase in

immigration to Ireland. These NGOs initially included mostly NGOs organized and run by

individuals of Irish background (Cullen 2009:113). However, there were also a number of

minority-led NGOs in Ireland where ethnic communities started their own organizations

through grassroots movements in response to their own identified needs (Cullen 2009:121).

In line with typical neoliberal policy approaches, the state began to provide funding

to NGOs instead of developing its own services as NGOs had already set up programs and

services to meet the needs of migrants (Cullen 2009:102). The Irish government now relies

on NGOs to continue to fill these gaps in the essential services. Therefore, NGOs in

Ireland continue to play an important role in service provision and advocacy for refugees,

recognizing that not all NGOs in Ireland focus on migrants and refugees.

Central to NGOs' roles as advocates and service providers is their focus on

particular communities or ethnic groups. NGOs develop services for the specific needs of

these communities, which differs from the state government's approach of mainstreaming

services. The diversity across NGOs is evident in both past research I have conducted for

Dr. Angèle Smith under the research title "Multiculturalism & Integration of New Multi-Ethnic Communities in Ireland: Making Connections and Identifying Needs" as well as in the NGOs who supplied submissions to the OPMI. Many of the minority groups represent ethnic groups, such as Polish (Forum Polonia 2014; Polish Educational Society in Ireland 2014); East Indian (Irish India Council); Roma (Pavee Point 2014; Crosscare 2014), and Irish Travellers (DICE 2014; ICTU 2014; Pavee Point 2014). Other NGOs, such as the ones in which I am specifically interested in, focus on asylum seekers and refugees. Some of the more prominent NGOs in Ireland who work with asylum seekers and refugees include the Integration Centre, New Communities Partnership (NCP), Akidwa, SPIRASI, and Migrants Rights Centre. The services offered at these NGOs are developed to meet the unique situations and needs of asylum seekers and refugees. This can include: legal help for attaining residency or leave to remain; housing; employment; education, such as language classes, literacy classes, computer skills, and life skills; health services; and centres for cultural events and activities.[18]

As previously mentioned, the Irish Government separates asylum seekers and refugees into different legal categories that are placed under different government departments. However, what many NGOs are discovering is that this legal separation can be detrimental to understanding the needs of these individuals. NGOs that focus their advocacy on asylum seekers and refugees do not view these individuals as parts of separate groups and instead work with the understanding that asylum seekers and refugees make up the same community at different legal stages. Thus, NGOs are advocating for greater support for individuals transitioning out of Direct Provision after obtaining refugee status

[18] This information is based on research I have conducted under Dr. Angèle Smith under the research working title "Multiculturalism & Integration of New Multi-Ethnic Communities in Ireland: Making Connections and Identifying Needs".

(UNHCR 2013:43). By recognizing asylum seekers and refugees as the same group on a continuum, services can be developed that span both legal categories. Many NGOs are also now public advocates for ending Direct Provision, or at least undertaking a major reassessment of the Direct Provision system, and reorganizing the process for gaining refugee status (cf. Conlan 2014:39; Cultúr Migrants Centre 2014:4; Crosscare 2014:13; SOLAS 2014:4; SPIRASI 2014:4; UNHCR 2013:64-66,69).

The critique of Direct Provision for asylum seekers is part of another important advocacy role that NGOs play in Ireland, which is reviewing government policy, providing recommendations, and putting pressure on the government for policy changes (Cullen 2009, 2010; Gray 2006a). NGOs have provided recommendations for international documents, such as the *European Commission Against Racism and Intolerance* (ECRI 2013),[19] as well as for national policies. As mentioned in chapter 4, a number of NGOs submitted reviews to the OPMI in response to the Call for Submissions. Through this process, NGOs are taking an active role in reviewing the current integration policy and providing their insights into the reality of integration for migrants in their everyday lives. Many of these submissions were sent to the OPMI in the form of research reports that are in-depth examinations of integration, and pertain to each particular NGOs' area of interest and what they believe is lacking in the current integration policy *Migration Nation* (2008).

In addition to submitting reports, NGOs are also involved in the OPMI integration policy review through meetings with the Government Cross-Departmental Group, which is

---

[19] The ECRI is an independent human rights monitoring body established by the European Commission, which monitors each country within the European member states regarding racism and intolerance over five year cycles. In total, ECRI will conduct five monitoring cycles, with summary publications produced at the end of each monitoring cycle (ECRI 2013:5), that is in 1998, 2002, 2007, 2013, and 2018. ECRI produces summary publications based on analyses of data from a wide variety of sources, including recommendations from NGOs, which have heavily influenced ECRI's summary of racism and intolerance in Ireland.

the governing body examining the submissions to the OPMI. In these meetings NGOs present their submissions and discuss the main points in further detail, and allow the Cross-Departmental Group to ask questions about the submission or the NGO. Whether or not the submissions and these meetings have had any major impact on the development of the Irish government's new integration policy will only be evident once the government releases the policy.

Despite the positive role of NGOs as advocates and service providers, the NGOs' role as public figures for marginalized and vulnerable communities is not without problems, nor should they be exempt from critical assessment. Some scholars believe that using an agent from outside of the stakeholder group, such as a majority-led NGO, may not be as effective since the agent is not part of the community and may not fully understand the community's needs (Nickel 1997:250). Even within grassroots or minority-led NGOs, there is still the possibility of misrepresentation of the needs of the vulnerable community by those who hold power within the NGO. Many NGOs in Ireland have multi-ethnic teams that include members of the various ethnic groups in order to have appropriate representation. Despite this ethnic representation, NGOs are still formal organizations and therefore require critical assessment.

There are also issues related with NGOs providing essential services and relying on government funding. One issue is that the services available to refugees and other minority groups vary between cities and counties depending on which NGOs are present in each area. This may create situations where refugees must travel across the country to receive essential services not available in their region. For example, asylum seekers and refugees who require specialized mental and physical therapy for torture and trauma must travel to

receive therapy, as the NGO SPIRASI (located in Dublin) is the only organization in Ireland that specializes in torture rehabilitation (Field notes, July 28, 2014; IDonate, SPIRASI, 2015). Many refugees, especially those who have recently left Direct Provision, do not have the means or ability to travel to receive needed services at SPIRASI as often as would someone living in Dublin (Field notes July 28, 2014).

Further, NGOs only receive short-term funding from the government, which is problematic for the continuous delivery of services. Short-term funding is provided to NGOs for 3 to 4 years (Field notes July 24, 2014). Government funding has traditionally been the main source of money for NGOs. Losing this funding can cause a NGO to end services or to close down (Cultúr Migrants Centre 2014:9; Doras Luimni 2014:15; Interview, July 18, 2014:4; SOLAS 2014:14; The Integration Centre 2014:31). Many NGOs are experiencing a funding crisis and are looking to other sources for money, which can be difficult to secure for long-term service provision (Crosscare 2014:4). One NGO stated that:

> Reliance on philanthropic organisations and the EU as the main financial basis for the implementation of an Irish migrant integration policy shows a lack of commitment to the issues. This is an issue of broad national importance and it should be funded directly on a permanent basis from the national exchequer (Crosscare 2014:4).

The Irish government only provides short-term funding for NGO programs because any long-term funding would be a recognition that the service is an 'essential service,' which would then require the government to take responsibility for it (Carlow Integration Forum 2014:4; Field notes July 24, 2014). The result is that NGOs either change their organization or program name every few years in order to be able to reapply for funding as a 'new' NGO or program. This enables them to keep receiving the money needed to

supply the services. As is the case with the rapidly changing names and responsibilities of state departments, this causes confusion for people, both for the clients using the services and the workers at other NGOs, as many people cannot remember which NGOs still exist, which NGOs have changed their names, or what services are still being provided (Field notes July 24, 2014).

Recognizing the influence of a neoliberal approach to refugee integration service provision in Ireland and the rolling back of the government, raises the larger question of who is best equipped to deliver these services: NGOs or the state? NGOs are currently providing the majority of integration services to refugees, as mentioned in chapter 4, and developed in response to the perceived needs of asylum seekers and refugees. Based on this and the fact that NGOs have been filling this role since the 1990s and have developed the necessary programs based on their service provision experience, it could be argued that NGOs are currently better equipped to provide these services than the government. However, as presented in this chapter, NGO service provision has problems which could be addressed by increasing the government's role in refugee integration service provision as suggested by the submissions to the OPMI. This increased role would include providing long-term funding for programs and recognizing asylum seekers and refugees as part of the same community. Whether or not this occurs will only be evident after the new integration policy is released.

5.3 Case Study: SPIRASI

During my fieldwork in Dublin, Ireland I carried out ethnographic participant observation at a NGO in order to gain a greater understanding of its role in refugee integration. The NGO that I connected with was the Spiritan Asylum Services Initiatives

(SPIRASI). As mentioned in chapter 3, SPIRASI's target clientele are asylum seekers and refugees with a focused interest in victims of torture. SPIRASI supports the individual in a holistic manner and uses a combined approach of Rehabilitation, including physical, mental, and emotional rehabilitation, and Integration/Education (Interview 1, July 16, 2014:12; SPIRASI, About, 2015).

As mentioned in my methods, I went to SPIRASI almost every day over a two week period, for 2 to 5 hours each day. I job shadowed with the receptionist at the front desk and spent time with SPIRASI's Integration Officer and other staff members. I also interacted with refugees in informal settings, talking and spending time with them at the centre. I would have tea and lunch in the communal coffee room with the staff and clients and I attended two conversational English classes. Through these experiences at SPIRASI I was able to learn about and observe how an NGO supports and advocates refugee integration. This was important for my research as it aided in understanding the two way approach of integration between the receiving community and refugees. In regards to the receiving community, my thesis focused on the state and how it influences refugee integration. I did not speak directly with the local Irish population, however, as will be discussed below, SPIRASI provided some opportunities to learn indirectly about how refugees integrate into the local population. I recognize that SPIRASI represents a safe place of integration, which may not directly correlate with refugees' experiences outside of its walls. However, this space is important to examine how refugees first learn to negotiate and begin to experience their integration in Dublin.

5.3.1 History

SPIRASI was created in 1999 by the Congregation of the Holy Spirit, who are an order of Catholic priests also known as the Spiritans, in response to a needs assessment that the Spiritans commissioned in 1997 (Interview 1 July 16, 2014:1; SPIRASI, About, 2014). This needs assessment was commissioned because of the large number of newcomers in Ireland and the lack of administrative or legislative preparedness that the Spiritans felt existed in Ireland at the time (SPIRASI, About, 2014). The newcomers, who included asylum seekers, programed and conventional refugees, and other immigrants, needed state support upon arrival which the Irish government was not equipped to give them (Interview 1 July 16, 2014). The needs assessment highlighted a number of priority needs, including: resource materials on rights and entitlements of new arrivals; independent medical and psychosocial support for vulnerable torture survivors; provision of support services, including trained interpreters; English language and IT training to enable integration; and public awareness education and promotion of interculturalism (SPIRASI, About, 2014). SPIRASI was created as a NGO under the trusteeship of the Spiritans to meet these needs for asylum seekers and refugees (Interview 1 July 16, 2014). This type of service is not uncommon for the Spiritans who focus their services on people suffering from human rights injustices. Typically this service occurs outside of Ireland in other parts of the world, such as in Africa, where the Spiritans travel to conduct missions (Interview 1 July 16, 2014; Interview 4 August 5, 2014).

When SPIRASI first opened in Dublin, Ireland in 1999 its services included English language classes and computer skills classes. Alongside SPIRASI's target group of asylum seekers and refugees they also accepted other immigrants who had need of their services.

Initially SPIRASI did not have any permanent staff and was run solely by volunteers. Most of the volunteers were Spiritan priests, while the rest of the volunteers came from the local population (Interview July 16, 2014). In 2003 this changed after the Spiritan volunteers were successful in applying for funding from the Department of Health, when they recognized that many in their target group had suffered torture. Speaking about the development of SPIRASI's specific focus on torture, the Integration Coordinator stated that:

> ...[It was] in 2003 that most of the teachers in the classes discovered that some of the students were asylum seekers or refugees who lived in the [Direct Provision] accommodation centres... and some of the students indicated or told teachers that they were tortured in their countries of origin. So teachers brought this up at that stage, that many students needed some kind of therapeutic intervention (Interview 1 July 16, 2014).

SPIRASI now specializes in the care and rehabilitation of torture victims and is the only service of its type available in Ireland (IDonate, SPIRASI, 2014; Interview 1 July 16, 2014:2; Walsh 2014:4). The services provided for the survivors of torture include: medical assessments; medico-legal reports; cross-cultural counselling/psychotherapy; psychosocial and integration support; art psychotherapy; outreach support; complementary therapies; group psychotherapy; and support groups (Walsh 2014:4). SPIRASI now has a number of full-time staff members who work alongside volunteers. SPIRASI's staff is multinational, including both local Irish and immigrants, and is multilingual, which is a major benefit for SPIRASI's work with immigrants from around the world. The staff positions include directors, therapists, counselors, priests, nuns, teachers, and other office positions such as secretaries (Field notes, July-August 2014).

Currently SPIRASI's funding comes from three main sources. SPIRASI continues to receive its main financial support from the Spiritans, including the use of its building

rent-free. SPIRASI also receives funding from the UN as an endorsement of their work with torture victims. The third source of funding comes from the Irish state government, through the Department of Health, for SPRIASI's rehabilitation of torture victims, and through the Department of Education for the education classes that they offer (Interview 1 July 16, 2014:5).

The government funding is obligatory because the Irish State signed the United Nations agreement to protect the vulnerable populations of asylum seekers and refugees (Interview 1 July 16, 2014:5-6). Because SPIRASI receives funding from these two departments, its services must follow the departments' guidelines and policies. For example, SPIRASI provides educational classes that are Quality and Qualifications Ireland (QQI) [20] accredited (SPIRASI, Education, 2015).

Despite its focus on integration for asylum seekers and refugees SPIRASI does not receive funding from the OPMI. Thus the OPMI, which functions to create and uphold integration policy and structures, does not actually have any direct influence on the services and programs SPIRASI provides to its clients. Instead, SPIRASI is influenced by the department-specific integration strategies created in both the Department of Health and the Department of Education. Unfortunately, these strategies functioned for a limited time and have not been revised or re-implemented (Field notes, July 28, 2014).

In the following section, I illustrate how SPIRASI's services work towards refugee integration. I do this by discussing SPIRASI's services of education, advocacy, and providing physical and ideological space for integration. Following these discussions, I

---

[20] As discussed in the introduction, FETAC is still used locally to describe Ireland's accreditation system. The name of the program has since changed Quality and Qualifications Ireland (QQI), which now governs Further Education and Training (FET) programmes.

present some of the challenges that SPIRASI faces in providing integration services to refugees.

5.3.2 SPIRASI's Services: Rehabilitation and Integration

SPIRASI uses a double approach of rehabilitation and integration in their services for asylum seekers and refugees. Treatment for victims of torture includes a combination of a number of different services that seeks to recuperate the individual in a holistic fashion, including physically, mentally, and spiritually. The therapy connects to the integration aspect of the NGO, as integration and education are seen as one of the building blocks used in rehabilitation (Interview 1 July 16, 2014:12). While clients go through the treatment process through therapy and medical attention, many are also students participating in the different classes available at SPIRASI. This prepares and enables the individual to enter into and participate in their new community with the skills and tools they receive during their time at SPIRASI.

SPIRASI's Integration Officer defined integration as a give and take between the Irish community and the clients. Part of what SPIRASI seeks to teach its clients about integration is the balance between holding onto their cultural values and traditions and learning the laws and social norms of their new home community. The Integration Office further explained this understanding of integration, stating:

> Integration is a two way thing...So the kind of integration we will talk about is different from assimilation. We hope that when you come into Ireland you keep your values, you keep your culture, keep your religion, keep your beliefs. You should be free to do all of that. But then you should be aware also of the laws of this country, you should be aware of the respect for human rights, you should be aware of respect for one another, respect for people's religion, respect for people's sexuality, respect all those things that in most of their countries would be taboo topics. We have to make sure that they understand that yes you have come to a different society, you keep your religion, you can keep certain things, but provided

you are respecting other people's things also. And that's you integrating into that society (Interview 1 July 16, 2014:3).

This understanding of integration shows how the lived experience of integration can be difficult to navigate as it requires give and take by both the individual integrating and the community receiving the individual. Unlike the government's understanding as discussed in chapter 4, SPIRASI's focus on integration centres around the development of community and sense of belonging. Neither party is left unchanged by the interaction. As the following discussions will present, SPIRASI seeks to empower its clients so that they are able to connect with their neighbours and local community. It also provides a safe place for some initial interactions to occur between them. Although SPIRASI's target group is asylum seekers and refugees, it does seek to connect with the local population through some of its programs, such as the knitting group discussed below, as well as through different awareness campaigns including hosting the UN International Day in Support of Victims of Torture (SPIRASI, Events, 2015).

5.3.2.1 Education

SPIRASI approaches integration through education and empowerment. SPIRASI empowers its clients through education by providing services at refugees' social and academic levels in order to give them the best chance at integration. SPIRASI's educational services therefore include both QQI accredited courses, as well as basic or beginner level classes. For instance, I attended two English Language classes that were focused on English for everyday speech. These courses were not QQI accredited courses, but instead focused on teaching the clients how to speak in daily interactions (Field notes, July 23, 2014). The purpose of these courses are to enable the clients to feel comfortable speaking to other individuals outside of SPIRASI, including their neighbours, government

109

service providers, utility providers, and any other locals they may meet (Interview 1 July 16, 2014:3). These classes also aim to incorporate other social lessons while teaching conversational English. For example, the two classes I attended used work sheets that taught about acceptance and what the terms discrimination, racism, and equality mean. They also provided historical facts on influential people, such as Nelson Mandela (Field notes, July 23, 2014). Many students do not know the history of racism and discrimination or what the terms signify, thus the classes are multipurpose in teaching both English and other important social lessons.

SPIRASI continues to provide many QQI accredited educational courses in computer skills and English language courses. Education and empowerment are seen as essential for the integration of SPIRASI's clients into Irish society, for they give the clients the tools to apply their education to everyday life. Clients at SPIRASI also go on fieldtrips to museums in Dublin in order to learn about the city's history and their new community. Other fieldtrips include going to different parks to inform the clients about various activities they can do with their families outside of their home or the SPIRASI centre (Interview 1, July 16 2014:4).

5.3.2.2 Advocacy

Another way SPIRASI supports refugee integration is by being an advocate for its clients at the personal and political levels. In my ethnographic participant observation at SPIRASI I witnessed workers advocating for their clients in personal everyday instances. While job shadowing with the receptionists I observed how the front desk functions at the 'front lines of interactions' with clients (Field notes, July 22, 2014). In the course of a regular day the receptionist not only organized the scheduling of the NGO, but also helped

clients fill out government forms that included words the clients did not understand and directed clients or walk-in individuals to the appropriate government services. The receptionist also helped clients coordinate services to meet their needs, such as rearranging therapy sessions so that the client could attend language courses (Field notes, July 23, 2014; Field notes, August 5, 2014).

SPIRASI also advocates for its clients at the political level. As the only organization to provide specialized services for victims of torture, SPIRASI is a vocal advocate for developing appropriate services to help these individuals with rehabilitation. In my participant observation I was able to attend the consultative meeting between SPIRASI and the Cross-Departmental Group. In this meeting the Director of SPIRASI presented information about how Direct Provision was amplifying refugees' experiences of torture by not providing physical and mental health screening sooner to identify those who had been tortured (Field notes, July 28, 2014). This adversely affects the individuals' ability to integrate after gaining refugee status as they have not received the services they need while in Direct Provision. But they must first overcome their trauma before they can become functioning members of society. SPIRASI therefore argued that services and programs need to include both legal categories in order to help with the transition from being an asylum seeker to an integrated refugee.

5.3.2.3 Physical and Ideological Space for Integration

In addition to education and advocaey, SPIRASI further supports refugee integration by providing the physical and ideological spaces for integration to occur. As mentioned in chapter 2, physical space relates to the physical geography of where integration takes place. At SPIRASI, this included the classrooms and the coffee room.

111

Space is also shaped by ideologies, such as exclusion and inclusion. SPIRASI provides the ideological space for integration by facilitating social contexts where refugees are able to integrate and become part of a community. SPIRASI further creates ideological space for the local community to integrate and accept refugees, which is not always socially permissible outside of the NGO setting. I observed this in various circumstances and events at SPIRASI. Many of these events illustrated Putnam's theory of bridging social capital across different ethnicities (see chapter 2) as individuals were able to connect and create a sense of community within the safe space of SPIRASI.

One place I observed this sense of community was in the classroom setting. In the classes I attended there were students from around the world, including: various African[21] countries; India; Iran; Afghanistan; Poland; and Vietnam (Field notes, July23, 2014). This class was targeted at SPIRASI's clientele, asylum seekers and refugees, though there were some other migrants who attended as well. In the classes students would help each other with the worksheets and would go over the answers together. In the second class I attended, a previous student who had completed the course the year before came back to visit and stayed to help the current students practice English (Field notes, July 30, 2014).

I further observed the sense of community in the classroom as students celebrated religious holidays together. At the beginning of the second class, only 5 students were present. Over the course of the hour many students came and went in order to attend the Muslim celebration of Eid ul-Fitr and the ending of Ramadan (Field notes, July 30, 2014). In SPIRASI's classroom setting, this form of attendance was accepted and many non-

---

[21] These individuals were identified as 'African.' Their specific country of origin was not identified.

Muslim students wished their classmates an enjoyable celebration. They also helped their Muslim classmates catch up on school work when they returned from the festivities.

I experienced the sense of community present at SPIRASI in other situations as well. Each time I went to SPIRASI I would make myself tea in the coffee room. The coffee room is open to both the staff and the clients and there would often be snacks or lunch items for everyone to share. This space facilitated interactions between people as we would all sit at the same table to eat and drink together. Through these interactions I witnessed how a sense of integrated community was developed and maintained amongst the clients, as well as with the workers at SPIRASI.

The coffee room was also the space where SPIRASI advertises different activities for the clients. These activities are important as they are meant to encourage social experiences between the clients and the local community in order to create a sense of familiarity and belonging for the clients. One event SPIRASI hosts is a knitting club where local Irish women come to the centre and knit with asylum seeker and refugee women (Interview 1, July 16, 2014:4). Other activities include presentations from the Garda at SPIRASI, where the clients learn about local laws and the services the Garda supply to the community. The purpose of these presentations are two-fold: for the clients to learn Irish laws and become more comfortable around police; and for the Garda to learn about appropriate ways of interacting with ethnic minorities, especially refugees and torture victims. These types of social events facilitate integration by giving clients positive interactions with the police, as many of the clients may be fearful of police due to past experiences in their countries of origin. The events also initiate integration for the host

community by creating a greater understanding of refugees on a personal level and how a refugee's history may affect the way they interact with locals.

5.3.3 Challenges

Like other NGOs, SPIRASI does face some challenges in providing services to refugees. One challenge is the ability to teach English to a group of individuals from around the world. As mentioned earlier, in the two English language class I attended there were clients from multiple countries. It was a conversational English-language class and yet the teacher had to rely on English to communicate with the majority of her students, even with teacher's ability to speak three languages. After the class the teacher expressed to me how difficult lessons can be for the clients, as some of the refugees have never had any other form of schooling and therefore do not have the ability to connect the English grammar to their own language (Field notes, July 23, 2014).

Another area that SPIRASI and many other NGOs struggle with is the shortage of funding, which restricts the services NGOs are able to provide. For example, many of the students from the lessons I attended wanted to register for September 2015 Fall English courses, however the receptionist told them that they would most likely not get into the course until September 2016 (Field notes, July 30, 2014). The clients still wanted to register even with the delay because, as I discussed earlier, these classes represent an important social interaction. The classes are a safe space where students can experience a sense of community and familiarity with other individuals while they learn English (Field notes, July 23, 2014). One result of this comfort and community is that many students will refuse to advance to higher-level courses and are content to remain in the same class for multiple years. This restricts an already limited space allowance for students wanting to

take the courses (Field notes, July 23, 2014). Meeting the educational needs of refugees is therefore a more complex issue than simply providing more classes.

As this case study of SPIRASI shows, NGOs are influential in refugees' initial integration into Dublin and Ireland. NGOs must balance between complying with government regulations, such as education or health guidelines, but must also meet the identified needs of their clients. NGOs such as SPIRASI therefore play an important mediating role in Ireland and provide valuable information on refugees' integration.

5.4 Refugees' Integration Experience

Following on the previous discussion concerning the role NGOs play in refugee integration, I now examine how refugees themselves understand their experience of integration in Ireland. This includes refugees' own agency in facilitating their sense of identity and place in their new home country. Grounding the discussion in integration theory, exploring refugees' understanding of integration takes into account their sense of belonging, identity, and emotional connection to both their country of origin and their new community (Kymlicka 1998:49,53; Lovell 2003:4; Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:40; Smith 2009:89). This understanding of integration is more subjective and can vary between people, or even between one individual's experiences and feelings. Many of these experiences of integration can begin even before individuals have formal refugee status, when they are still legally "asylum seekers" (Interview, July 16, 2014:8; UNHCR 2013:57).

I draw on various data sets in order to explore refugees' lived experience of integration. One data set is my own primary research that I conducted at SPIRASI. As mentioned earlier, I spent time with refugees in both the classroom and in public spaces at

SPIRASI. I was able to talk with refugees about their integration experiences by making personal connections with them. I also learned about refugees' lived experience of integration in the interviews I conducted with SPIRASI's workers, who relayed to me what factors refugees have identified are important for integration. I supplemented my primary research with two independent research papers that include excerpts from interviews with refugees in Ireland conducted within the past three years. As mentioned in chapter 3, one of these independent studies was conducted by UNHCR in December 2013 (UNHCR 2013). The other study was conducted at SPIRASI by a third-party independent researcher, Kathy Walsh, who explored the needs of refugees and asylum seekers in Ireland who have been tortured (Walsh 2014). By collating these different data sets together, I have been able to identify common themes in how refugees' perceive their own integration. These themes include creating a sense of community, legal status, education and employment, and suitable housing.

5.4.1 Sense of Community

An important aspect of integration is an individual's sense of belonging, identity, and emotional connection to their new community (Kymlicka 1998:49,53; Lovell 2003:4; Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:40). As an individual becomes more connected to their new community their social capital increases, creating stronger social networks and trustworthiness between the integrating individual and the new community (Putnam 2000:19). The importance of creating a sense of community and belonging was very prevalent in how refugees' experienced and understood their integration and is a theme that is often connected to the other integration identifiers.

In my own primary research and in Walsh's interviews with clients from SPIRASI, refugees referenced different experiences as examples that they were integrating into and creating a sense of community and belonging in Ireland. Some refugees referenced a connection to a church or faith-based group (Field notes, August 4, 2014; Walsh 2014:32). By attending a local church, refugees were integrating into their local community by bonding with fellow believers and bridging across ethnic diversity. As I experienced in Ireland, religion is often one of the first topics refugees will talk about with someone new as it is important to how they identify themselves and to how they connect with other people, including people of different faith backgrounds (Field notes, July 23, 2014; Field notes, August 4, 2014).

Being at school with classmates is another informal setting that was important to refugees' sense of community and integration (Walsh 2014:32). It facilitates social interactions between refugees and the local community and increases refugees' social networks. Many refugees reference informal events as evidence that they are integrating because the events are clearly demarcated times when refugees were in direct social contact with the local population (Walsh 2014:32).

Other refugees identified their ability to speak English and have local Irish friends as important to their sense of community and belonging (Walsh 2014:32). As will be discussed in the theme of education and employment, not being able to speak the local language can impede an individual's ability to integrate (UNHCR 2013:49). Friendships with local Irish are also important for refugees' integration as friendships are social networks refugees can rely on if they are in difficult situations (UNHCR 2013:43; Walsh

2014:33). As Putnam would argue, these social networks are evidence of refugees' increasing social bonds and social capital (Putnam 2000:27).

5.4.2 Legal Status

The legal status of refugees is very influential in their experience of integration. For the Irish government, the legal separation between asylum seekers and refugees is a way to categorize who has a legal right to live in Ireland and who does not. However, for the individuals, legal status is used to create a sense of security and identity. The legal status of "asylum seeker" creates a sense of unease and unsettlement for many individuals as they are often moved between centres with short notice and never know when their application for refugee status will be determined and if they might be sent back to their home country (Walsh 2014:29). This makes it difficult for asylum seekers to create a sense of place and belonging within Ireland. This experience of unease has long-term effects on refugees' integration in part because of Ireland's slow processing time of refugee applications. In their 'Note on the Integration of Refugees in the European Union', UNHCR stated that:

> By the time of recognition, refugees may have been in their host countries for prolonged periods, sometimes for many years, awaiting the outcome of their asylum claims. During this time they will have gathered experiences and impressions of the host community which may or may not enhance their prospects of successful integration. Being admitted to an asylum procedure does not mark the end of insecurity: factors such as homelessness, life in a reception or detention centre, isolation and separation from family, restrictions on the right to work, dependency on in-kind benefits, and the stigma often associated with being an asylum-seeker can have lasting and debilitating effects on asylum-seekers, compelling them to conduct their lives on the margins of society. Nor does recognition automatically mean a return to normality; it may be difficult for both the refugee and the host community to begin anew (UNHCR 2007:3).

Therefore, gaining refugee status is an important event for refugees in creating their sense of belonging in Ireland (UNHCR 2013:41; Walsh 2014:22).

118

However, as the quote from UNHCR indicates, receiving refugee status does not mean that refugees will automatically be integrated. Many refugees are still unsure what legal rights they are entitled to and remain insecure in their legal position, especially if they are applying for citizenship rights. In an interview conducted by UNHCR, one refugee described what it was like to be a refugee: "You feel like a baby in the womb, you could be terminated or you could be born…you're afraid of everything,…no one explains, between people you hear things…I didn't know my rights" (UNHCR 2013:53). There exists a strong discrimination of refugees in Ireland: they are often perceived by the Irish people as being non-skilled and a drain on the economy and welfare resources. In order to avoid this stigmatization, many refugees will not use government services that they are legally entitled to receive and will instead seek help elsewhere, such as NGOs (Field notes, July 28, 2014; Interview 1, July 16, 2014:8; UNHCR 2013:51, 61). However, studies show that given the proper support refugees can become valuable contributors to their new society (Cortes 2004:478). Refugees are often more committed than other migrants to succeeding, both socially and economically, in their new country and often enter the country as already highly skilled and trained individuals. This motivation may stem from the fact that many refugees do not have the same option as other migrants to return home (Cortes 2004:465; UNHCR 2007:5). The importance of refugees' training and skills is further discussed in the next section.

5.4.3 Education and Employment

Refugees often explain that being able to work and earn their own income is very important to them as it increases their sense of independence and integration (Walsh 2014:32). Employment increases refugees' integration as it provides them with a context

119

to socially and physically interact with their new community as contributing members of society. Employment provides an avenue for integration in day to day living and helps bridge the social distance between refugees and the local population (Interview 1, July 16, 2014:5). This increases refugees' social capital and strengthens the social bonds between refugees and the local community (Putnam 2000:18). This, in turn, increases refugees' personal sense of integration as they start to participate in everyday life and create a sense of belonging and obligation to the community (Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:42).

However, there are many struggles refugees face in gaining employment. As refugees often spend multiple years in Direct Provision, they do not have recent work experiences or references to provide to a potential employer (UNHCR 2013:48). Refugees are also at a unique disadvantage compared to other minority groups as they often have to leave their country of origin very quickly and are leaving dangerous political environments. Many refugees therefore do not have access to legal documents or paperwork that support their credentials, nor do they have the ability to send for them once in Ireland because of the volatile state of their home country (UNHCR 2007:7; UNHCR 2013:50; Walsh 2014:29). NGOs have found that a large portion of refugees are working below their training level and that doctors, teachers, and other highly trained individuals are working in minimum wage jobs (The Integration Centre 2014:13; UNHCR 2013:46).

Working at a lower skill level also has personal impacts on refugees. In my conversations with the staff at SPIRASI, many commented that when refugees are kept from working at their vocation, there are emotional and psychological effects (Field notes, July 23, 2014). When refugees are unable to work in the jobs they have been trained for, it takes away a layer of their identity and purpose. In an UNHCR interview, one refugee

described being kept from work in this way: "If you're a professional and you have to do something else, it's heavy on your soul... your profession is half of who you are" (UNCHR 2013:46). Workers at SPIRASI provided examples where once a client was able to do the work they were trained for, the client would become more animated and responsive to therapy and to other individuals (Field notes, July 23, 2014). SPIRASI's Integration Officer described this effect as empowering individuals to do their life's work so that they in turn can empower others (Field notes, July 23, 2014). This illustrates that successful integration is strongly affected by the ability of refugees to work in their own profession.

Two concerns connected to refugees' ability to find employment are educational and language barriers. Some refugees do not have the training or education that is needed to work in higher-skilled job positions in Ireland. Other refugees may need to become accredited in Ireland to work in their profession and/or need to increase their English proficiency, as there is a strong correlation between speaking English fluently and being able to find employment (UNHCR 2013:49). Studies show that refugees tend to invest more into their education than other foreign-born residents as they do not have the same option of leaving and therefore have a greater need to integrate and create a sense of belonging (Cortes 2004:466, 478).

Barriers to adequate training and language are connected to refugees' previous legal status as asylum seekers. Asylum seekers in Direct Provision are not allowed to work and only have access to certain lower levels of education (Interview 1, July 16, 2014:5). The only courses available to asylum seekers are language and computer courses. In Ireland, asylum seekers are not able to take any vocational or accreditation training (Smith 2008:67, 2009:96). This means that in their initial years in Ireland, asylum seekers are not able to

121

gain the training and education they will need to get a job once they have gained refugee status. Asylum seekers who do take courses while in Direct Provision also struggle, as they do not know when their application will be processed. Some, therefore, have been granted refugee status while in the midst of taking a course. The individual then has to choose if they will continue to take the course with no source of income, or if they will drop out of the course to find work with the potential that they will not have enough training or adequate language proficiency to find a job (UNHCR 2013:48).

Refugee children have different experiences from adult refugees, as children attend local grade schools even when living in Direct Provision as asylum seekers (UNHCR 2013:27). Refugee children tend to integrate faster than their parents as they are more adaptable and are interacting physically and socially with local children and adults at school, while many refugee parents may find leaving the house alone or interacting with locals too daunting during the initial time after Direct Provision (Interview 1, July 16, 2014:9). These social interactions allow for a faster integration for refugee children.

These different experiences also come with a unique set of difficulties. Many refugee children struggle with schooling because of a language barrier that exists. Language support is not consistent across Ireland and varies on a school by school basis (Children's Rights Alliance 2014:6; NYCI 2014:12; The Integration Centre 2014:17). Therefore, refugee children have a more difficult time both academically and socially in schools with limited language supports. Surveys indicate that children who do not speak English, including both refugee and other migrant children, are more likely to be bullied and twice as likely to be excluded from games or have something stolen from them (CIB 2014:3; The Integration Centre 2014:17-18).

During my interview with an Irish-Canadian university student, he spoke of his own

experiences growing up in the school system in Dublin and how cultural and linguistic

difference was dealt with in the classroom. Reflecting on his and his sister's experience of

having a large number of Bosnian and Serbian Muslim refugees enter their classes during

the Bosnian-Yugoslavia wars, he commented that:

> Nobody explained… that this person is of a different faith or this is what their belief system is, or that this is actually a very popular belief system, it's just [a] different [one] than yours. It's just 'no, no, she's not one of us.' This isn't too long ago, this is like 1998 (Interview 4 August 5, 2014:6).

This student also spoke of how faith and belief systems limited integration in the public

schools. In Ireland the public schools that have free admittance are Catholic schools. If a

family wishes their children to attend a non-denominational school they must pay to enrol

their children in one of the few primary schools run by the Educated Together Program

(Interview 4 August 5 2014:6; Field notes, August 4, 2014). Research shows that using

religion as a factor in admittance has negative effects on minority faith communities and

works against integration by creating a segregated school environment (The Integration

Centre 2014:17). Therefore, although education and school can help increase integration by

having children interact with one another, there are still a number of barriers that refugee

children experience.

5.4.4 Housing

Housing is yet another important indicator of integration for refugees. In order to

start integrating on other, more social, levels, refugees' basic needs such as housing must

first be met. Having a house also provides a physical location with which refugees can

identify in their new community and is oftentimes their first place of social interaction with

locals as they get to know their neighbours. Learning to trust and befriend neighbours is

123

very important for refugees to create a common identity and sense of belonging in their new home. Events such as barbeques, block parties, or children befriending each other are informal ways that refugees can start to integrate into the community (Field notes, July 16, 2014; Interview 1, July 16, 2014:7-8).

Unfortunately, finding housing can be just as challenging for many refugees as getting a job. While in Direct Provision, asylum seekers are provided with housing and are not allowed to work. Therefore, suddenly becoming responsible for both of these things after being granted refugee status can be very daunting. Similar to refugees' circumstances of finding employment, refugees do not have any housing references to give to their potential landlords. When refugees do find housing many struggle with the monthly bills, as both their housing and other amenities were provided for in Direct Provision (Smith 2009:101; Field notes, July 22, 2014). The staff at SPIRASI spoke of cases where refugees were unaware of utilities costs and had their water and electricity turned off because of lack of payment (Field notes, July 22, 2014).

The DCC local government does provide social housing through the Council's Housing Allocation Scheme (DCC, Apply for a Council Home, 2015). However, there is a severe lack of social housing available through the DCC and refugees must often rely on the private market (Crosscare 2014:10; MRCI 2014:9; UNHCR 2013:42). If a refugee or refugee family has to find housing through the private market they can apply for subsidized housing through the State Government Department of Social Protection or through the DCC local government Rental Accommodation Scheme, both of which will cover the cost of the rent. However, this can be problematic as rent determination is in the hands of the landlord and renting costs in the private market often exceed the amount available to

individuals who apply for subsidized housing (Tralee International Resource Centre 2014:6; UNHCR 2013:42). In these cases rent may be subsidized for a short period of time; however, many feel that the rental caps provided by both the state and the DCC are not high enough for the current market (Crosscare 2014:10; MRCI 2014:9; Tralee International Resource Centre 2014:6). Although problems in acquiring social housing are prevalent for all Irish, refugees face further discrimination in finding alternative housing options, as is discussed below.

The result is that some refugees end up homeless or living in substandard housing. Many refugees face racial discrimination from landlords who often work under the assumption that refugees will not mind living in any condition because of the very fact that they are refugees. Refugees living in these substandard living accommodations often will not complain to the appropriate authorities, such as the Garda or the government departments, because they are afraid of jeopardizing their citizenship application and/or are afraid to approach the authorities (UNHCR 2013:42-43). This echoes the earlier discussion of refugee legal status and not understanding their rights and entitlements.

In response to these situations some refugees rely on informal social networks that they previously created, both within the newcomer community and in their social ties to the local community. Refugees may seek out housing help from fellow refugees, local churches or community groups, local Irish friends, or NGOs (UNHCR 2013:43; Walsh 2014:33). These informal networks provide support in such a way that refugees are able to not only deal with their housing situations, but also strengthen their social and community bonds. As stated by Putnam in social capital theory, social networks have value and serve to reinforce the norms of reciprocity and trustworthiness between people (Putnam 2000:19,

36). Therefore, when refugees are able to acquire suitable housing in a welcoming neighbourhood many refugees considered it a very important indicator that they are now able to integrate with their new community.

As shown by these discussions, refugees are active agents in their own integration in Ireland. Although they face many unique challenges, refugees can be valuable and active members in their society with much to offer their new community. However, what many require is the initial proper supports to enable them to fulfill their potential. When these services are not provided or are harder to access it has negative consequences for refugees' ability to integrate and to become socially, economically, and legally contributing members in their society.

5.5 Conclusion

This chapter focused on the lived experience of refugee integration and represents the bottom-up approach of my analysis. In this chapter I argued that refugee integration does take place in Ireland and that it occurs in the services provided by NGOs and through refugees' own agency. Integration and multicultural theorists, such as Kymlicka (1998:26) and Modood (2013:146), state that the physical manifestations of integration focus on the inclusion of individuals at the state and public level, and create the physical and ideological spaces that allow for the integration of 'different' individuals. I argue that in Ireland NGOs fulfill much of the service required to make possible the physical manifestations of integration for refugees. NGOs assist with employment, education, housing; provide help to access the citizenship process; and fill many of the gaps that exist in government services.

As stated in chapter 2, integration also occurs at the personal level and includes an individual's sense of belonging, identity, and connection to the community (Kymlicka 1998:49; Lovell 2003:4; Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:40). Refugees' understanding of their integration is more subjective and is based on their lived experience in Ireland, both as an asylum seeker and as a refugee. As was presented in this chapter, refugees use examples from their own experiences to show when and how they feel they are integrated and what they feel is necessary for successful integration.

Integration as a two way process recognizes that when refugees enter and integrate into Ireland both parties are changed. As mentioned in chapter 1, this started to occur in Ireland when refugees first began arriving in large numbers at the start of the Celtic Tiger in the mid-1990s. As was discussed in migration theory in chapter 2, the migration of large groups of people facilitates rapid social transformation (Castles 2010:1576). In Ireland, this took form with the rapid establishment of NGOs and the dramatic increase in ethnic diversity from immigration. These events have since caused social and cultural changes in Ireland.

I have applied social capital theory (see chapter 2) to examine and understand the effects of ethnic diversity on Irish communities. Putnam's theory of bonding and bridging social capital is pertinent to refugee integration in Ireland. Some of the local Irish are experiencing cultural turmoil in response to the increased ethnic diversity in Ireland. The response for some locals is to bond through their 'Irishness' and to increase their out-group antagonism, i.e., discrimination and racism towards refugees. However, Putnam argues that bonding social capital does not necessarily have to result in out-group discrimination and racism and can be compatible with bridging social capital (Putnam 2000:23;

2007:144). As this chapter shows, there are many instances where both bonding and bridging social capital exist simultaneously in refugee integration. These include refugees bonding with the local community through religion or school and bridging across ethnic diversity. Creating these social ties increases refugees' integration as it encourages a sense of belonging and obligation to their new community (Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:42).

Refugees rely on social capital for integration in other ways. This includes utilizing the social networks they have created to navigate difficult situations, as evidenced in refugees coming to SPIRASI for help when they experience problems navigating government agencies. The workers at SPIRASI support refugees by advocating for them to the government agencies and help refugees obtain the services that they need. By using their social networks at SPIRASI, refugees are able to achieve results that they may be otherwise unable to on their own.

As this chapter has shown, refugee integration does occur in Dublin, and by extension in Ireland. It occurs through the roles of NGOs and their creation of physical and ideological spaces of integration. Refugees also are active in their own integration and use their agency to help facilitate integration in their new community.

Chapter 6: Conclusion

In this thesis I sought to answer three focused research questions in order to conduct an analysis of refugee integration in Ireland. These three research questions are 1) What are state policies and structures that might influence refugee integration? 2) What are the roles of non-government organization (NGO) advocacy groups in refugee integration? 3) How do refugees themselves understand their experience of integration in their new home country? In answering these questions, I argued that refugee integration in Ireland is a complicated negotiation between both positive experiences of integration into parts of a multicultural/ intercultural society, and negative experiences of racism and discrimination levied against marginalized and 'different' individuals. This negotiation of refugee integration is influenced by the government's neoliberal approach to policy and service implementation and the reliance on NGO advocacy groups.

My first chapter presented the social and historical context of my study. This included a discussion of why I chose to conduct my research in Ireland and more specifically in Dublin. As discussed, Ireland presents a unique context due to its specific economic circumstances and relatively new immigrant history (Battel 2003:95; Fagan 2002:141; McVeigh & Lentin 2002:19; Smith 2009: 91). As the capital city with an influential local authority, Dublin presented a strong case study for my thesis. The Irish state government offices are located in Dublin, as are the DCC local authority offices. Dublin is also the most common point of entry into the country for asylum seekers and refugees, and therefore has a high population of both refugees and NGOs who provide services for refugees. This was beneficial for my analysis as the different sectors of society

I was interested in for my research are all represented within the geographical context of Dublin.

In my second chapter, I introduced the interdisciplinary approach I used as my theoretical framework. My thesis is based in the disciplines of Anthropology and Political Science and uses a multi-theoretical approach for studying refugees' integration into Ireland. These theories include migration theory, integration theory, multicultural theory, and social capital theory.

Using an interdisciplinary approach grounded in Anthropology and Political Science was beneficial for my study of refugee integration. I conducted research that exists in the complementary themes of these two disciplines. My research benefitted from this as I drew on theories and methods from both disciplines which enabled me to conduct a more holistic study of refugee integration in Ireland.

Migration theory provided the theoretical base for understanding the movement of people on a large scale. Castle's discussion of migration theory connects the migration of people to social transformation. This social transformation initiated by immigration occurs at a faster rate than the continual process of social evolution that is always at work within a community (Castles 2010:1576). Therefore, migration theory provided a theoretical framework for understanding how refugees' presence in Ireland has initiated social change. Migration theory also recognizes the impact of migration on individuals (Ager 1999:2) and was useful in understanding how refugees' integration is affected by the previous stages in their immigration, including their reception into Ireland as asylum seekers.

Integration theory was important for providing the theoretical understanding of integration that I used in my thesis. In my application of integration theory I drew on

multicultural theory as a mode of integration. Integration theory guided my research in its focus of social bonds that tie a community together and how individuals from outside of the community enter into these bonds (Blau 1960:546). Integration theory also provided me with the framework to study the influence of space, both physical and ideological, on refugee integration.

From integration theory and multicultural theory I conceptualized integration as occurring on two levels: the state government level and the personal or individual level (Modood 2013:146). This formed the basis of my analysis as it provided a framework through which I could explore how refugee integration was influenced by various sectors of society. Multicultural theory includes ethical or moral discussions of integration for both the local community and the integrating individual (Kymlicka 1998:45; Murphy 2012:119). I used multiculturalism as a social and political theory of integration to focus my research on how diversity within a culture can be politically and socially incorporated through mutual accommodation (Kymlicka 1998:41; Modood 2011:190; Murphy 2012:6).

Social capital theory connected well with multicultural theory as it refers to the social networks or ties that exist between individuals. Social capital theory was useful for understanding how ethnic diversity affects a community. I used Putnam's discussion of bonding and bridging social capital to explore the different responses of the local community towards 'different' individuals, as well as how outside individuals were able to build and integrate into social networks with the local community (Putnam 2000:23, 2007:144). Social capital theory and integration/multicultural theory therefore worked well together in recognizing that integration involves both the bonded community and the individuals who are integrating into it.

My third chapter presented my research methods, which are grounded in feminist methodology and community based research. These methods included policy analysis and ethnographic research. Policy analysis allowed me to examine integration policies in Ireland, including their history and development. This focused on answering my first research question of: What are state policies and structures that might influence refugee integration? In my policy analysis I focused on the current state integration policy *Migration Nation* (2008) and the DCC local authority policy *Towards Integration: A City Framework* (2008). I also conducted a systematic analysis of the submissions sent to the OPMI as part of the current state integration policy review to identify any key themes present in the reports. The reports were submitted by a wide range of interest groups and represent what each group believes to be the strengths and weaknesses of Ireland's current state integration policy. These submissions therefore added an interesting component to my policy analysis.

Ethnographic research provided me with the tools to explore the lived experience of integration. It focused on gathering data to answer my last two research questions: What are the roles of non-government organization (NGO) advocacy groups in refugee integration? How do refugees themselves understand their experience of integration in their new home country? The ethnographic research tools I used included participant observation and semi-structured interviews. By conducting ethnographic research at SPIRASI I was able to accomplish a more in-depth analysis of the lived experience of integration and use SPIRASI as a case study to frame my discussion of the role of NGOs in refugee integration. My connection with SPIRASI also allowed me to connect informally

with refugees and provided me with valuable information on how refugees understand and experience integration.

In chapter 4, I conducted the top-down analysis of my research exploring refugee integration in Ireland. In the first part of the chapter I provided the context of Irish integration policies and structures. This included summarizing the Irish government structure and how it governs the creation, implementation, and review of integration policies. This included both the state government and local authorities. After presenting the structure of government, I then detailed the history of integration policies in Ireland and how they evolved over time, including the influence EU integration policies have had in Ireland. I also discussed the associated integration structures, specifically the current integration governing body the OPMI. I also discussed the DCC Office for Integration and its integration policy *Towards Integration* (2008).

In the second part of chapter 4 I presented my policy analysis. I used the submissions sent to the OPMI as part of the Irish state government's current integration policy review to frame my analysis and identified four common key points. These key points include: a lack of implementation and monetary investment of integration policies and structures; the need for the Irish government's responsibilities to be transparent to the public; the need for a stronger relationship between the government and NGOs, including better sharing of information; and criticism of the Direct Provision Accommodation system.

In my policy analysis I argued that there is reason to believe that Irish government integration policies and structures may be negatively impacting refugee integration through unintentional burdens. *Migration Nation* (2008) was published with a weak action plan for

policy implementation and the new governing bodies it did suggest were not established

due to Ireland's economic collapse and the reduction in government spending for social

welfare programs (Smith et al. 2014:4). This resulted in the continual lack of adequate

services for refugees, despite the fact that ethnic diversity in Ireland continues to increase

from immigration. These unintentional burdens, though not specifically racist, would have

negative consequences for refugees and their integration in Ireland. One area that could be

argued to directly hinder refugee integration is Direct Provision and the negative

experiences refugees have had in Ireland as asylum seekers.

Chapter 5 was the second analysis chapter of my thesis and represented the bottom-

up approach in my study. In this chapter, I discussed the lived experience of refugee

integration and how integration takes place in the services provided by NGOs and through

refugees' own agency. I first presented the roles of NGOs in refugee integration and some

of the challenges that NGOs face in their service provision. NGOs provide a number of

essential services for refugees. This is due to the large numbers of NGOs that developed in

the mid 1990s in response to the rapid increase in immigration, including that of asylum

seekers and refugees (McVeigh & Lentin 2002:19; Smith 2009: 91). The Irish government

was ill prepared to deal with such large numbers of migrants as Ireland had previously been

mainly a country of emigration. Instead of creating its own services, the Irish state

government provided funding to NGOs for the programs they had already developed for

the migrants (Cullen 2009:102). The state continues to rely on NGOs to provide essential

services; however, as mentioned in chapter 5, this is problematic for NGOs' continual

service provision for refugees as the government only supplies short-term funding (3 to 4

years) (Field notes July 24, 2014).

NGOs that function as advocates for asylum seekers and refugees provide a wide range of services, including: legal aid; housing; employment; education; health services; and centres for cultural events. These NGOs view asylum seekers and refugees as the same community at different legal stages and advocate for services that span both legal categories. This is important, as it recognizes refugees' previous experiences in Ireland as asylum seekers in Direct Provision and the negative impact that this has on refugees' ability to integrate. Many NGOs are very critical of the Direct Provision Accommodation System and are public advocates for ending the program.

NGOs are active participants in the Irish state government's current integration policy review. Many of the reports sent in response to the OPMI's Call for Submissions came from NGOs. These are the submissions I used to frame my policy analysis in chapter 4, as the reports summarize NGOs' views on Ireland's integration policy and what they argue needs to be addressed in the new policy.

I used a case study in chapter 5 to illustrate the roles of NGOs in refugee integration. The case study is based on my ethnographic participant observation and the interviews I conducted at SPIRASI. In the case study I discussed SPIRASI's services of education and advocacy and how this organization provides the physical and ideological space for refugees' integration. I used examples from my primary research to illustrate how each service helps to facilitate refugees' integration. These examples included my experience of attending two English Language classes as well as the time I spent job shadowing at SPIRASI. In these sections I argued that NGOs play a mediating role in refugee integration and that NGOs fulfill much of the service required to make possible the physical manifestations of integration for refugees.

Following this discussion, I examined how refugees themselves understand their experience of integration in Ireland and how refugees are active agents in their own integration process. I explored how integration occurs at the personal level and how this understanding is more subjective. I found that refugees use examples from their experiences in Ireland, both as asylum seekers and refugees, as markers of when they feel integrated. These markers include: sense of community; legal status; education and employment; and suitable housing. The theory of social capital and Putnam's discussion of bonding versus bridging social capital is important to understanding refugees' lived experience of integration (Putnam 2000:23; 2007:144). In Ireland, refugees bond with the local community through social networks such as religion or school and bridge across ethnic diversity. As I observed at SPIRASI, NGOs often help facilitate these experiences by providing positive opportunities for refugees to interact with the local community, such as the knitting group with local women or presentations by the Garda, and to strengthen social ties, both amongst refugees and with the greater community. These social ties increase refugees' integration as it creates a sense of belonging and obligation to refugees' new community (Modood 2013:147; Parekh 2009:42).

In this thesis, I argued that refugee integration does occur in Dublin. Refugee integration takes place in the physical and ideological spaces of integration created by NGOs that help to facilitate refugees' social networks. Integration also occurs through refugees own active participation in their own integration process. To its credit, the Irish government has recently taken steps to further develop its integration strategies by conducting the current integration policy review and has been involved in the funding of local NGOs and public events that support refugee integration.

6.1 Research Dissemination

The dissemination process of my research will be guided by feminist methodology and community based research. Thus, the findings will be disseminated in an accessible means to ensure that the research community maintains a connection to the research and that the research is useful to them (Lugones & Spelman 2013:21-22; Stoecker 2003:36). Throughout the research process I have maintained contact with SPIRASI and followed the development of their services for refugees. As my case study, SPIRASI is a stakeholder in my thesis and has provided me with many opportunities that facilitated the development of my research. Therefore, an important aspect of my thesis dissemination is ensuring that the research information is available and useful to SPIRASI. This includes providing SPIRASI with a completed copy of my thesis. This information is useful and applicable for SPIRASI as it informs them about the relationships and interactions between the government and NGOs, as well as between NGOs and refugees. This is valuable as it can inform further service program development, as well has help identify areas where the understanding of integration may vary. The research dissemination further includes helping SPIRASI as needed in making the research information more accessible to their clients and their organizational networks, such as other NGOs or government services. This could involve writing a short summary description of the findings to be posted on their Publications webpage (SPIRASI, Publications from SPIRASI and Other Relevant Publications, 2015).

As part of an accessible dissemination process, I hope to write media publications, such as articles for the Metro Eireann newspaper, which present the research information to the general public. This raises awareness of the research and the questions of social

interactions that it explores. Other dissemination processes I plan to include are public presentations at conferences and/or other public events. For example, I could present at the Canadian Anthropology Society (CASCA) conferences or more locally at public awareness events. This is important, as it informs the communities of what research was conducted and encourages more informed discussions around the research topics of integration and multiculturalism of marginalized groups, both specifically within Ireland and in the greater global context.

I already began the dissemination process by presenting my thesis proposal at the Graduate Conference at the University of Northern British Columbia (UNBC) in 2013. During this conference I presented to other graduate students and university professors in a session group focusing on the themes of place and justice. This allowed for cross-discussions on areas of similar themes. I also presented aspects of my field research and analysis to two first year classes at UNBC in the Fall semesters of 2014 and 2015. These talks allowed the undergraduate students to engage with the topic and facilitated discussions on refugee integration in Ireland and how this can be related to the local context of Prince George, BC.

My research topic applies to a wide range of scholarly interests. I am adding to the research discussions focusing on marginalized groups, integration studies, the influence of policy, and topics of multiculturalism and interculturalism. My goals for this research were to create a better understanding of the process and experiences of refugee integration in the hope of contributing to a dialogue amongst communities to facilitate healthier community living and interaction.

6.2 Relevancy to the Global Context and the Transferability of the Research

This thesis has been completed at a time when refugees have been seen as part of a 'global crisis.' How countries and local communities can successfully receive and integrate refugees is currently a global conversation. Included in this conversation are debates about the global community's ethical responsibility, as well as the human rights of displaced and marginalized people. It is necessary to question and critically engage with these difficult discussions of the roles and responsibilities of the global society, especially when racism, injustice, and the focus on what separates 'Us' from 'Them' has risen in popularity in the public and social media. My hope is that this thesis and the arguments it presents will encourage more positive discussions and critical thinking, both within the academic sphere and the general public.

As stated earlier, I chose to conduct my research in Ireland as it presents a strong case study for examining refugee integration. Other multicultural and/or multi-ethnic countries, such as Britain, Canada, or the United States, have longer histories than Ireland of navigating large-scale immigration and ethnic diversity. By using the geographical context of Ireland, I was able to examine and explore refugee integration in a country that is in the relatively early stages of creating and revising its government integration policies and structures, which began to develop in response to the dramatic increase in immigration that started in the mid-1990s. The local population of Ireland is also in the relatively early stages of re-creating and revising its national and cultural identity as more migrants and refugees gain citizenship. Ireland therefore presented a strong case study for my research.

Many of the themes and discussions presented in this thesis can be applied to other locations as well. As the world becomes a socially smaller place through the increased

physical movement of people and the increasing connection amongst people through online social media, the discussion of social and community integration becomes extremely relevant (Lentin & McVeigh 2006:21). The understanding of two way integration between the local community and the newcomers is transferrable to other locations experiencing the entrance of diverse 'others.'

One theme that is transferrable is the willingness of the local community to accept refugees and to participate in the integration process. Although communities' social and historical contexts may vary, the need for the locally bounded community to make physical and ideological space for refugees to integrate remains the same (Kymlicka 1998:45-46; Murphy 2012:119; Parekh 2009:47). Therefore, I contend that many of the findings of my thesis may be used in other global settings. These findings include the importance of providing services that meet refugees' basic physical needs, as described in multicultural theory, in order to support refugees' emotional and social integration (Kymlicka 1998:19; Modood 2013:146; Parekh 2009:44-45). It also includes the discussion about how communities that facilitate and support refugee integration end up benefiting and becoming stronger socially and economically (Fanning 2011:49; Putnam 2007:137). Communities must be aware of ethnic discrimination and/or racism and develop locally appropriate tools to address it. This may include developing educational programs or social places that encourage interactions between the local community and newcomers in order to break down socially created barriers of difference. In Dublin, places of interactions included: libraries, NGO centres; religious centres; and schools, all of which encouraged social interactions between newcomers and the local population. Having places of interactions

provides people the opportunity to build bridging social networks that create a greater sense of community.

Part of a community's facilitation of refugee integration depends on community integration being appropriately supported by government integration policies and structures (Murphy 2012:6). The policy analysis I conducted illustrates how government policies can influence refugee integration and the negative effects this can have on NGO and refugee participation in integration. The recognition of the social webs that exist between different sectors of the community is important globally. Drawing on the model I used in this thesis, studies of other locations can be conducted to address refugee integration in other places.

The personal and lived experience of integration discussed in this thesis is also applicable to many different geographic contexts, including locally here in Canada. The indicators are subjective and are based on individuals' personal experiences of being refugees (Parekh 2009:40). Therefore, wherever refugees end up living, these personal indicators of integration will be commonly found. Many of the discussions and findings of this thesis on the personal experience of integration are therefore relevant to other global locations.

I contend that the issue of integration addressed in this thesis needs to become more pertinent in conversations concerning refugees. Recognizing the complexity of the social issue, the number of refugees has now reached a level that is considered a 'crisis' on the global scale. As many countries begin to accept high levels of refugees at accelerated rates in response to humanitarian need, the integration of refugees must be addressed. Countries will need to look at what occurs after refugees are accepted and begin entering the country.

This thesis provides useful information that can aid in this process, both at the political

level and at the social and community level of society.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ager, Alastair
    1999   "Perspectives on the Refugee Experience" in *Refugees: Perspectives on the Experience of Forced Migration*, Alastair Ager (ed.) Continuum, London: 1-23.

AkiDwa
    2014   *Akina Dada wa Africa (AkiDwA) Submission on Migrant Integration In Ireland to the Department of Justice and Equality.* Submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Akinyemi, Winifred, Teresa Jadwiga Buczkowska, Godfrey Chimbganda, Dr Alice Feldman, Zeph Immanuel Ikeh, Dr Fidèle Mutwarasibo, and Rob Whelan
    2014   *Submission by Individuals Interested in and Committed to Migrants' Integration in Ireland.* Submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Allen, Amy
    1999   *The Power of Feminist Theory: Domination, Resistance, Solidarity.* ` Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado.

An Garda Síochána
    2015   About Us. Electronic Document. http://garda.ie/Controller.aspx?Page=5416&Lang=1

Archive eu2013
    2013   Irish Political System. Electronic Document. http://eu2013.ie/ireland-and-the-presidency/about-ireland/irishpoliticsandgovernment/irishpoliticalsystem/

Aronoff, Myron J. and Jan Kubik
    2013   *Anthropology and Political Science: A Convergent Approach.* Berghahan Books, New York.

Barakso, Maryann
    2005   Civic Engagement and Voluntary Associations: Reconsidering the Role of the Governance Structures of Advocacy Groups. *Polity,* 37(3): 315-334.

Battel, Róisín Ní Mháille
    2003   Ireland's "Celtic Tiger" Economy. *Science, Technology, & Human Values* 28(1):93-111.

Benhabib, Seyla
> 2005   Borders, Boundaries, and Citizenship. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 38(4): 673-677.

Black Irish Association
> 2014   *Submission on the Integration Policy Development*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Blau, Peter
> 1960   A Theory of Social Integration. *American Journal of Sociology*, 65(6): 545-556.

Bouchard, Gerard and Charles Taylor
> 2008   *Building for the Future: A Time for Reconciliation*. Report submitted to the Québec Government.

Boucher, Gerry
> 2008   Ireland's Lack of a Coherent Integration Policy. *Translocations* 3(1): 5-28.

Bryan, Audrey
> 2008   The Co-Articulation of National Identity and Interculturalism in the Irish Curriculum: Educating for Democratic Citizenship? *London Review of Education* 6(1): 47-58.

Bushin, Naomi and Allen White
> 2010   Migration Politics in Ireland: Exploring the Impacts on Young People's Geographies. *Royal Geographical Society: Area*, 42(2): 170-180.

Cairde
> 2014   *Cairde, 19 Belvedere Place, Dublin 1*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/New IntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Carlow Integration Forum
> 2014   *Submission to Minister for Justice and Equality: Submission on the Development of a National Integration Policy*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/ page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Castles, Stephen
> 2002   Migration and Community Formation under Conditions of Globalization. *International Migration Review* 36(4):1143-1168.

Castles, Stephen
    2010   Understanding Global Migration: A Social Transformation Perspective. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 35(10): 1565-1586.

Chateau, Céline
    2015   *Immigration Policy*. European Parliament. Electronic Document. http://www.europarl.europa.eu/atyourservice/en/displayFtu.html?ftuId=FTU _5.12.3.html

Chiasson, Miriam
    2012   *A Clarification of Terms: Canadian Multiculturalism and Quebec Interculturalism*. Report submitted to the Centaur Jurisprudence Project, Centre for Human Rights and Legal Pluralism, McGill University. http://canadianicon.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/TMODPart1-Clarification.pdf

Children's Rights Alliance
    2014   *Submission to the Minister for Justice and Equality on a New Integration Strategy*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Chin, Daryl
    1992   Multiculturalism and Its Mask: The Art of Identity Politics. *Performing Arts Journal* 14(1): 1-15.

CIB (Citizens Information Bureau)
    2014   *Migration Integration Strategy: A Submission by the Citizens Information Board to the Department of Justice and Equality (May 2014)*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/ page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Citizens Information
    2013   Political System at National Level. Electronic Document. http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/moving_country/moving_to_ireland/introducti on_to _the_irish_system/political_system.html

Citizens Information
    2014   Local Government. Electronic Document. http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/government_in_ireland/local_and_regional_g overn ment/local_government_in_ireland.html

Citizens Information
    2014   Local Authorities. August 2014. Electronic Document.
    http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/government_in_ireland/local_and_regional_g
    ovemment/local_authorities.html

Citizens Information
    2014   Membership of Local Authorities. August 2014. Electronic Document.
    http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/government_in_ireland/local_and_regional_g
    ovemment/councillors.html

Citizens Information
    2014   Political System at the Local Level. Electronic Document.
      http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/moving_country/moving_to_ireland
      /introduction_to_the_irish_system/local_government.html

Citizens Information
    2015   Departments of State. Electronic Document.
      http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/government_in_ireland/government_
      departments/departments_of_state.html

Citizens Information
    2015   Functions of Local Authorities. Electronic Document.
      http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/government_in_ireland/local_and
      _regional_government/functions_of_local_authorities.html

Conlan, Sue
    2014   *Counting the Cost: Barriers to Employment After Direct Provision.* Irish
      Refugee Council, Dublin, Ireland.

Cortes, Kalena E.
    2004   Are Refugees Different From Economic Immigrants? Some Empirical
      Evidence on the Heterogeneity of Immigrant Groups in the United States. *The
      Review of Economics and Statistics* 86(2): 465-480.

Council of Europe
    2014   Intercultural City: Dublin, Ireland. Electronic Document.
      http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/culture/Cities/dublin_en.asp

Crosscare
    2014   *New Irish Integration Strategy: Submission to the Cross-Departmental
      Committee on Integration.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic
      Document.
      http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration
      Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Cullen, Pauline P.

    2009   Irish Pro-Migrant Nongovernmental Organizations and the Politics of Immigration. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 20(2): 99-128.

Cullen, Pauline P.

    2010   The Platform of European Social NGOs: Ideology, Division and Coalition. *Journal of Political Ideologies*, 15(3): 317-331.

Cultúr Migrants Centre

    2014   *Cultúr: Celebrating Diversity.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

DCC

    2014   One City One People. Dublin City Council. Electronic Document. http://www.dublincity.ie/main-menu-services-community-intercultural-dublin/one-city-one-people

DCC

    2015   Apply for a Council Home. Dublin City Council. Electronic Document. http://www.dublincity.ie/main-menu-services-housing-apply-home/apply-council-home

Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government

    2012   *Putting People First: Action Programme for Effective Local Government.* Government of Ireland, Dublin, Ireland.

Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government

    2013   *Local Electoral Area Boundary Committee Report 2013.* Government of Ireland, Dublin. Electronic Document. http://www.boundarycommittee.ie/

Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government

    2014   Local Government Reform. Electronic Document. http://www.environ.ie/en/LocalGovernment/LocalGovernmentReform/

Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform

    1999   *Integration: A Two Way Process.* Government of Ireland, Dublin.

Department of Justice and Equality

    2015   Our Responsibilities. Electronics Document. http://www.justice.ie/en/JELR/Pages/Our_Responsibilities

Department of the Taoiseach

    2013   Role of Government. Electronic Document. http://www.taoiseach.gov.ie/eng/Taoiseach_and_Government/The_Government/

DICE
2014 *Review of the Integration Strategy: A Submission by the DICE Network.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Doras Luimni
2014 *Submission to the Office for the Promotion of Migrant Integration on 'Integration Policy – Have your Say': A Limerick Perspective.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/ page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

ECRI
2013 Report on Ireland. *European Commission Against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI).* (Fourth Monitoring Cycle).

Ellen, R.F. (editor)
1984 *Ethnographic Research: A Guide to General Conduct.* Academic Press Limited, London.

Ervin, Alexander
2005 *Applied Anthropology: Tools and Perspectives for Contemporary Practice.* Pearson Education Inc., Boston.

ESRI
2014 *Submission on Integration Policy: Monitoring Migrant Integration in Ireland.* Report submitted to the OPMI by the Economic and Social Research Institute and the UCD Geary Institute. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/New IntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

European Commission
2014 Country Sheet, Ireland. Electronic Document. https://ec.europa.eu/migrant-integration/country/ireland

Fagan, Honor
2002 Globalization and Culture: Place Ireland. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 581:133-143.

FAI (Football Association of Ireland)
2014 *FAI Intercultural Football Programme Submission on Integration Policy to the Department of Justice and Equality.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/ page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Fanning, Bryan
    2002   *Racism and Social Change in the Republic of Ireland.* Manchester
        University Press, Manchester, UK.

Fanning, Bryan
    2011   *Immigration and Social Cohesion in the Republic of Ireland*, Manchester
        University Press, Manchester.

Ferreira, M. Luisa and Patrick Vanhoudt
    2004   Catching the Celtic Tiger by Its Tail. *European Journal of Education*
        39(2):209-235.

Forum Polonia
    2014   *Submission on Ireland's National Integration Strategy to the Department of
        Justice and Equality.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document.
        http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration
        Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Gagnon, Alain and Raffaele Iacovino
    2005   Interculturalism: Expanding the Boundaries of Citizenship. In *Democracy,
        Nationalism and Multiculturalism*, Ramón Máiz and Ferran Requejo (eds.) Frank
        Cass & CO Ltd., New York.

Galway Co Community
    2014   *Submission in Relation to the Proposed Development of a National
        Integration Policy.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document.
        http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration
        Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Ging, Debbie and Jackie Malcolm
    2004   Interculturalism and Multiculturalism in Ireland: Textual Strategies at Work
        in the Media Landscape. In *Resituating Culture*, Gavin Titley (ed.), Council of
        Europe: 125-136.

Glick Schiller, Nina and Ayse Caglar
    2009   Towards a Comparative Theory of Locality in Migration Studies: Migrant
        Incorporation and City Scale. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 35(2):
        177-202.

Government of Ireland
    2012   *Census 2011: Profile 6 Migration and Diversity.* Stationery Office, Dublin,
        Ireland.

Gray, Breda
    2006a Redefining the Nation through Economic Growth and Migration: Changing
        Rationalities of Governance in the Republic of Ireland? *Mobilities* 1(3): 353-372.

Gray, Breda
    2006b Migrant Integration Policy: A Nationalist Fantasy of Management and Control? *Translocations* 1(1):118-138.

Grills, Scott (editor)
    1998 *Doing Ethnographic Research: Fieldwork Settings*. SAGE Publications, INC., Thousand Oaks, California.

Guerin, Pat
    2002 Racism and the Media in Ireland: Setting the Anti-Immigrant Agenda. In *Racism and Antiracism in Ireland*, Ronit Lentin and Robbie McVeigh (eds.) Beyond the Pale Publications, Belfast.

Gunew, Sneja
    1997 Postcolonialism and Multiculturalism: Between Race and Ethnicity. *The Yearbook of English Studies* 27: 22-39.

Harrington, John A.
    2005 Citizenship and the Biopolitics of Post-Nationalist Ireland. *Journal of Law and Society*, 32(3): 424-449.

HETI (Holocaust Education Trust Ireland)
    2014 *Submission to the Department of Justice and Equality – Integration Policy – Have Your Say*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Honohan, P., & Walsh, B.
    2002 Catching up with the leaders: The Irish hare. *Brooking Economics Papers* 1: 1–57.

ICI (Immigrant Council of Ireland)
    2014 *Submission to the Department of Justice and Equality on Integration Policy*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

ICTU (Irish Congress of Trade Unions)
    2014 *Integration submission*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

IDonate
    2015   SPIRASI. Electronic Document.
        https://www.idonate.ie/1316_spiritan-asylum-services-initiative-limited--spirasi-
        .html

Inglis, Tom
    2008   Ch. 3 The Global Irish. In *Global Ireland*, pp. 85-114. Routledge, New
        York.

INIS
    2014   Asylum. Irish Naturalisation and Immigration Service.
        http://www.inis.gov.ie/en/INIS/Pages/WP07000049

INIS
    2014   Home Page. Irish Naturalisation and Immigration Service.
        http://www.inis.gov.ie/

IRCT (International Rehabilitation Council for Torture Victims)
    2015   SPIRASI. Electronic Document. http://www.irct.org/about-us/the-
        members/findirctmembers/meetourmemberorganisations1.aspx?PID=169
        87&Action=1&NewsId=2271

Irish India Council
    2014   *Integration Policy.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document.
        http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration
        Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Jackson, Robert J. and Doreen Jackson
    2000   *An Introduction to Political Science: Comparative and World Politics.*
        Prentice Hall Allyn and Bacon, Scarborough, Ontario.

Kilkenny Integration
    2014   *Kilkenny Integration Forum Submission on National Integration Policy to
        Minister for Justice and Equality.* Report submitted to the OPMI.
        Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/
        page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Kitching, Karl
    2010   An Excavation of the Racialised Politics of Viability Underpinning
        Education Policy in Ireland. *Irish Educational Studies* 29(2): 213-229.

Kymlicka, Will
    1995   *Multicultural Citizenship.* Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Kymlicka, Will
1998   *Finding Our Way: Rethinking Ethnocultural Relations in Canada.* Oxford
        University Press, Oxford.

Kymlicka, Will
2001   *Politics in the Vernacular: Nationalism, Multiculturalism, and Citizenship.*
        Oxford University Press, Oxford.

LeCompte, Margaret and Jean Schensul
2010   *Designing and Conducting Ethnographic Research: An Introduction.*
        AltaMira Press, Lanham.

Lentin, Ronit
2002   Anti-Racist Responses to the Racialistaion of Irishness: Disavowed
        Multiculturalism and its Discontents. In *Racism and Antiracism in Ireland,*
        Ronit Lentin and Robbie McVeigh (eds.) Beyond the Pale Publications, Belfast.

Lentin, Ronit
2007   Ireland: Racial State and Crisis Racism. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 30(4):
        610-627.

Lentin Ronit and Robbie McVeigh.
2006   *After Optimism? Ireland, Racism and Globalisation,* Metro Eireann
        Publications, Dublin.

Lovell, Nadia
2003   Introduction: Belonging in Need of Replacement? In *Locality and
        Belonging,* Nadia Lovell (ed.) Routledge, New York: 1-24.

Lugones, Maria C. and Elizabeth V. Spelman
2013   Have We Got a Theory for You! Feminist Theory, Cultural Imperialism,
        and the Demand for "The Woman's Voice". In *Feminist Theory: A Reader,*
        edited by Wendy K. Kolmar and Frances Bartkowski, pp. 17-24. McGraw-Hill
        Companies, New York.

Lyon-Callo, Vincent
2008   *Inequality, Poverty, and Neoliberal Governance: Activist Ethnography in
        the Homeless Sheltering Industry.* Higher Education University of Toronto Press
        Incorporated, Toronto.

Mahmood, Saba
2013   The Subject of Freedom from the Politics of Piety. In *Feminist Theory: A
        Reader,* edited by Wendy K. Kolmar and Frances Bartkowski, pp. 533-542.
        McGraw-Hill Companies, New York.

Maria Hegarty Equality Strategies Ltd.
2008   *Towards Integration: A City Framework.* Dublin City Council, Dublin.

Mayo Intercultural Action

2014 *Submission to the Cross Departmental Group on Integration*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6 .nsf/page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

McVeigh, Robbie

2002 Is There an Irish Anti-Racism? Building an Anti-Racist Ireland. In *Racism and Antiracism in Ireland*, Ronit Lentin and Robbie McVeigh (eds.) Beyond the Pale Publications, Belfast.

McVeigh, Robbie and Ronit Lentin

2002 Situated Racisms: A Theoretical Introduction. In *Racism and Antiracism in Ireland*. Ronit Lentin and Robbie McVeigh (eds.) Beyond the Pale Publications, Belfast.

Meer, Nasar and Tariq Modood

2010 How Does Interculturalism Contrast With Multiculturalism? *Journal of Intercultural Studies* 33(2):175-196.

Meyers, Diana Tietjens

2002 *Gender in the Mirror: Cultural Imagery and Women's Agency*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York.

Modood, Tariq

2013 *Multiculturalism*, Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.

MRCI (Migrants Rights Centre Ireland)

2014 *MRCI's Submission on Immigrant Integration Policy to the Cross Departmental Group on Integration*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/New IntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Murphy, Michael

2012 *Multiculturalism: A Critical Introduction*. Routledge, New York.

Nasc

2014 *Submission to the Office for the Promotion of Migrant Integration on the Development of a Migrant Integration Policy*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/ page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

NCP (New Communities Partnership)
>   2014   *Submission on: Irish Integration Policy.* Report submitted to the OPMI.
>   Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/
>   page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Nic Craith, Máiréad
>   2012   *Narratives of Place, Belonging and Language: An Intercultural Perspective.*
>   Palgrave Macmillian, New York.

Nickel, James W.
>   1997   Group Agency and Group Rights. In *Ethnicity and Group Rights,* Shapiro,
>   Ian and Will Kymlicka (eds.). New York University Press, New York.

NYCI (National Youth Council of Ireland)
>   2014   *Submission to the Department of Justice and Equality On a National
>   Integration Policy.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document.
>   http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/New
>   IntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Office of the Minister for Integration
>   2008   *Migration Nation: Statement on the Integration Strategy and Diversity
>   Management.* Government of Ireland, Dublin.

OPMI
>   2011   2010 Highlights, 2011. Electronic Document.
>   http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/
>   aboutus-2010update-en

OPMI
>   2011   Budget for 2015. Electronic Document.
>   http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/aboutus
>   -budget-overview-en

OPMI
>   2011   Cross-Departmental Group on Integration. Electronic Document.
>   http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/aboutus-
>   crossdepartmentalgroup-overview-en

OPMI
>   2011   New Structures. Electronic Document.
>   http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/aboutus
>   -structures-overview-en

OPMI

2011   Overview of Funding for Migrant Integration in Ireland. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page /funding-en

OPMI

2011   Policy Statement: Migration Nation. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/aboutus-migrationnation-en

OPMI

2011   Role of the Office. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/aboutus-roleofofficepromotionmigrantintegration-overview-en

OPMI

2011   The National Action Plan Against Racism (NPAR) 2005 – 2008. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/Racism-npar-en

OPMI

2014   Call for Submissions on New Integration Strategy. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/New IntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-en

OPMI

2014   Submissions on Migrant Integration Policy. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

OPMI

2015   Review of Integration Strategy. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-en

ORAC

2001   Office of the Refugee Application Commissioner: Monthly Statistical Report December 2001. Electronic Document. http://www.orac.ie/website/orac/oracwebsite.nsf/page/orac-stats_archive-en

ORAC

2002   Office of the Refugee Application Commissioner: Monthly Statistical Report December 2002. Electronic Document. http://www.orac.ie/website/orac/oracwebsite.nsf/page/orac-stats_archive-en

ORAC

    2003   Office of the Refugee Application Commissioner: Monthly Statistical Report December 2003. Electronic Document. http://www.orac.ie/website/orac/oracwebsite.nsf/page/orac-stats_archive-en

ORAC

    2016   Office of the Refugee Application Commissioner: Monthly Statistical Report February 2016. Electronic Document. http://www.orac.ie/website/orac/oracwebsite.nsf/page/orac-stats_16-en

Parekh, Bhikhu

    2000   *Rethinking Multiculturalism: Cultural Diversity and Political Theory*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Parekh, Bhikhu

    2009   Multicultural Society and Convergence of Identities. In *The Ties that Bind: Accommodating Diversity in Canada and the European Union*, John Erik Fossum, Johanne Poirier and Paul Mahnette (eds). P.I.E. Peter Lang, Brussels: 33-52.

Pavee Point

    2014   *Pavee Point Traveller and Roma Centre: Submission to the Department of Justice on the Integration Strategy*. Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/New IntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Peatling, G. K.

    2005   The Whiteness of Ireland Under and After the Union. *Journal of British Studies* 44(1): 115-133.

Putnam, Robert

    2000   *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. Simon & Schuster, New York.

Putnam, Robert

    2007   'E 'Pluribus Unum: Diversity and Community in the Twenty-first Century. The 2006 Johan Skytte Prize Lecture.' *Scandinavian Political Studies*, 30: 137-74.

QQI (Quality and Qualifications Ireland)

    2014   About Us. Electronic Document. http://www.qqi.ie/Pages/About-Us.aspx)

RCNI (Rape Crisis Network Ireland)
    2014   *RCNI Submission on Integration Policy.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Republic of Ireland
    1996   *Irish Refugee Act.* Last Amended in 2003. National Legislative Bodies, Dublin, Ireland. Electronic Document. http://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b60e0.html

RIA
    2004   Reception and Integration Agency: Monthly Statistics Report. December 2004. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/2004_Statistics

RIA
    2005   Reception and Integration Agency: Monthly Statistics Report. December 2005. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/2004_Statistics

RIA
    2006   Reception and Integration Agency: Monthly Statistics Report. December 2006. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/2004_Statistics

RIA
    2007   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
    2008   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
    2009   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
    2010   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
    2011   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
    2012   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document. http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
> 2012   Reception and Integration Agency: Background. Electronic Document.
> http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Background

RIA
> 2013   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document.
> http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
> 2013   Reception and Integration Agency: Monthly Statistics Report. June 2013.
> Electronic Document.
> http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Monthly_Reports?OpenDocument
> &start=1&year=2015

RIA
> 2014   Reception and Integration Agency: Annual Report. Electronic Document.
> http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Annual_Reports

RIA
> 2015   Reception and Integration Agency: Monthly Statistics Report. September
> 2015. Electronic Document.
> http://www.ria.gov.ie/en/RIA/Pages/Monthly_Reports?OpenDocument
> &start=1&year=2015

Ruiz-Tagle, Javier
> 2013   A Theory of Socio-Spatial Integration: Problems, Policies and Concepts
> from a US Perspective. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*
> 37(2): 388-408.

SARI (Sport Against Racism Ireland)
> 2014   *Response to the Call from the Minister for Justice and Equality for*
> *Submissions to the New Migrant Integration Policy from Sport Against Racism*
> *Ireland.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document.
> http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration
> Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Schröttner, Barbara
> 2012   (Re)Contextualization of Global and Local Place Emergent
> Transnationalism and the Role of Cultural Diversity. In *Transnational Spaces*
> *and Regional Localization: Social Networks, Border Regions and Local-Global*
> *Relations,* Angela Pilch Ortega and Barbara Schröttner (eds.) Waxmann Verlag,
> Postfach Munster: 17-28.

Shubin, Sergei
> 2010   Cultural Exclusion and Rural Poverty in Ireland and Russia. *Royal*
> *Geographical Society.*

Smith, Angèle

    2008 "The Irish Citizenship Referendum (2004): Motherhood and Belonging in Ireland." In *Citizenship, Political Engagement and Belonging: Immigrants in Europe and the United States*. Deborah Reed-Danahay and Caroline Brettell (eds.), Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, New Jersey: 60-77.

Smith, Angèle

    2009 "A Negotiated Sharing of Space: Globalization, Borders, and Identity of African Asylum Seekers in Ireland." In *Globalization and Transnational Migrations: Africa and Africans in the Contemporary Global System*. Akanmu Adebayo and Olutayo Adesina (eds.), Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: 88-105.

Smith, Angèle, Nicole Halseth and Dahne Harding

    2014 The Place of Power: The Spatial Mapping of Irish Asylum Seeker Policy. Manuscript on file, Anthropology Program, University of Northern British Columbia, Prince George, B.C.

SOLAS (Support, Orientation and Learning for Asylum Seekers)

    2014 *Submission to the Cross Departmental Group on Integration.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6. nsf/page/NewIntegrationStrategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

SPIRASI

    2014 *Department of Justice and Equality - Review of Integration Policy SPIRASI Submission.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

SPIRASI

    2015 About. Electronic Document. http://spirasi.ie/about/

SPIRASI

    2015 Education. Electronic Document. http://spirasi.ie/education/

SPIRASI

    2015 Events. Electronic Document. http://spirasi.ie/get-involved/events/

Stoecker, Randy

    2003 Community-Based Research: From Practice to Theory Again. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, Spring: 35-36.

The Economist

    2015   Celtic Phoenix: Ireland Shows There is Economic Life After Death. 21 November, Dublin, Ireland. Electronic Document. http://www.economist.com/news/finance-and-economics/21678830-ireland-shows-there-economic-life-after-death-celtic-phoenix

The Economist

    2015   Getting Boomier: The Problem of a One-Size-Fits-All Monetary Policy in the Euro Area is Back. 19 November, Dublin, Ireland. Electronic Document. http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21678791-problem-one-size-fits-all-monetary-policy-euro-area-back-getting-boomier

The House of the Oireachtas

    2014   *Dáil Debates Written Answers No. 313.* September 2014, Dublin, Ireland. Electronic Document. http://oireachtasdebates.oireachtas.ie/debates%20authoring/ debateswebpack.nsf/takes/dail2014092300070?opendocument#WRS01150

The House of Oireachtas

    2014   *Dáil Debates Written Answers No. 309.* December 2014, Dublin, Ireland. Electronic Document. http://oireachtasdebates.oireachtas.ie/debates%20authoring/ debateswebpack.nsf/takes/dail2014120200065?opendocument#WRN04900

The Integration Centre

    2014   *Integration Policy Submission to the Department of Justice and Equality.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Tralee International Resource Centre

    2014   *Tralee International Resource Centre Ltd submission to the Integration Strategy.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document. http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

UNESCO

    2015   Dublin: City of Literature. Electronic Document. http://www.dublincityofliterature.ie/

UNHCR

    2007 *Note on the Integration of Refugees in the European Union.* United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, Geneva, Switzerland.

UNHCR

    2009 *Mapping Integration: UNHCR's Age, Gender and Diversity Mainstreaming Project on Refugee Integration in Ireland – 2008/2009.* United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, Ireland, Dublin.

UNHCR

    2010 *Convention and Protocol: Relating to the Status of Refugees.* United Nations High Commission for Refugees, Communications and Public Information Service, Geneva, Switzerland.

UNHCR

    2013 *Towards a New Beginning: Refugee Integration in Ireland.* United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, Ireland, Dublin.

Vang, Zoua M

    2012 Immigration and the Changing Social Fabric of American Cities: The Limits of Spatial Assimilation for Immigrants' Full Integration: Emerging Evidence from Africa Immigrants in Boston and Dublin. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 641(220): 1-19.

Walsh, Kathy

    2014 *Needs Assessment for Overcoming Barriers to Rehabilitation for Survivors of Torture in Ireland.* Report submitted to Health Service Executive, Ireland. KW Research and Associates.

Warren, Simon,

    2012 Private Sentiment and Public Issues: Irish Medium Education and Complex Linguistic and Political Identification. In *Transnational Spaces and Regional Localization: Social Networks, Border Regions and Local-Global Relations*, Angela Pilch Ortega and Barbara Schröttner (eds.) Waxmann Verlag, Postfach Munster: 167-178.

Watt, Philip

    2006 An Intercultural Approach to 'Integration'. *Translocation* 1(1):151-160.

Whelan, Christopher T. and Bertrand Maître

    2007 Levels and Patterns of Material Deprivation in Ireland: After the 'Celtic Tiger'. *European Sociological Review* 23(2): 139-154.

Whelan, Christopher T. and Bertrand Maître

    2010 Poverty in Ireland in Comparative European Perspective. *Soc Indic Res* 95: 91-110.

White, Elisa Joy
   2002   The New Irish Storytelling: Media, Representations and Racialised
          Identities. In *Racism and Antiracism in Ireland,* Ronit Lentin and Robbie
          McVeigh (eds.) Beyond the Pale Publications, Belfast.

Wieviorka, Michel
   1998   Is Multiculturalism the Solution? *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 21(5): 881-910.

Womens Integration Centre
   2014   *Integration Policy.* Report submitted to the OPMI. Electronic Document.
          http://www.integration.ie/website/omi/omiwebv6.nsf/page/NewIntegration
          Strategy-callforsubmissions-submissions-en

Wright, Matthew and Irene Bloemraad
   2012   Is There a Trade-off between Multiculturalism and Socio-Political
          Integration? Policy Regimes and Immigrant Incorporation in Comparative
          Perspective. *Perspectives on Politics* Vol. 10(1): 77-95.

Appendix 1 – Submissions to the OPMI on Ireland's Integration Policy

This list represents the individuals and organizations that submitted a report in response to the OPMI's Call for Submissions. Some of the submitters agreed to have their reports made available to the public on the OPMI's website. The submissions published online by the OPMI are bolded in this list, and are the reports that I was able to review for my policy analysis. Titles that are not underlined are not available for public viewing. The links to the published submissions are available through the OPMI's website (OPMI, Submissions on Migrant Integration Policy, 2014).

1. 7 Individuals from Newbridge Asylum Seekers Support Group

2. **7 Individuals Interested in and Committed to Migrants' Integration in Ireland:**
   Ms. Winifred Akinyemi
   Ms. Teresa Jadwiga Buczkowska
   Mr. Godfrey Chimbganda
   Dr. Alice Feldman
   Mr. Zeph Immanuel Ikeh
   Dr. Fidèle Mutwarasiho
   Mr. Rob Whelan

3. **Afro-Irish Organisation**

4. **Age Action**

5. **Aidan Rowe**

6. **AkiDwa**

7. Alistair Smith

8. Andrew Sexton

9. **Anne Tannam**

10. **Bahá'í Information Office**

11. **Balbriggan Integration Forum**

12. **Black Irish Integration Association**

13. **Cairde**

14. **Carlow Integration Forum**

15. **Catherine Ann Cullen**

16. **Centre for Creative Practice**

17. **Childrens Rights Alliance**

18. **Citizens Information Board (CIB)**

19. **Clonakilty Friends of Asylum Seekers**

20. **Cormac McKay**

21. **Crosscare**

22. **Cultúr Migrant Centre**

23. Daniel Ayiotis

24. Daniel Ryan

25. **Dice Network**

26. **Doras Luimní**

27. **Dr. Antain Mac Lochlainn**

28. Dr. Clíodhna Murphy

29. **Dr. Ronit Lentin**

30. **Dr. Wendy Cox**

31. **Dr. Sam O'Brien-Olinger**

32. **Eimear Gallagher**

33. Enrique

34. **ESRI**

35. **Estelle Birdy**

36. **Faith in Action Group Ballíneaspaig Parish**

37. Fióna Bolger

38. **Football Association of Ireland**

39. **Forum Polonia**

40. **Galway County Community and Voluntary Forum**

41. **Holocaust Education Trust Ireland (HETI)**

42. **IBEC**

43. **ICTU**

44. **Immigrant Council of Ireland**

45. **Ireland India Council**

46. **Irish Refugee Council**

47. James Sinnott

48. **John Condon**

49. **John Loesberg**

50. **Joseph Nyirenda**

51. **Joseph Wood**

52. **KASI**

53. **Kilkenny Integration Forum**

54. **Liam de Feu**

55. **Mark Conroy**

56. **Mark Lacey and Wando Avila**

57. **Mayo Intercultural Action**

58. **Migrant Rights Centre Ireland (MRCI)**

59. **Nasc - Irish Immigrant Support Centre**

60. **National Youth Council of Ireland**

61. **New Communities Partnership**

62. **Newbridge Asylum Seekers Support Group**

63. Paula Geraghty

64. **Pavee Point**

65. Peadar O'Donoghue

66. Polish Educational Society in Ireland

67. **Quality and Qualifications Ireland**

68. **Rape Crisis Network Ireland (RCNI)**

69. **Richard McAleavey**

70. **S. Morrin**

71. **S.O.S - European Support Network and Social Think Tank**

72. **SOLAS**

73. **SPIRASI**

74. **Sport Against Racism in Ireland (SARI)**

75. **The Integration Centre**

76. **Tralee International Resource Centre**

77. **UNHCR**

78. **Vicky Donnelly**

79. **Women's Integrated Network (WIN)**

80. **YMCA Cork**

81. Zoë Lawlor

Appendix 2 – Submissions Presented to the Cross-Departmental Group

This list represents the individuals and organizations that met with the Cross-Departmental Group as part of the consultative meetings. Out of the 81 individuals and organizations who submitted a report to the OPMI, 28 met with the Cross-Departmental Group[22] (OPMI, Review of Integration Strategy, 2015).

1. Migrant Rights Centre Ireland

2. The Integration Centre

3. Cáirde

4. Ireland India Council

5. National Youth Council of Ireland

6. Crosscare

7. NASC (Irish Immigrant Support Centre)

8. New Communities Partnership

9. Kilkenny Integration Forum

10. Irish Congress of Trades Unions

11. AkiDwA

12. Pavee Point

13. Immigrant Council of Ireland

14. Cultúr Migrant Centre

15. Irish Refugee Council

16. Black Irish Integration Association

17. SPIRASI

18. Sport Against Racism

---

[22] The OPMI website states that 27 groups met with the Cross-Departmental Group in the consultative meetings, however 28 are listed in the online appendix.

19. Football Association of Ireland

20. UNHCR

21. Economic and Social Research Institute

22. Forum Polonia

23. Mayo Intercultural Action

24. Doras Luimní

25. Citizens Information Board

26. Polish Education Society

27. Joseph Nyirenda

28. The DICE Project

Appendix 3.1 REB Approval

UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN BRITISH COLUMBIA

RESEARCH ETHICS BOARD

MEMORANDUM

To: Krista Voogd
CC: Angèle Smith

From: Michael Murphy, Chair
Research Ethics Board

Date: June 26, 2014

Re: E2014.0514.033.00
An Examination of Integration and Multiculturalism: Integration into Irish Society

Thank you for submitting the consents from the Africa Centre and Dublin City Council to the Research Ethics Board (REB).

We are pleased to issue approval for the above named study for a period of 12 months from the date of this letter. Continuation beyond that date will require further review and renewal of REB approval. Any changes or amendments to the protocol or consent form must be approved by the REB.

Please note that you may not conduct any interviews with other NGO's with respect to this project until you obtain consent from their respective office(s) and have forwarded same to the REB.

Good luck with your research.

Sincerely,

Dr. Michael Murphy
Chair, Research Ethics Board

Appendix 3.2 Email Script to Government Officials

To Whom It May Concern,
I am a graduate student, Krista Voogd, from the University of Northern British Columbia (UNBC) in Canada. I am currently working towards my Master of Arts in Interdisciplinary Studies, with a focus in Anthropology and Political Science. This past school semester I have begun working on my thesis research project with my supervisor from UNBC (Dr. Angèle Smith) studying how minority groups integrate into larger multicultural/intercultural societies and what is involved in the integration process. Specifically, I am interested in the policies and spaces created for and by refugees in the development of multi-ethnic communities within Ireland, in order to explore how refugees integrate into Ireland's multicultural and/or intercultural society.

I am interested in Ireland because of its recent history of increased immigration and specifically how newcomers are received and integrated into the Irish society. This research is a continuation of a field school that I went on in May of 2011 during my undergraduate degree, where I went with my class to Ireland and the Isle of Man to study issues of multiculturalism, heritage, identity and place. In my Masters thesis, I am studying the themes of integration, multiculturalism, interculturalism, identity, and place.

I am very interested in your department, which I discovered when I was conducting background research on integration policies in Ireland and the governmental departments and/or offices that are connected to the policies. For my research, I am very interested in exploring what policies exist at the different levels of government in Ireland that focus on integration, and more specifically refugee integration. During this background research, I explored different government websites for how integration and policy were presented and discussed. In my Internet research, your department stood out with its connection to integration and policy.

I was wondering if there would be any individuals from your department who would be willing to be interviewed as part of my research project. This could include anyone who works in the office who is involved with either integration policy creation or implementation. The interviews should take approximately an hour, but may vary between interview participants, depending on the flow of conversation and what participants wish to talk elaborate on. The information gathered from these interviews will be used to explore the state policies of integration that exist in Ireland and how/why they were created. I will be arriving in Dublin on July 14th, 2014 and will be there for the following four weeks into the first week of August. I am looking forward to the possibility of interviewing officials and members from your department, which I believe would provide valuable experiences and be very informative for me as a student.
Please let me know if there would be anyone who would be willing to participate in an interview. I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Krista Voogd, voogdk@unbc.ca

Appendix 3.3 Email Script to SPIRASI

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a graduate student, Krista Voogd, from the University of Northern British Columbia (UNBC) in Canada. I am currently working towards my Master of Arts in Interdisciplinary Studies, with a focus in Anthropology and Political Science. This past school semester I have begun working on my thesis research project with my supervisor from UNBC (Dr. Angèle Smith) studying how minority groups integrate into larger multicultural/intercultural societies and what is involved in the integration process. Specifically, I am interested in the policies and spaces created for and by refugees in the development of multi-ethnic communities within Ireland, in order to explore how refugees integrate into Ireland's multicultural and/or intercultural society.

I am interested in Ireland because of its recent history of increased immigration and specifically how newcomers are received and integrated into the Irish society. This research is a continuation of a field school that I went on in May of 2011 during my undergraduate degree, where I went with my class to Ireland and the Isle of Man to study issues of multiculturalism, heritage, identity and place. In my Masters thesis, I am studying the themes of integration, multiculturalism, interculturalism, identity, and place.

I am very interested in your organization, which I discovered when I was conducting background research on non-governmental organizations in Ireland that focus on supporting and advocating for refugees and minority groups. Because of NGOs' intermediate position between the Irish state and refugees, I believe that exploring their role in integration and support is vital for my research project. Based on review of SPIRASI and its mission statement, I believe it represents the type of NGO that I am hoping to work with.

I was wondering if there was any possibility that I would be able to come to SPIRASI and experience and see how SPIRASI functions, and how it works with refugees and other people. I would appreciate being able to participate in any way that you believe would be appropriate for me as a researcher, including volunteering or job shadowing someone if that was an option. I will be arriving in Dublin on July 14th, 2014 and will be there for the following four weeks into the first week of August. I am looking forward to the possibility of being at SPIRASI in order to experience the daily functioning of the NGO, which I believe would provide valuable experience and be very informative for me as a student.

In addition to volunteering, I was also wondering if there would be any individuals from the SPIRASI who would be willing to be interviewed as part of the research project. This could include either staff members or refugees who use your services. The interview questions are based on the key themes of my research and would be aimed at gaining greater knowledge about SPIRASI and its roles in refugee integration and support. The interviews would build upon and supplement my experience of being at your organization. The interviews should take approximately an hour, but may vary between interview

participants depending on the flow of conversation and what participants wish elaborate on. The information gathered from these interviews will be used to explore the lived experience of refugee integration into Irish society and how this compares to state policies of integration.

Please let me know if there is any possibility of me being able to spend some time, whether a day or a few days, atSPIRASI in order to see and experience the work that it does, and/or if anyone would be willing to participate in an interview. I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Krista Voogd
voogdk@unbc.ca

Appendix 3.4 Letter of Introduction to Government Officials

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a graduate student, Krista Voogd, at the University of Northern British Columbia (UNBC) in Canada. This past school year (September 2013 – April 2014) I began my Masters Program in Interdisciplinary Studies at UNBC, with a focus in Anthropology and Political Science. While taking courses in these disciplines, I have been preparing to conduct fieldwork in Dublin, Ireland in July and August 2014 on my research project "An Examination of Integration and Multiculturalism: Refugee Integration into Irish Society".

In my research, I am interested in how minority groups integrate into larger multicultural societies and what is involved in the integration process. Specifically, I look at the policies and spaces created for and by refugees in the development of multicultural/intercultural communities within Ireland, which allow me to explore how refugees integrate into Ireland's society. I will focus on state policies of integration and how these compare to refugees' lived experience of integration through refugees' sense of identity and place. I will examine Non-government organizations' (NGO) mediation role in integration, in order to help explore the relationship between integration policy and the lived experience.

I developed an interest in this research after taking part in UNBC's Anthropology/Political Science field school in Ireland and Isle of Man in May 2011 during my undergraduate degree. The field school focused on issues of multiculturalism, heritage, identity and place. Upon completion of my Bachelor of Arts in Anthropology, I applied and was accepted into the Master of Arts Interdisciplinary program in order to further my study of these themes. In my Masters thesis, I am studying the themes of integration, multiculturalism, interculturalism, identity, and place.

As part of the research process, I am hoping to conduct interviews with state policy makers, NGO staff members, and refugees. State official participants were chosen based on their connection to governmental or state bodies that focus on integration and/or that create or enact policies that affect refugee integration into Irish society. The interviews will be based on a number of questions concerned with the key themes as outlined above and the interviews will be recorded, either by a digital recorder that will be turned on at the beginning of the interview and/or by hand written notes that I will take in my field notebook during the interview. Photographs may also be taken in order to provide images of where the interviews are taking place, however participants would the right to opt out of any photographs. The interviews should take approximately an hour, but may vary between interview participants depending on the flow of conversation and what participants wish to talk about or elaborate on. Participation is strictly on a voluntary basis. The participant would have the right not to answer any question or to withdraw from the interview at any point and ask that any information they provide might not be used in the research and/or be destroyed. It is also the right of the participant to choose to remain anonymous or to make any or all of their answers confidential. The participant will be asked to give their consent by signing a consent form to indicate that she/he understands

their rights. I will give participants a small honorarium as a thank you for their participation in the research interviews.

The potential benefits of this study generally are aimed at working toward greater community awareness and tolerance in the spirit of multiculturalism/interculturalism. Potential risks from participating in this study may include psychological or emotional risks that may arise if traumatic experiences are discussed concerning refugees' experiences. To protect against this, participants have the right to opt out of answering any question that they do not wish to answer or feel uncomfortable with, and do not need to provide any reasoning for choosing to opt out. This includes protecting themselves from any social risks, such as loss of status or reputation that may arise from participating. What interview questions are asked and how they are asked will also been done in such a way as to avoid as much as possible any negative risks. If any risks do occur, participants may contact SPIRASI, an organization that focuses on support and counseling of refuge seekers and others, at either their Dublin office, 213 North Circular Road, Phibsborough, Dublin 7, or by telephone at 01 8389664.

The information gathered through the interviews will be recorded and the relevant sections will be transcribed. I will keep digital recordings, hand-written notes and any transcripts in a secure location (a locked file cabinet) at my home until the research project is completed. For my graduate studies I will be supervised by Dr. Angèle Smith from the Anthropology Department at UNBC (who was one of the co-instructors on the field school). Only Dr. Smith and myself will have access to these documents. Upon completion of the research project, these documents will be shredded and any recordings deleted.

If the interview participant wishes for any conditions to be placed on the use of the interview transcriptions (anonymity; confidentiality of sources) those will be discussed prior to the interview. This includes keeping interview transcripts and recordings confidential and only available to those involved in the research project (myself and Dr. Smith), as well as keeping participants' responses and/or identities anonymous, if they so choose, by using different names for individuals in the written research and separating individuals' identities from the information they have provided. I will be happy to provide copies of transcripts of the interview if the participant wishes to have a copy. Furthermore, any research results published or presented will be made available to the participant upon request by contacting me, Krista Voogd (see below for contact information). The information gathered from these interviews will be used to explore the lived experience of refugee integration into Irish society and how this compares to state policies of integration. The findings will be presented in such a way that they are accessible to the public, such as writing media publications, for example the Metro Eireann and newspaper articles, or postings on NGO advocacy websites and public presentations at conferences.

If you have any questions regarding this research project or the interview process, please contact Professor Smith or myself. Any complaints about the study should be directed to the Office of Research, University of Northern British Columbia, Canada (250) 960-6735 or reb@unbc.ca.
Thank you for your time and consideration of this request,

Krista Voogd
Graduate Student
Department of Anthropology
University of Northern British Columbia
Columbia
3333 University Way
Email: voogdk@unbc.ca

Dr. Angèle Smith
Associate Professor
Department of Anthropology
University of Northern British

3333 University Way
Email: angele.smith@unbc.ca

Appendix 3.5 Letter of Introduction to SPIRASI

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a graduate student, Krista Voogd, at the University of Northern British Columbia (UNBC) in Canada. This past school year (September 2013 – April 2014) I began my Masters Program in Interdisciplinary Studies at UNBC, with a focus in Anthropology and Political Science. While taking courses in these disciplines, I have been preparing to conduct fieldwork in Dublin, Ireland in July and August 2014 on my research project "An Examination of Integration and Multiculturalism: Refugee Integration into Irish Society".

In my research, I am interested in how minority groups integrate into larger multicultural societies and what is involved in the integration process. Specifically, I look at the policies and spaces created for and by refugees in the development of multicultural/intercultural communities within Ireland, which allow me to explore how refugees integrate into Ireland's society. I will focus on state policies of integration and how these compare to refugees' lived experience of integration through refugees' sense of identity and place. I will examine Non-government organizations' (NGO) mediation role in integration, in order to help explore the relationship between integration policy and the lived experience.

I developed an interest in this research after taking part in UNBC's Anthropology/Political Science field school in Ireland and Isle of Man in May 2011 during my undergraduate degree. The field school focused on issues of multiculturalism, heritage, identity and place. Upon completion of my Bachelor of Arts in Anthropology, I applied and was accepted into the Master of Arts Interdisciplinary program in order to further my study of these themes. In my Masters thesis, I am studying the themes of integration, multiculturalism, interculturalism, identity, and place.

As part of the research process, I am hoping to conduct interviews with state policy makers, NGO staff members, and refugees. NGOs were chosen through a selection process that took into account their mission statements, goals, campaigns, targeted demographics, and the organization of the NGO. The interviews will be based on a number of questions concerned with the key themes as outlined above and the interviews will be recorded, either by a digital recorder that will be turned on at the beginning of the interview and/or by hand written notes that I will take in my field notebook during the interview. Photographs may also be taken in order to provide images of where the interviews are taking place, however participants would the right to opt out of any photographs. The interviews should take approximately an hour, but may vary between interview participants depending on the flow of conversation and what participants wish to talk about or elaborate on. Participation is strictly on a voluntary basis. The participant would have the right not to answer any question or to withdraw from the interview at any point and ask that any information they provide might not be used in the research and/or be destroyed. It is also the right of the participant to choose to remain anonymous or to make any or all of their answers confidential. The participant will be asked to give their consent by signing a

consent form to indicate that she/he understands their rights. I will give participants a small honorarium as a thank you for their participation in the research interviews.

The potential benefits of this study generally are aimed at working toward greater community awareness and tolerance in the spirit of multiculturalism/interculturalism. Potential risks from participating in this study may include psychological or emotional risks that may arise if traumatic experiences are discussed concerning refugees' experiences. To protect against this, participants have the right to opt out of answering any question that they do not wish to answer or feel uncomfortable with, and do not need to provide any reasoning for choosing to opt out. This includes protecting themselves from any social risks, such as loss of status or reputation that may arise from participating. What interview questions are asked and how they are asked will also been done in such a way as to avoid as much as possible any negative risks. If any risks do occur, participants may contact SPIRASI, an organization that focuses on support and counseling of refuge seekers and others, at either their Dublin office, 213 North Circular Road, Phibsborough, Dublin 7, or by telephone at 01 8389664.

The information gathered through the interviews will be recorded and the relevant sections will be transcribed. I will keep digital recordings, hand-written notes and any transcripts in a secure location (a locked file cabinet) at my home until the research project is completed. For my graduate studies I will be supervised by Dr. Angèle Smith from the Anthropology Department at UNBC (who was one of the co-instructors on the field school). Only Dr. Smith and myself will have access to these documents. Upon completion of the research project, these documents will be shredded and any recordings deleted.

If the interview participant wishes for any conditions to be placed on the use of the interview transcriptions (anonymity; confidentiality of sources) those will be discussed prior to the interview. This includes keeping interview transcripts and recordings confidential and only available to those involved in the research project (myself and Dr. Smith), as well as keeping participants' responses and/or identities anonymous, if they so choose, by using different names for individuals in the written research and separating individuals' identities from the information they have provided. I will be happy to provide copies of transcripts of the interview if the participant wishes to have a copy. Furthermore, any research results published or presented will be made available to the participant upon request by contacting me, Krista Voogd (see below for contact information).

The information gathered from these interviews will be used to explore the lived experience of refugee integration into Irish society and how this compares to state policies of integration. The findings will be presented in such a way that they are accessible to the public, such as writing media publications, for example the Metro Eireann and newspaper articles, or postings on NGO advocacy websites and public presentations at conferences.

If you have any questions regarding this research project or the interview process, please contact Professor Smith or myself. Any complaints about the study should be directed to the Office of Research, University of Northern British Columbia, Canada (250) 960-6735 or reb@unbc.ca.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this request,

Krista Voogd
Graduate Student
Department of Anthropology
University of Northern British Columbia
Columbia
3333 University Way
Email: voogdk@unbc.ca

Dr. Angèle Smith
Associate Professor
Department of Anthropology
University of Northern British

3333 University Way
Ph: (250) 960-6492
Email: angele.smith@unbc.ca

Appendix 3.6 Interview Consent Form

RESEARCH STUDY:
An Examination of Integration and Multiculturalism: Refugee Integration into Irish Society

INFORMED CONSENT FORM

To be presented to and read by the Research Participant.

Do you understand that you have been asked to be in a research study?	□ Yes	□ No
Have you read and received a copy of the attached introduction to research letter?	□ Yes	□ No
Do you understand that the research interviews will be recorded?	□ Yes	□ No
Do you understand the benefits and risks involved in participating in this study?	□ Yes	□ No
Have you had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study?	□ Yes	□ No
Do you understand that you are free to refuse to participate or to withdraw from the study at any time? *You do not have to give a reason and it will not affect you in any way.*	□ Yes	□ No
Has the issue of confidentiality been explained to you?	□ Yes	□ No
Do you understand who will have access to the information you provide?	□ Yes	□ No
Do you understand that the information you provide will be kept in a secure location?	□ Yes	□ No
Do you consent to have your photograph taken as part of the research project?	□ Yes	□ No

This study was explained to me by: Krista Voogd, Graduate Thesis Researcher
Print Name

If you agree to take part in this study, please sign below to indicate that you have read, understood and consent to the above.

Signature of Participant: _____ Date: _____

Print Name: _____

Appendix 3.7 Interview Questions

Appendix 3.7.1 Interviews with State Policy Makers

Background Questions:
1. How long have you worked at this office/department?
   a. What is your position here?
2. Do you know the history this office/department and why it was started?
   a. Historical/social context of its creation?
   b. Has its focused changed at all in your opinion?
3. In your opinion, what are the goals/mission of this office/department?
4. How does it seek to achieve them?
   Prompts
   a. What policies are used?
   b. How are they put into practice?
      i. Campaigns?
      ii. Events?
      iii. Website?

Integration/Multiculturalism/Interculturalism
1. Does this office/department focus on integration? How?
   a. How does the office/department include integration in its policy creation and implementation?
2. How is integration measured?
   a. Employment, education, voting, political involvement, housing, etc.
   b. Social identity, active community members, sense of belonging
3. In your opinion, what were the reasons behind the creation of these integration policies?
   a. Moral reasons?
   b. Practical reasons?
   c. Historical Context?
4. Does integration connect with policies of multiculturalism/interculturalism?
   a. Does integration have a different focus?
5. In your opinion, what are or is there a difference between the terms multicultural and intercultural?
   a. How would you define them?
6. Do these policies focus on a particular group in society?
   a. Immigrants?
   b. Refugees?
   c. Asylum seekers?
7. In your opinion, what is the focused goal or achievement of these policies?

Appendix 3.7.2 Interviews with NGO Advocates:

Background Questions:
1. Where are you from?
    a. When did you come to Ireland?
    b. Why did you come to Ireland?
2. How long have you worked at this organization?
    a. What is your position in this organization?
3. What brought you to this specific organization?
    Prompts:
    a. In need of work?
    b. Previous connections?
    c. Recommendations?
4. Do you know the history this organization and when and why it was started?
    a. Has its focused changed at all in your opinion?
5. In your opinion, what are the goals/mission of the NGO?
6. How does it seek to achieve them?
    Prompts
    a. Campaigns?
    b. Events?
    c. Website?

Nongovernmental Organization and the State
1. In your opinion, what effects does State policy have on the organization of the NGO?
    a. Are there any state rules or guidelines NGOs must follow?
        Prompt:
        i. Does state policy dictate or affect the NGO's internal policies?
2. Is there any state policy concerning refugees that the NGO particularly focuses on?
    a. Is there any exchange of information between state organizations and the NGO?
        i. Does the state request information from the NGO?
        ii. Does the NGO take the initiative in providing the state with information?
    b. Is the NGO able to provide any reviews or recommendations to policy change?
3. What is your understanding of the terms 'multicultural' and 'intercultural'?
    a. How do you believe the state uses these terms in policy?
        i. Does the state use them in a way that affects refugees?
    b. Does the NGO use these terms and how/why?

Advocacy and Integration
These questions may overlap with previous sections, but this section is necessary in order to delve further into advocacy and integration

1. What is your understanding of the role of NGO advocacy?
    a. What is the purpose?

2. How do you understand your relationship with refugees as an advocate and advisor?
3. What role does the organization play in refugee integration? Does it play a role?
   a. Is integration a goal or focus of the NGO? Why or why not?
   b. Does the NGO provide any support for or education of integration?
   c. How does the NGO view integration or integration success?

Appendix 3.7.3 Interviews with Refugees

Background:
1. Where are you from?
2. When did you arrive in Ireland?
3. Did you come by yourself or with others?
1. Why did you choose Ireland?
2. What was your experience of gaining refugee status in Ireland?
    Prompt: Has it affected how you think of or perceive Ireland?

Nongovernmental Organization
I hope to contact refugees for interviews through the NGOs I will be researching with. If, however, I interview a refugee not connected to one of the NGOs, this section will not apply.
1. What has been your experience with the NGO?
    a. Why did you choose this NGO?
    b. Do you believe the NGO has helped you in anyway? Why or why not?
        i. What services or support does the NGO provide?
    c. Has the NGO helped you create a sense of community? How, or why not?

Identity:
1. Now that you have gained refugee status, do you feel a connection to your land of origin? Why or why not?
    a. What kind of connection?
        i. Prompts: Social/cultural; economic; familial; strong/weak
2. Now that you have gained refugee status, do you feel a connection to Ireland? Why or why not?
    a. What kind of connection?
        i. Prompts: Social/cultural; economic; familial; strong/weak
3. How do you culturally identify yourself?
    a. Prompt: Irish? African (nationality of origin)? Both? Can you explain?

Experience and Multiculturalism:
1. What has been your experience of living in Ireland?
    a. Do you have a sense of community or belonging here in Ireland? Why or why not?
    b. Have you felt welcomed into society?
       Prompts:
        i. Do you always feel welcomed, or never? Do you sometimes feel welcomed?
        ii. Does it change with your location? Are there some places that are more welcoming than others?
        iii. Do you feel like you are welcomed in, or discriminated against? Does it vary on the circumstances?
2. Do think of Ireland as multicultural? What does that mean to you?
    a. Have you come across this term elsewhere?

Prompts:
- i. Newspapers, television, other social media?
- ii. NGO sources, websites, or information?
- iii. State documents or information sources?

3. Do you feel like you have integrated into Irish society? Why or why not?
   a. What are some of the ways you have integrated?
      - i. Job, education, voting, housing, etc.
      - ii. Social identity, involved in community activities, sense of belonging, etc.
   b. What has hindered or affected your integration?
   c. Is integration important, or is it not necessary?